

# PFC Risk Assessment

---

Health Risk Assessment Unit  
Minnesota Department of Health  
May 30, 2007

# PFC Risk Assessment

---

## ★ Goal:

- Determine the concentrations of PFCs in water and fish that are protective of public health

## ★ Steps:

- Develop a protective dose (reference dose) based on toxicity studies and consideration of uncertainty
- Determine exposure values (e.g., water consumption appropriate for the public)
- Calculate water and fish tissue concentrations that should have no known long-term or short-term health effects

# Available Data

---

---

- ★ Minnesota toxicologists connected to national research and risk assessment work
  - Staff contacted researchers at the EPA, other states, and industry to acquire all available information on PFC toxicity
  - Staff share results of Minnesota's risk assessment
- ★ Minnesota provides input on the
  - Design and completion of studies conducted at EPA and 3M
  - Federal interpretation of novel studies and data needs

# PFC Toxicity

---

## ★ PFCs are toxic

- Toxic to rats, mice, and non-human primates
- Scant evidence of human toxicity

## ★ Sufficient data on PFOA and PFOS

- Includes data on the longer retention of the chemical in humans compared to laboratory animals
- Includes short-term and long-term studies
- Still many unknowns and ongoing studies

# PFC Toxicity

---

---

- ★ Insufficient data on PFBA

- Only one 4 week study has been completed

- ★ Insufficient data on other PFCs

- PFCs larger than C8 may be more toxic
- However, cannot predict a pattern of toxicity or accumulation based only on the chain length

# PFOA Toxicity

---

---

## ★ Studies

- Monkey study (six month study)
  - Increased liver weight
  - Each dose caused an effect, some severe
- Rat studies (up to 2 years) —changes in development, blood, liver, and immune system

## ★ Difference between monkeys and humans

- 3.8 year half-life in humans compared to 20 day half-life in monkeys
- Over time, the dose in humans will be higher than the dose given to the monkeys

# PFOA Toxicity, continued

---

---

- ★ Dose of concern 3,000 ug/kg-d
- ★ Divide by 70-fold to calculate the equivalent human dose
- ★ Divide by 300-fold uncertainty factor
  - Animal to human uncertainty (3x)
  - Intraspecies variability (10x)
  - Lack of a no effect level in the study (10x)
- ★ Result: Reference dose of 0.14 ug/kg-d

# PFOS Toxicity

---

---

## ★ Study

- Monkey study
  - Six month study
  - Decreased “good” cholesterol and thyroid hormones
  - Each dose caused an effect, although minimal at the lowest dose tested
- Difference between monkeys and humans
  - 5.4 year half-life in humans compared to 0.3 year half-life in monkeys
  - Over time, the dose in humans will be higher than the dose given to the monkeys

# PFOS Toxicity, continued

---

---

- ★ Dose of concern 150 ug/kg-d
- ★ Divide by 20-fold to calculate the equivalent human dose
- ★ Divide by 100-fold uncertainty factor
  - Animal to human uncertainty (3x)
  - Intraspecies variability (10x)
  - Use of a minimal low effect level in the study (3x)
- ★ Result: Reference dose of 0.075 ug/kg-d

# PFBA Toxicity

---

---

## ★ Completed Studies

- 28-day study (3M) – draft report
  - Liver weight increase and decreased cholesterol
- Toxicity studies conducted in 1980-90s
  - 5 to 14-day exposures
  - Compared PFOA, PFBA, and PFAA effects on liver

## ★ Summary

- Suggest the liver is a primary target
- Suggest less toxic than than PFOA
- Shorter half-life in various test animals

# PFBA Toxicity, continued

---

---

## ★ Ongoing studies

- Developmental study (EPA) – ongoing
  - developmental delays and weight effects found; final results not available
- 90-day study (3M)
  - results will not be available until March 2008
- Study of the possible mechanism of toxicity in mice (EPA, UM)

# PFC Human Health Effects

---

---

- ★ Worker Studies of PFOA and PFOS
  - Hormone levels, liver enzymes monitored
  - Half-life calculated
  - PFOA and PFOS blood levels rise with increasing exposure

# PFC Human Health Effects, cont.

---

---

- ★ There are no specific levels of PFCs in human blood that are associated with adverse health effects
- ★ Some inconsistent associations found
- ★ Other PFCs not studied as extensively
  - Short chain chemicals (C4) generally do not accumulate in the body
  - C6 sulfonate and PFCs larger than C8 accumulate in the human body

# PFC Exposure

---

---

## ★ Worker studies

- 3M
- DuPont

## ★ Community exposure measurements

- Red Cross blood bank
- Oakdale
- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

## ★ Large-scale epidemiologic study

- In Ohio/West Virginia
- PFOA exposures

# PFC Exposure

---

---

- ★ We all have PFCs in our blood and it likely comes from many sources
- ★ In risk assessment, we should take into account:
  - Exposures from multiple sources of PFCs
  - Long half lives in humans
  - Consider all portions of the population (infants to elderly, those who drink a large amount of water, eat a lot of fish)

# PFC Exposure

---

---

- ★ Multiple sources of exposure are taken into account
  - Relative source contribution factor of 0.2
- ★ Long half lives in humans means that water intake are calculated over a corresponding long time period
  - PFOA 19 years intake; 0.053 L/kg-d
  - PFOS 27 years intake; 0.048 L/kg-d
- ★ Calculations on water intake are based on 95% of the population

# PFC Risk Assessment

---

---

## ★ Health Based Values (HBV) for Water

### – Inputs

- Monkey-to-human adjusted Reference Doses
- Long-term water intakes (in L/kg-d)
- Standard relative source contribution factor of 0.2

### – Level in water that is protective

- HBV of 0.3 ppb for PFOS
- HBV of 0.5 ppb for PFOA
- Guidance of 1 ppb for PFBA

# PFC Risk Assessment

---

---

## ★ PFOS Health Based Calculation for Fish

### – Inputs

- Monkey-to-human adjusted Reference Doses
- Meal ratio of 227 g fish/ 70 kg human body weight

### – Limit meals based on concentration in fish

- greater than 38 ppb fish=1 meal per week
- greater than 160 ppb fish=1 meal per month