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In 2009 the Minnesota Commissioner of Health designated sentinel surveillance for *Clostridium difficile* in Benton, Morrison, Stearns, and Todd Counties under the authority of the Communicable Disease Rule, Chapter 4605. In 2012, surveillance was expanded to include Olmsted County. This population-based active laboratory surveillance for *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) is conducted by the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) Emerging Infections Program (EIP) in collaboration with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The surveillance includes all patients at least 1 year of age, with a positive *C. difficile* test, residing in Benton, Morrison, Stearns, Todd, or Olmsted Counties. Patients are categorized into three epidemiologic classifications depending on the location and timing of the *C. difficile* positive sample in relation to their healthcare exposure. The definitions are as follows.

**Epidemiologic classifications:**

- **Community-Associated (CA):** a patient who developed CDI while in the community and had no overnight stay in a healthcare facility in the prior 12 weeks; or developed CDI within the first 3 days of admission to a healthcare facility.

- **Community-Onset, Healthcare Facility Associated (CO-HCFA):** a patient who developed CDI while in the community and had an overnight stay in a healthcare facility in the prior 12 weeks.

- **Healthcare Facility-Onset (HCFO):** a patient who developed CDI while in a healthcare facility and had a *C. difficile* specimen collected ≥4 days after admission to a healthcare facility.

A healthcare facility is defined as an acute care hospital, long-term acute care hospital, or long-term care facility.

**Specimen classification definitions:**

- **Duplicate:** a positive *C. difficile* test collected less than 2 weeks after a previous positive *C. difficile* test.

- **Recurrent:** a positive *C. difficile* test collected between 2 and 8 weeks after a previous positive *C. difficile* test.

- **Incident:** a positive *C. difficile* test collected greater than 8 weeks after any previous positive *C. difficile* test.

This document summarizes the surveillance data collected during 2015.
In 2015, 1011 case reports from residents within the catchment area who were at least 1 year of age were submitted to MDH; Figure 1 below shows the proportion of incident, recurrent, and duplicate specimens.

**Figure 1**

Classification of *Clostridium difficile* Reports Submitted to MDH, 2015 (n=1011)

Of the 799 incident reports with medical records available, 471 (59%) were classified as community-associated. Figure 2 below shows the proportion of the epidemiologic classifications.

**Figure 2**

Minnesota *Clostridium difficile* Incident Cases by Epidemiologic Classification, 2015 (n=799)
Of the 160 HCFO cases, 99 (62%) cases were likely acquired in a hospital setting and 61 (38%) were likely acquired in a long-term care facility.

**Figure 3**

Minnesota *Clostridium difficile* HCFO Cases by Facility Type, 2015 (n=160)

- 99 (62%) Hospital
- 61 (38%) Long-term care facility

Of the 168 CO-HCFA cases, 149 (89%) had a prior overnight hospital stay, 13 (8%) had both an overnight hospital and long-term care facility stay, 5 (3%) had only a prior long-term care facility stay, and 1 (0.6%) had a prior hospital, long-term acute care hospital, and long-term care facility stay.

**Figure 4**

Minnesota *Clostridium difficile* CO-HCFA Cases by Associated Facility Type, 2015 (n=168)

- 149 (89%) Hospital
- 13 (8%) Hospital and LTCF
- 1 (0.6%) Hospital, LTACH, and LTCF
- 5 (3%) Long-term care facility
Figure 5

Surveillance County Distribution of Incident *Clostridium difficile* Cases in Minnesota, 2015 (n=799)

*Rates are based upon estimated 2014 population (ages ≥1 yr.) data for Benton, Morrison, Stearns, Todd, and Olmsted Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minnesota Surveillance County</th>
<th>Number of Incident Cases</th>
<th>Incidence Rate per 100,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Number of Cases and Rates of Incident *Clostridium difficile* by Gender and Age Group in Minnesota, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Incident Cases n (%)</th>
<th>Incidence Rate per 100,000 population*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>319 (40)</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>480 (60)</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-17 years</td>
<td>60 (8)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-44 years</td>
<td>174 (22)</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64 years</td>
<td>218 (27)</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>347 (43)</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rates are based upon estimated 2014 population (ages ≥1 yr.) data for Benton, Morrison, Stearns, Todd, and Olmsted Counties
Figure 6

Number of Incident Cases of *Clostridium difficile* by Month and Epidemiologic Class in Minnesota, 2015

![Graph showing the number of incident cases of *Clostridium difficile* by month and epidemiologic class in Minnesota, 2015.](image)

Figure 7

Incidence Rates* of *Clostridium difficile* Infections in Minnesota by Year and Epidemiologic Classification

![Graph showing the incidence rates of *Clostridium difficile* infections in Minnesota by year and epidemiologic classification.](image)

* Rates are based upon estimated 2014 population (ages ≥1 yr.) data for Benton, Morrison, Stearns, Todd, and Olmsted Counties

** One major clinical laboratory switched laboratory testing methods from EIA to PCR in 2010
Table 2: Percent of Cases Prescribed Antibiotics in Previous 12 weeks, According to Medical Record, 2015 (n=799)

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-HCFA</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCFO</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attempts to contact all CA cases were made to administer a health interview inquiring about risk factors in the previous 12 weeks, including antibiotic use. In 2015, 353 health interviews were completed, 205 (59%) cases reported taking antibiotics in the 12 weeks prior to symptom onset or stool collection.

Figure 8

Reported Indications for Antimicrobial Prescription by C. difficile cases in Minnesota, 2015 (n=205)

Of the 353 interviewed CA cases, 57 (16%) had no outpatient healthcare and no antibiotic exposure documented in their medical record or reported on interview.
Discussion

_Clostridium difficile_ infections are an important public health concern in Minnesota; the incidence is highest in people age 65 years and older, and women are more often affected than men. A majority of Minnesota’s CDI cases have no inpatient or overnight healthcare exposure, and at least 16% of interviewed CA patients had no documented healthcare or antibiotic exposure, the main modifiable risk factors for _C. difficile_ infection. This signifies further investigation of community-associated CDI is needed to identify risk factors for acquiring _C. difficile_. In 2014 and 2015, the Minnesota Department of Health participated in a larger case-control study to identify risk factors for community-acquired CDI; results are pending.

Antibiotic use is a known risk factor for CDI; overall, 69% of Minnesota cases in 2015 were prescribed an antibiotic prior to their _C. difficile_ infection. Attention to appropriate antibiotic prescribing practices, especially in the case of asymptomatic bacteriuria, upper respiratory illnesses, and dental cleaning prophylaxis, could be an important avenue for CDI prevention.
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