

**Politics, Reform  
&  
Rural Health Care:  
Impacts of Today's  
Health Policy Decisions**

**JUNE 15, 2009**

**Rural Health Conference**

**Lawrence J. Massa  
President**



*Minnesota Hospital Association*

[www.mnhospitals.org](http://www.mnhospitals.org)

**“Connectedness” will be the key strategic priority for rural health care going forward.**

- More important than facilities, technology, or breadth of services offered



# Multiple policy arenas

- MN Legislature, Governor
- MN Departments of Health and Human Services
- Congress and Obama administration
- CMS, AHRQ
  
- **Don't assume coordination among policy makers**



# MN Legislature & Governor

- 2009 session faced \$4.5 billion shortfall after several years of hospital payment cuts
- Legislature cut \$54.5 million (with federal matching funds)
- Governor eliminated GAMC beginning July 2010 (\$381 million)
- Governor promising more health care cuts through unallotment



# MN Legislature & Governor: Rural impacts from '09 session

- Statewide safety net is fraying
- Medicaid rates: almost 16% below '02 costs
- Past focus on expanding coverage has shifted to cutting government's costs
- Prepare for more rapidly escalating uncompensated care
  - Economy creating more uninsured
  - Premium costs creating more underinsured (HSA plans)
  - Government cuts creating more insured with poor reimbursements (Medicaid rate cuts)
  - GAMC cuts will create more uninsured



# State health care reform

- 2008 legislation being implemented by MN Departments of Health & Human Services
- Health care homes
- Baskets of care
- Provider peer grouping
- Standard quality measures, incentives



# Health care homes: Rural impacts

## ■ Care coordination fees might not cover costs of certification

- Often a small number of eligible state public program enrollees in rural communities
- Certification requirements will add cost
- Expect approximately \$50 per member per month



# Baskets of care: Rural impacts

## ■ Single payment to drive collaboration

- Multiple providers under one payment
- Facilities with high volumes will gain market leverage, but will need other providers to fill gaps
- Who is the shopper? Individual patient? Insurance plan? Employer?
- Rural providers can leverage geography, low-cost services to collaborate with high-volume facilities



# Provider peer grouping: Rural impacts

## ■ Redefining peers and competitors

- “Total relative cost of care” for aggregate services and specific procedures
- Peers may not be local; competitors may not be local
- Perception of value will impact patients’ and payers’ decisions, especially with State of MN website
- Perception of value will impact relationships with other providers, physicians



# Provider peer grouping, cont.

## ■ Troubling development from 2009 legislative session

- DHS required to develop method to use provider peer grouping data to determine provider eligibility for payments from state health programs
- Bottom 10% precluded unless they demonstrate improvement
- Details unknown, but troubling indication that peer grouping website will morph into payment, not just transparency



# Quality measures & incentives: Rural impacts

## ■ Comparing Granny Smith to Honey Crisp

- Standard measures will simplify and reduce reporting burdens
- Quality measures will be more transparent
- Negotiations and PR will become tougher for poor results leading to more organizations dropping services with lower volumes or poor stats



# Federal Reform

- **Payment reforms**
- **Insurance expansions**
- **Tax policy changes**
- **Workforce**



# Federal Reform: Payment reforms

- **Value-based purchasing:** Could lose all/part of withhold based on aggregate performance on multiple measures
- **Bundles:** Could lose all/part of withhold based on all providers' performance in episode of care for patient
- **Readmissions:** Could lose all/part of withhold if previous year's avoidable readmission rates were unusually high
- **Geographic disparities:** Could lose all/part of market basket update if overall costs are higher than national/regional benchmarks



# Rural impact of payment reforms

- **Most payment reform proposals exclude critical access hospitals**
  - Some include demonstration projects
  - Most payment reforms focused on PPS hospitals, and physician collaborators
  - Payments unlikely to increase; payments designed for savings to CMS
  - Impacts on small, rural hospitals will be indirect, but real
- **Significant cuts to DSH, medical education payments proposed**



# Federal Reform: Insurance expansions

## ■ **National insurance exchange**

- Individual, small employer plans
- Minimum benefit sets
- Guaranteed issue and renewal

## ■ **National plan option** (controversial)

## ■ **Mandates**

- Children (at least), individual (likely), employer (maybe?)

