

## Antimicrobial Resistance and Judicious Antimicrobial Use in Minnesota

### Antimicrobial Resistance in Minnesota and the United States

Problems with antimicrobial resistance in Minnesota and the United States continue to grow. Increasing antimicrobial resistance is being encountered in a variety of organisms; much of this is due to increasing antimicrobial use in human and veterinary medicine.

Nationally, the prevalence of penicillin resistance among *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates increased from 1% in 1992 to 16% in 1999.<sup>1</sup> In Minnesota, 24% of invasive pneumococcal isolates submitted to the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) in 1999 were non-susceptible to penicillin, and 17% were non-susceptible to third-generation cephalosporins.<sup>2</sup> Another concerning aspect of these penicillin/cephalosporin-resistant pneumococcal isolates, especially those with high levels of resistance, is that they often are resistant to other classes of antimicrobials as well.

In Minnesota and elsewhere, community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections in patients without traditional risk factors for MRSA are emerging as an important health problem, particularly among pediatric patients.<sup>3,4</sup> The significance of these infections is that MRSA isolates have a gene that makes them intrinsically resistant to all beta-lactam antibiotics, including cephalosporins. This is worrisome, since the empiric treatment of pediatric infections commonly involves the use of beta-lactams.

Another development regarding *S. aureus* is the emergence of "vancomycin-intermediate *S. aureus*" (VISA).<sup>5,6</sup> In the spring of 2000, a Minnesota resident became the fifth person in the U.S. diagnosed with a confirmed VISA infection. This patient was exposed to vancomycin for a total of 18 weeks, and serial isolates from the patient (all of which were an identical strain based on DNA fingerprinting) became progressively less sensitive to vancomycin. This is another example of microbes' ability to adapt rapidly in the face of selective antimicrobial pressure.

Among foodborne pathogens in Minnesota, the prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant isolates of *Shigella*, *Salmonella*, and *Campylobacter* is substantial.<sup>2</sup> For example, 85% of *Shigella* isolates identified in 1999 were non-susceptible to ampicillin, and 25% were non-susceptible to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. These agents have been traditional front-line therapies for *Shigella* infections. Approximately 20% of *Campylobacter jejuni* infections were non-susceptible to ciprofloxacin in 1999. More than 80% of *C. jejuni* infections among persons who recently had returned from foreign travel were resistant to ciprofloxacin. Ciprofloxacin and erythromycin are considered first-line therapies for these infections. Finally, 40% of human *Salmonella typhimurium* isolates now are non-susceptible to ampicillin. Some of these isolates have the "DT 104" phenotype, which has a series of resistance genes that confer resistance to ampicillin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, sulfamethoxazole,

and tetracycline. Between 1995 and 1998, 27% of *S. typhimurium* isolates were resistant to five or more antimicrobials.<sup>7</sup>

The problem of resistant organisms extends to other pathogens as well. Nationally, an increasing percentage of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates are resistant to one or more antimicrobials that are commonly used to treat tuberculosis.<sup>8</sup> There is also growing resistance of HIV to antiretroviral medications such as AZT.<sup>9</sup> In 2000, a Minnesota resident became the fifth person in the U.S. to be diagnosed with an erythromycin-resistant pertussis infection. Finally, in 2000, MDH reported its first isolate of *Neisseria gonorrhoea* that was non-susceptible to ciprofloxacin.

