

Protecting, Maintaining and Improving the Health of Minnesotans

Certified Mail # 7008 2810 0001 2258 0798

November 18, 2009

Dean Bloemke, Administrator Welcome Home Health Care Inc 1550 1st Street North Willmar, MN 56201

Re: Results of State Licensing Survey

Dear Mr. Bloemke:

The above agency was surveyed on October 29, 30, November 2 and 3, 2009, for the purpose of assessing compliance with state licensing regulations. State licensing deficiencies, if found, are delineated on the attached Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) correction order form. The correction order form should be signed and returned to this office when all orders are corrected. We urge you to review these orders carefully, item by item, and if you find that any of the orders are not in accordance with your understanding at the time of the exit conference following the survey, you should immediately contact me, or the RN Program Coordinator. If further clarification is necessary, I can arrange for an informal conference at which time your questions relating to the order(s) can be discussed.

A final version of the Licensing Survey Form is enclosed. This document will be posted on the MDH website.

Also attached is an optional Provider questionnaire, which is a self-mailer, which affords the provider with an opportunity to give feedback on the survey experience.

Please note, it is your responsibility to share the information contained in this letter and the results of this visit with the President of your facility's Governing Body.

Please feel free to call our office with any questions at (651) 201-4301.

Sincerely,

Jean Johnston, Program Manager

Jean M. Johnston

Case Mix Review Program

Enclosures

cc: Kandiyohi County Social Services

Ron Drude, Minnesota Department of Human Services

Sherilyn Moe, Office of the Ombudsman

Deb Peterson, Office of the Attorney General

01/07 CMR3199



Class F Home Care Provider

LICENSING SURVEY FORM

Registered nurses from the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) use this Licensing Survey Form during on-site visits to evaluate the care provided by Class F home care providers (Class F). Class F licensees may also use this form to monitor the quality of services provided to clients at any time. Licensees may use their completed Licensing Survey Form to help communicate to MDH nurses during an on-site regulatory visit.

During an on-site visit, MDH nurses will interview staff, talk with clients and/or their representatives, make observations and review documentation. The survey is an opportunity for the licensee to explain to the MDH nurse what systems are in place to provide Class F Home Care services. Completing this Licensing Survey Form in advance may facilitate the survey process.

Licensing requirements listed below are reviewed during a survey. A determination is made whether the requirements are met or not met for each Indicator of Compliance box. This form must be used in conjunction with a copy of the Class F home care regulations. Any violations of Class F Home Care Provider licensing requirements are noted at the end of the survey form.

Name of CLASS F: WELCOME HOME HEALTH CARE INC

HFID #: 26122

Date(s) of Survey: October 29, 30 and November 2, and 3, 2009

Project #: QL26122002

Indicators of Compliance	Outcomes Observed	Comments
 The provider only accepts and retains clients for whom it can meet the needs as agreed to in the service plan. Focus Survey MN Rule 4668.0815 Expanded Survey MN Rule 4668.0800 MN Rule 4668.0800 Subp. 3 MN Rule 4668.0825 Subp. 2 MN Rule 4668.0845 	 Each client has an assessment and service plan developed by a registered nurse within 2 weeks and prior to initiation of delegated nursing services, reviewed at least annually, and as needed. The service plan accurately describes the client's needs. Care is provided as stated in the service plan. The client and/or representative understand what care will be provided and what it costs. 	Focus Survey Met XCorrection Order(s) issued XEducation Provided Expanded Survey XSurvey not ExpandedMetCorrection Order(s) issuedEducation Provided Follow-up Survey #New Correction Order issuedEducation Provided Education Provided

Indicators of Compliance	Outcomes Observed	Comments
2. The provider promotes the clients' rights. Focus Survey MN Rule 4668.0030 MN Statute §144A.44 Expanded Survey MN Rule 4668.0040 MN Rule 4668.0170 MN Statute §144D.04 MN Rule 4668.0870	 Clients are aware of and have their rights honored. Clients are informed of and afforded the right to file a complaint. Continuity of Care is promoted for clients who are discharged from the agency. 	Focus Survey X Met Correction Order(s) issued Education Provided Expanded Survey X Survey not Expanded Met Correction Order(s) issued Education Provided Follow-up Survey # New Correction Order issued Education Provided
3. The health, safety, and well being of clients are protected and promoted. Focus Survey MN Statute §144A.46 MN Statute §626.557 Expanded Survey MN Rule 4668.0035 MN Rule 4668.0805	 Clients are free from abuse or neglect. Clients are free from restraints imposed for purposes of discipline or convenience. Agency personnel observe infection control requirements. There is a system for reporting and investigating any incidents of maltreatment. There is adequate training and supervision for all staff. Criminal background checks are performed as required. 	Focus Survey X_MetCorrection Order(s) issuedEducation Provided Expanded Survey X_Survey not ExpandedMetCorrection Order(s) issuedEducation Provided Follow-up Survey #New Correction Order issuedEducation Provided