## ■ **Medicaid reforms**

- Possible national, fully funded MA program
- Minimum reimbursements tied to percentage of Medicare

## ■ **Allow uninsured, 55+ year-olds to buy in to Medicare**



# Rural impact of insurance expansions

- **National insurance exchange:** more patients covered by national plans
- **Mandates:** more people insured, but with less rich benefit sets
- **Medicaid reforms:** could shift debate at state from GAMC
- **Medicare:** higher percentage of cost-based reimbursement for CAHs



# Federal Reform: Tax policy changes

- **Increased pressure on hospitals' tax-exempt status**
  - Proposals for minimum uncompensated care thresholds for 501(c)(3) hospitals
- **Cap value of health benefits subject to tax exemption**



# Rural impact of tax policy changes

- Open question whether CAHs will be exempt from charity care thresholds
- Many hospitals losing some or all tax-exempt advantages
- Reduction in patients with benefit-rich plans



# Federal reforms: Workforce

## ■ **Primary care bonus**

- 5% or more bonus for office, nursing home and home visits
- Available to providers with 60% of services in specified ambulatory settings (health professional shortage area)
- 5 years beginning 2010

## ■ **General surgery bonus**

- 5% or some other amount above FFS
- Newly defined rural general surgeon scarcity area

## ■ **Redistribute unused GME slots**

- 80% of unused spots redistributed; 75% of which would be allocated for primary care or general surgery for 5 years
- Rural teaching hospitals with <250 beds excluded



# Rural impact of workforce reforms

- **Increased reimbursement rates to help recruit, retain primary care and general surgeon doctors**
- **Some increase in subsidized medical education slots for rural physicians**
- **Setbacks if medical education funds for teaching hospitals are cut**



# Themes from state and federal reforms

- Higher reimbursements or new health care funding unlikely
- Reforms and transparency will push payers to Medicare-benchmarked payments
- Federal reforms exclude CAHs; state reforms apply across-the-board
  - Future federal reforms increasingly likely to focus on CAHs



# Sense of “connectedness” will change decisions for . . .

- Patients and loyalty to community hospital
  - Same for employers, local communities
- Physicians
  - Employed by hospital
  - Part of basket/bundle with hospital
  - Quality measures connected with hospital and vice versa
- Other health systems
  - Part of basket/bundle with rural hospital
  - Rural hospital as referral base for high-volume procedures
  - Line-of-service integration opportunities



# Hospitals that can't create or maintain “connectedness”

- Price & quality shopping fragments service volumes
  - Patients, payers scatter, pick-and-choose services
  - Physicians concerned about transparency change referral patterns, or where they choose to practice
- Bundles lead to “capturing” all related services, not referring. Unconnected providers lose revenues (e.g., swing beds)
- If bundle-offering or connected providers achieve efficiencies, transparency will speed up loss of market share as consumers and payers make cost-based decisions



# Best opportunities for rural health care

## ■ Capitalize on geography, connect with bundle payment system

- Collaborate where patients want local services and bundle-offering providers want outsourcing (rehab, post-acute care)
- Wellness, prevention, trauma and lower-capital expense services
- Price services to bundle-offering providers with recognition of Medicare PPS rates as benchmark



# Best opportunities, cont.

- **Maintain independence while connecting with centers of excellence on line-of-service basis**
- **Use new transparency, data to adjust referral patterns, provider connections to improve quality, cost scores**



# Questions & Discussion

[www.mnhospitals.org](http://www.mnhospitals.org)