### Antimicrobial Prescribing

Over the past two decades, the use of antimicrobials has increased dramatically, particularly among children. Between 1980 and 1992, the rate of outpatient antibiotic prescribing increased approximately 50%.<sup>10</sup> Antimicrobial prescribing is the most important catalyst for the increase in resistant organisms. Recent antimicrobial treatment increases a child's risk of either being colonized<sup>11-13</sup> with or developing an invasive infection<sup>14,15</sup> from resistant pneumococci. Some antimicrobial resistance in humans can be linked primarily to antimicrobial prescribing in food animals. For instance, a study by investigators at MDH demonstrated that a rise in domestically-acquired quinolone-  
**continued...**

resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* infections in Minnesotans was linked to resistant *C. jejuni* strains in chickens, which in turn were associated with the use of quinolones in the poultry industry.<sup>16</sup>

Inappropriate use of antimicrobials can have adverse clinical consequences in addition to drug resistance. Antimicrobial treatment of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections increases the risk of developing hemolytic uremic syndrome.<sup>17</sup> Recent antibiotic use increases patients' risk of acquiring *Salmonella* during outbreak situations,<sup>18</sup> and the treatment of enteric *Salmonella* infections prolongs carriage and shedding of these organisms. Most *Clostridium difficile* infections are associated with recent antibiotic use.<sup>19</sup> Other adverse impacts of antimicrobial treatment include allergic reactions. Finally, antimicrobials contribute substantially to the cost of pharmaceutical benefits for health insurers and health maintenance organizations. Money invested on unnecessary antimicrobials increases cost and decreases access to health care.

### **Inappropriate Antimicrobial Use and Respiratory Infections: The Core of the Problem**

Respiratory infections (including colds, upper respiratory infections, bronchitis, pharyngitis, sinusitis, and otitis media) account for more than 70% of antibiotic prescriptions in the U.S.<sup>10</sup> Data from several studies have demonstrated that 30 to 60% of these prescriptions are inappropriate.<sup>20-22</sup> There is evidence that the problem is similarly pervasive in Minnesota. For example, 53% of Minnesota and Wisconsin residents who reported a non-bacterial infection diagnosis at a recent office visit for a respiratory infection were prescribed antimicrobial agents.<sup>23</sup>

Why is there such widespread and substantially inappropriate antimicrobial prescribing for the treatment of respiratory infections? There are two major factors involved that are clearly related to one another: patient expectation and physician practice. Several studies have shown that a patient's expectation of antimicrobial treatment is strongly associated with receipt of an antimicrobial agent, regardless of diagnosis.<sup>24,25</sup> Data from the Wisconsin Antibiotic Resistance Network (WARN) showed

that adults in Minnesota and Wisconsin who expected an antibiotic prior to their clinic visit for a respiratory infection were seven times more likely to receive an antibiotic, regardless of their diagnosis.<sup>23</sup>

Patients' expectations about antimicrobial treatment are partly due to misconceptions about the role of antimicrobials in the treatment of several common medical conditions.<sup>26,27</sup> First, most individuals incorrectly believe that bacteria, not viruses, cause acute bronchitis. In addition, much of the public believes that green or yellow nasal discharge indicates the need for antimicrobial treatment.<sup>23</sup> Improved patient knowledge would lead to decreased prescribing of antimicrobials. WARN data show that Minnesota and Wisconsin residents who were more knowledgeable about appropriate antibiotic use were less likely to think prior to the office visit that an antimicrobial agent was necessary. These more knowledgeable patients were more likely to have been exposed to multiple informational messages about appropriate antimicrobial use and resistant microorganisms; children with more knowledgeable parents were less likely to receive inappropriate antimicrobial treatment.<sup>23</sup>

Public information campaigns have led to reductions in antimicrobial use. Results from a community intervention trial in Wisconsin demonstrated that an intensive informational campaign targeted to the public and physicians reduced the use of both solid and liquid antimicrobials. In this trial, prescribing of solid antimicrobials declined by 19% (compared with an 8% decline in the control area), and prescribing of liquid antimicrobials declined by 11% (compared with an increase of 12% in the control area). A public and physician information campaign in Denver reduced antimicrobial prescribing for acute bronchitis from 74% to 48%, with no change in the rate of prescribing for the control area.<sup>28</sup>