Indicators of Compliance	Outcomes Observed	Comments
 4. The clients' confidentiality is maintained. Expanded Survey MN Rule 4668.0810 	 Client personal information and records are secure. Any information about clients is released only to appropriate parties. Client records are maintained, are complete and are secure. 	This area does not apply to a Focus Survey Expanded Survey X Survey not Expanded Met Correction Order(s) issued Education Provided Follow-up Survey # New Correction Order issued Education Provided
5. The provider employs (or contracts with) qualified staff. Focus Survey MN Rule 4668.0065 MN Rule 4668.0835 Expanded Survey MN Rule 4668.0820 MN Rule 4668.0825 MN Rule 4668.0840 MN Rule 4668.070 MN Rule \$144D.065	 Staff have received training and/or competency evaluations as required, including training in dementia care, if applicable. Nurse licenses are current. The registered nurse(s) delegates nursing tasks only to staff that are competent to perform the procedures that have been delegated. The process of delegation and supervision is clear to all staff and reflected in their job descriptions. Personnel records are maintained and retained. Staff meet infection control 	Focus Survey X Met Correction Order(s) issued Education Provided Expanded Survey X Survey not Expanded Met Correction Order(s) issued Education Provided Follow-up Survey # New Correction Order issued Education Provided

guidelines.

Indicators of Compliance	Outcomes Observed	Comments
6. Changes in a client's condition are recognized and acted upon. Medications are stored and administered safely. Focus Survey MN Rule 4668.0855 MN Rule 4668.0860 Expanded Survey MN Rule 4668.0800 MN Rule 4668.0815 MN Rule 4668.0820 MN Rule 4668.0865 MN Rule 4668.0870	 A registered nurse is contacted when there is a change in a client's condition that requires a nursing assessment. Emergency and medical services are contacted, as needed. The client and/or representative is informed when changes occur. The agency has a system for the control of medications. A registered nurse trains unlicensed personnel prior to them administering medications. Medications and treatments are ordered by a prescriber and are administered and documented as prescribed. 	Focus Survey Met XCorrection Order(s) issued XEducation Provided Expanded Survey XSurvey not Expanded Met Correction Order(s) issued Education Provided Follow-up Survey # New Correction Order issued Education Provided
7. The provider has a current license. Focus Survey MN Rule 4668.0019 Expanded Survey MN Rule 4668.0008 MN Rule 4668.0012 MN Rule 4668.0016 MN Rule 4668.0220 Note: MDH will make referrals to the Attorney General's office for violations of MN Statutes 144D or 325F.72; and make other referrals, as needed.	 The CLASS F license (and other licenses or registrations as required) are posted in a place that communicates to the public what services may be provided. The agency operates within its license(s) and applicable waivers and variances. Advertisement accurately reflects the services provided by the agency. 	Focus Survey X Met Correction Order(s) issued Education Provided Expanded Survey X Survey not Expanded Met Correction Order(s) issued Education Provided Follow-up Survey # New Correction Order issued Education Provided

Indicators of Compliance	Outcomes Observed	Comments
8. The provider is in compliance with MDH waivers and variances	• Licensee provides services within the scope of applicable MDH	This area does not apply to a Focus Survey.
Expanded Survey • MN Rule 4668.0016	waivers and variances	Expanded Survey X Survey not Expanded Met Correction Order(s) issued Education Provided Follow-up Survey # New Correction Order issued Education Provided

<u>Please note</u>: Although the focus of the licensing survey is the regulations listed in the Indicators of Compliance boxes above, other rules and statutes may be cited depending on what system a provider has or fails to have in place and/or the severity of a violation. The findings of the focused licensing survey may result in an expanded survey.

|--|

For Indicators of Compliance not met, the rule or statute numbers and the findings of deficient practice are noted below.

1. MN Rule 4668.0815 Subp. 3

INDICATOR OF COMPLIANCE: #1

Based on record review and interview, the licensee failed to ensure that the client or the client's responsible person agreed in writing to a service plan modification for one of one client's (#1) record reviewed. The findings include:

Client #1 began receiving services from the licensee on May 13, 2009, at which time a service agreement was agreed to and signed by the licensee and client. On August 17, 2009, client #1 moved from the care suites to assisted living care, which resulted in a change in fee. On October 15, 2009, client #1 dropped supper from her services, which resulted in a fee change. Client #1's record lacked documentation that the client's service plan was modified to reflect these changes in services and resulting fee changes.

When interviewed, October 30, 2009, the Director of Resident Services stated she had recently started employment with the licensee. She verified none of the above modifications had been made to client #1's service plan.