Nationwide interventions focusing primarily on physicians also have reduced antimicrobial use and levels of antimicrobial resistance. In Iceland, rates of penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* (PRSP) rose from 0% in 1988 to 20% in 1993. An information campaign aimed mostly at physicians, coupled

with regulatory changes that shifted the cost of prescription drugs to patients, led to declines in antimicrobial use and a subsequent decline in PRSP. This decline was especially marked among children attending daycare, where rates of PRSP declined from 20% in 1992 to 15% in 1995.<sup>29,30</sup> In Finland, macrolide use nearly tripled during the 1980s, and erythromycin resistance among Group A *Streptococcus* rose sharply in the early 1990s. A national campaign among physicians led to a 42% reduction in macrolide use, and erythromycin resistance in Group A *Streptococcus* fell from 17% to 9% between 1992 and 1996.<sup>31</sup>

### **Guidelines for Appropriate Antibiotic Use in Respiratory Infections**

A number of organizations have developed initiatives to clarify appropriate antimicrobial prescribing for respiratory infections. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Minnesota-based Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) have been leaders in this area. CDC recommendations<sup>32</sup> for appropriate antimicrobial prescribing can be summarized as follows:

- **Colds/Upper Respiratory Infections**  
Colds and upper respiratory infections are caused by viruses and should not be treated with antimicrobial agents.
- **Bronchitis**  
Acute bronchitis generally is caused by viral agents and should not be treated with antimicrobial agents. A possible exception is patients with chronic lung disease (excluding asthma), for whom antimicrobial treatment may be of possible benefit.
- **Pharyngitis**  
Antimicrobials are recommended for treatment of pharyngitis caused by Group A *Streptococcus* (GAS). However less than 20% of acute pharyngitis is caused by GAS; viral infections cause most pharyngitis. Antimicrobial treatment for pharyngitis generally should be preceded by either a positive rapid strep test or a GAS-positive throat culture.

continued...

## Web Sites: Antimicrobial Resistance and Judicious Antimicrobial Use

- **Site:** <http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/dpc/ades/surveillance/table.pdf>  
Minnesota Department of Health tables summarizing antimicrobial susceptibilities of selected reportable pathogens, 1999 and 1998.
- **Site:** <http://www.wismed.org/warn>  
Wisconsin Antimicrobial Resistance Network (WARN); information, posters, brochures.
- **Site:** <http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd>  
CDC guidelines, rates of pneumococcal resistance for the Active Bacterial Core Surveillance network, slides/brochures/posters about antimicrobial resistance.
- **Site:** <http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/hip/SURVEILL/inspear.HTM>  
CDC site for the International Network for the Study and Prevention of Emerging Antimicrobial Resistance (INSPEAR).
- **Site:** <http://www.healthsci.tufts.edu/apua>  
The Alliance for Prudent Antimicrobial Use (APUA), based at Tufts University, Boston, MA. Current news and events in antimicrobial resistance.
- **Site:** [http://www.idlinks.com/antimicrobial\\_resistance.htm](http://www.idlinks.com/antimicrobial_resistance.htm)  
Infectious disease organization based in Tacoma, WA. Excellent links to other websites.

- **“Purulent Rhinitis”**  
The common cold and other upper respiratory infections normally are associated with green or yellow nasal discharge, especially after several days of illness. Green or yellow nasal discharge alone is not a reason to prescribe antimicrobials.

- **Sinusitis**  
Sinus abnormalities, such as congestion and discomfort, occur frequently with viral upper respiratory infections. Antimicrobial use generally should be restricted to patients with fever, facial pain, or prolonged symptoms. Of note, the term “acute bacterial rhinosinusitis” sometimes is used to connote bacterial sinusitis.

- **Otitis Media**  
Antimicrobial treatment for otitis media should be limited to acute otitis media. Antimicrobials should not be prescribed for otitis media with effusion (OME); in OME, antibiotics do not hasten symptom improvement or fluid removal from the middle ear.