2. MN Rule 4668.0815 Subp. 4

INDICATOR OF COMPLIANCE: #1

Based on record review and interview, the licensee failed to provide a complete service plan for one of one client's (#1) record reviewed. The findings include:

Client #1's record contained a Service Agreement, dated September 9, 2009, which was signed by the licensee and client on September 10, 2009. The service agreement lacked the following contents: the service of central storage of medications; the identification of the persons or categories of persons who were to provide assist with medication administration and shower assistance; the schedule of supervision of the persons providing those services; the method for a client or responsible person to contact a representative of the licensee whenever staff are providing services; and the circumstances in which emergency medical services were not to be summoned.

When interviewed, October 30, 2009, the manager stated he had recently become the manager for the licensee and was unaware the content of the service plan was not complete.

3. MN Rule 4668.0855 Subp. 5

INDICATOR OF COMPLIANCE: #6

Based on record review and interview, the licensee failed to ensure that a registered nurse (RN) was informed within 24 hours of administration when unlicensed personnel administered pro re nata (PRN, as needed) medications, for one of one client's (#1) record reviewed. The findings include:

Client #1's medication administration record for October, 2009, indicated that unlicensed personnel administered a pro re nata medication to the client on October 29, 2009 for complaints of pain. There was no documentation that the registered nurse (RN) was notified of the PRN use of the medication.

When interviewed, October 29, 2009, the registered nurse stated the unlicensed personnel do not notify her of the administration of pro re nata medications and she was unaware of the requirement. When interviewed October 30, 2009, employee A stated she does not notify the RN after administering a pro re nata medication.

4. MN Rule 4668.0865 Subp. 3

INDICATOR OF COMPLIANCE: #6

Based on record review and interview, the licensee failed to establish a system that addressed the control and handling of medications. The findings include:

The September 2009 Medication administration record (MAR) for client #1 lacked the documentation of administration of Coumadin, lovastatin, and ferrous gluconate on September 18, 2009; lacked the documentation of gabapentin, Keppra, lisinopril, tramadol Tylenol and vancomycin on September 11, 2009; Coumadin, ferrous gluconate, lovastatin, Dilantin, gabapentin, Keppra, lisinopril, tramadol and Tylenol on September 25, 2009; the October, 2009 MAR lacked the documentation of administration of

Dilantin on October 10 and 11, 2009; and lacked documentation of Keppra on October 25 and 26, 2009. The MAR and record lacked documentation as to why the medications were not completed as prescribed.

Physician orders for client #1, signed by the physician August 3, 2009, indicated the client was to receive a multivitamin every day and Keppra 500 milligrams twice a day. The September and October 2009 MARs lacked evidence of the client receiving a multivitamin; and beginning October 23, 2009, the MAR indicated the client received Keppra 250 milligrams twice a day, instead of the 500 milligrams as ordered. Physician orders, dated, August 20, 2009, contained orders for vancomycin with decreasing dosages and ending with 125 milligrams every other day for two weeks. The September MAR indicated that from September 25 through 30, 2009, the client received vancomycin 125 milligrams, two tablets at 8 a.m., instead of one tablet at 8 a.m. and one at 8 p.m. as per order of twice a day; the October 2009 MAR indicated client #1 received the vancomycin every Tuesday, Thursday, Saturday and Sunday, instead of every other day.

When interviewed by phone, November 3, 2009, the registered nurse (RN) stated she was not sure if the medications were administered and not documented or not administered and not documented, however, if medications are left in the daily dose boxes she does investigate the reason why the medications were not administered and documented. She stated staff were trained to document on the MAR their circled initials if the medication were not administered at the prescribed time and document why the medication was not administered and the staff were also instructed to count all medications that they are administering and to check the count against the MAR to make sure the count is equal to the number of medications for that time of administration. She also stated client #1 had not received a multivitamin and acknowledged the order had been missed. She noted that the pharmacy had indicated with the refill of the Keppra on October 21, 2009, that the physician had ordered 250 milligrams twice a day, however, the record lacked an order, from the physician, for this dosage change. She also stated she did not know if the client received two tablets of the vancomycin in the morning from September 25 to 30, 2009, or one tablet twice a day as ordered. She stated she was not notified of a discrepancy in count of medications during this time. She also noted that she did not know why the vancomycin was administered on both Saturday and Sunday instead of every other day as ordered. She stated she had not received a physician order for the Tuesday, Thursday, Saturday and Sunday administration times versus the every other day.

A draft copy of this completed form was faxed to <u>Dana Asche, Director; Lisa Schueler, RN and Jacob Work, Manager</u>, at an exit conference on <u>November 3, 2009</u>. Any correction order(s) issued as a result of the on-site visit and the final Licensing Survey Form will be sent to the licensee. If you have any questions about the Licensing Survey Form or the survey results, please contact the Minnesota Department of Health, (651) 201-4301. After review, this form will be posted on the MDH website. Class F Home Care Provider general information is available by going to the following web address and clicking on the Class F Home Care Provider link:

http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/fpc/profinfo/cms/casemix.html

Regulations can be viewed on the Internet: http://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/stats (for MN statutes) http://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/arule/ (for MN Rules).