### Future Directions for Combating Antimicrobial Resistance in Minnesota

In Minnesota, initiatives are underway to promote judicious use of antimicrobials. Two groups working on this issue include the Minnesota Medical Association (MMA) Antimicrobial Prescribing Practices Task Force (comprised of representatives from the MMA, the HMO Council of Minnesota, the Minnesota Pharmacy Association, and MDH) and the Twin Cities Antibiotic

Resistance Collaborative (comprised of representatives from several HMOs and a health services research company).

In September 2000, the MMA passed a resolution “to develop and implement a statewide public education campaign regarding the appropriate use of antibiotics and the potential dangers of antibiotic resistance.”<sup>33</sup> Encouraging efforts to educate the public and improve physician prescribing practices will be the cornerstones for combating antimicrobial resistance now and in the near future. Efforts to study antimicrobial prescribing for respiratory infections will be useful benchmarks for evaluating the quality of care provided by physicians and health care institutions such as HMOs.

### References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/abcs](http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/abcs)
2. Minnesota Department of Health. [Http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/dpc/ades/surveillance/table.pdf](http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/dpc/ades/surveillance/table.pdf)
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Four pediatric deaths from community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*-Minnesota and North Dakota, 1997-1999. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1999; 48:707-710.
4. Herold BC, Immergluck LC, Maranan MC, et al. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in children with no identified predisposing risk. *JAMA* 1998; 279:593-598.
5. Sieradzki K, Roberts RB, Haber SW, Tomasz A. The development of vancomycin resistance in a patient with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *N Eng J Med* 1999; 340:517-523.
6. Smith TL, Pearson ML, Wilcox KR, et al. Emergence of vancomycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 340:493-501.
7. Bender JB, Hedberg CW, Boxrud DJ, et al. Surveillance of *Salmonella enterica* subtype typhimurium according to molecular subtype. *N Eng J Med* 2001; 344:in press.
8. Moore M, Onorato IM, McCray E, et al. Trends in drug-resistant tuberculosis in the United States, 1993-1996. *JAMA* 1997; 278:833-837.
9. Hanna GJ, D'Aquila RT. Antiretroviral Drug Resistance in HIV-1. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 1999; 1:289-297.

10. McCaig LF, Hughes JM. Trends in antimicrobial drug prescribing among office-based physicians in the United States. *JAMA* 1995; 273:214-219.
11. Arnold KE, Leggiadro RJ, Breiman RF, et al. Risk factors for carriage of drug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* among children in Memphis, Tennessee. *J Pediatr* 1996; 128:757-764.
12. Brook I, Gobar AE. Prophylaxis with amoxicillin or sulfisoxazole for otitis media: effect on the recovery of penicillin-resistant bacteria from children. *Clin Inf Dis* 1996; 221:143-145.
13. Block SL, Harrison CJ, Hedrick JA, et al. Penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* acute otitis media: risk factors, susceptibility patterns and antimicrobial management. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1995; 14:751-759.
14. Deeks SL, Palacio R, Ruvinsky R, et al. Risk factors and course of illness among children with invasive penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Pediatrics* 1999; 103:409-413.
15. Tan TQ, Mason EO, Jr., Kaplan SL. Penicillin-resistant systemic pneumococcal infections in children: a retrospective case-control study. *Pediatrics* 1993; 92:761-767.
16. Smith KE, Besser JM, Hedberg CW, et al. Quinolone-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* infections in Minnesota, 1992-1998. *N Eng J Med* 1999; 340:1525-1532.
17. Wong CS, Jelacic S, Habeeb RL, Watkins SL, Tarr PI. The risk of hemolytic uremic syndrome after antibiotic treatment of *Escherichia coli* infections. *N Eng J Med* 2000; 342:1930-1936.
18. Holmberg SD, Osterholm MT, Senger KA, Cohen ML. Drug-resistant *Salmonella* from animals fed antimicrobials. *N Eng J Med* 1984; 311:617-622.
19. Gorbach SL. Antibiotics and *Clostridium difficile*. *N Eng J Med* 1999; 341:1690-1691.
20. Gonzales R, Steiner JF, Sande MA. Antibiotic prescribing for adults with colds, upper respiratory tract infections, and bronchitis by ambulatory care physicians. *JAMA* 1997; 278:901-904.
21. Nyquist A-C, Gonzales R, Steiner JF, Sande MA. Antibiotic prescribing for children with colds, upper respiratory tract infections, and bronchitis. *JAMA* 1998; 279:875-877.
22. Watson RL, Dowell SF, Jayaraman M, Keyserling H, Kolczak M, Schwartz B. Antimicrobial use for pediatric upper respiratory infections: reported practice, actual practice, and parent beliefs. *Pediatrics* 1999; 104:1251-1257.
23. Belongia EA, Naimi TS, Gale C, Besser RE. Antibiotic use and upper respiratory infections: surveys of knowledge, attitudes and experience in Minnesota and Wisconsin, 1999. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases 2000, Atlanta, July 16-July 19, 2000. Session 17, abstract 14.
24. Barden L, Dowell S, Schwartz B, Lackey C. Current attitudes regarding use of antimicrobial agents: Results from physicians' and parents' focus group discussions. *Clin Pediatr* 1998; 37:665-671.

continued...

25. Bauchner H, Pelton S, Klein J. Parents, physicians, and antibiotic use. *Pediatrics* 1999; 103:395-401.
26. Palmer D, Bauchner H. Parents' and physicians' views on antibiotics. *Pediatrics* 1997; 99:1-5.
27. Mainous AG, Zoorob RJ, Oler MJ, Haynes DM. Patient knowledge of upper respiratory infections: implications for antibiotic expectations and unnecessary utilization. *J Fam Pract* 1997; 45:75-83.
28. Gonzales R, Steiner J, Lum A, Barrett P. Decreasing antibiotic use in ambulatory practice: Impact of a multidimensional intervention on the treatment of uncomplicated acute bronchitis in adults. *JAMA* 1999; 281:1512-1518.
29. Stephenson J. Icelandic researchers are showing the way to bring down rates of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *JAMA* 1996; 275:175.
30. Gunnlaugsson A, Arason V, Erlendsdottir H, Kristinsson K, Sigurdsson J, Gudmundsson S. The association between antimicrobial drug use in the community and carriage of penicillin nonsusceptible *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. 39th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, San Francisco, CA, 1999. Abstract 1026.
31. Seppala H, Klaukka T, Vuopio-Varkila J, et al. The effect of changes in the consumption of macrolide antibiotics on erythromycin resistance in Group A *Streptococcus* in Finland. Finnish Study Group for Antimicrobial Resistance. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 337:441-446.
32. Dowell SF. Principles of judicious use of antimicrobial agents for pediatric upper respiratory tract infections. *Pediatrics* 1998; 101 (Suppl):163-184.
33. Minnesota Medical Association. Antibiotic resistance public education campaign, Minnesota Medical Association Annual Meeting, Duluth, September 14-15, 2000. [Http://www.mmaonline.net](http://www.mmaonline.net) .

**Jan K. Malcolm  
Commissioner of Health**

**Division of Infectious Disease Prevention and Control**

Harry F. Hull, M.D. .... Division Director & State Epidemiologist  
 Richard N. Danila, Ph.D., M.P.H. .... Acute Disease Epi. Section Manager  
 Kirk Smith, D.V.M., Ph.D. .... Editor  
 Wendy Mills, M.P.H. .... Assistant Editor  
 Sheril Arndt ..... Production Editor

**CHANGING YOUR  
ADDRESS?**

**Please correct the address  
below and send it to:  
DCN MAILING LIST  
Minnesota Dept. of Health  
717 Delaware Street SE  
Minneapolis, MN 55414**

**The *Disease Control Newsletter* is available on the MDH Acute Disease Epidemiology Section  
web site at <http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/dpc/ades/pub.htm>  
The *Disease Control Newsletter* toll-free telephone number is 1-800-366-2597**