

Protecting, Maintaining and Improving the Health of All Minnesotans

State Rapid Response Investigative Public Report

Office of Health Facility Complaints

Maltreatment Report #: H5316043M Date Concluded: June 3, 2022

Name, Address, and County of Licensee

Investigated:

New Richland Care Center 312 1st Street Northeast New Richland, MN 56072 Waseca County

Facility Type: Nursing Home Evaluator's Name: Peggy Boeck, RN

Special Investigator

Finding: Substantiated, individual responsibility

Nature of Visit:

The Minnesota Department of Health investigated an allegation of maltreatment, in accordance with the Minnesota Reporting of Maltreatment of Vulnerable Adults Act, Minn. Stat. 626.557, and to evaluate compliance with applicable licensing standards for the provider type.

Allegation(s):

The alleged perpetrator (AP) sexually abused a resident. The AP had sexual contact with the resident when the AP kissed the resident on the mouth and allowed the resident to touch the AP's breasts over her clothing.

Investigative Findings and Conclusion:

Sexual abuse was substantiated. The AP was responsible for the maltreatment. Two separate witnesses observed the AP engaged in sexual contact with the resident. The first witness observed the AP leaning over the resident in his wheelchair, allowing the resident to touch the AP's breasts. The second witness observed the AP in the resident's room with her arms around the resident, kissing the resident on the mouth. Psychological harm occurred when the resident experienced increased worry and fears related to the incident.

The investigation included interviews with facility staff members, including administrative staff, nursing staff, and unlicensed staff. The investigator reviewed the AP's personnel and training

records, the AP's Facebook public posts, resident records, facility documents, policies, and procedures related to maltreatment of vulnerable adults and code of conduct.

The resident lived at the facility for several years due to diagnoses including Parkinson's Disease and dementia. Although the resident had a history of hallucinations, an assessment determined the resident was cognitively intact. The resident received cares that included assistance of two staff for toileting, assistance of two staff for bed mobility, assistance of one staff for bathing, and assistance of two staff for transfers.

According to a facility incident report, one evening an unlicensed staff (#1) knocked and walked into the resident's room to offer a snack. The unlicensed staff (#1) saw the AP leaning over the resident, who was in his wheelchair. The unlicensed staff (#1) saw the resident's hand on the AP's breast, so she quickly stepped back and asked the resident what he wanted for a snack.

During an interview, the unlicensed staff (#1) stated when she entered the resident's room the AP was standing in front of the resident, who was in his wheelchair. The unlicensed staff (#1) stated she saw the resident's left hand on the AP's right breast. The unlicensed staff (#1) stated when the AP heard her ask the resident about a snack, the AP pulled away from the resident, and told the resident she (the AP) would be back later to help the resident bathe. The unlicensed staff (#1) reported the incident to a facility social worker the next day.

During an interview, a second unlicensed staff (#2) stated she entered the resident's room the same evening and saw the AP with her arms around the resident, kissing the resident on the mouth. The unlicensed staff (#2) reported her observation to a nurse, who reported the incident to a facility social worker.

During an interview, the social worker stated she contacted administrative staff and participated in the investigation based on the two staff observations. The social worker stated she interviewed multiple staff and residents about the AP's interactions with residents. The social worker stated the resident confirmed there had been kissing between he and the AP, and that they were close. The resident told the social worker he and the AP were good friends and did not want her "crucified" for her behavior. The social worker also stated she received a report two months prior to the incident from a third unlicensed staff (#3). During that incident the unlicensed staff (#3) observed the AP kneeling on the resident's bed and giving the resident a hug that lasted over five minutes.

During an interview, unlicensed staff (#3) stated she observed the AP on the resident's bed hugging the resident with both arms around him. Unlicensed staff (#3) stated they must have leaned on the call light button, so she (unlicensed staff #3) went in the resident's room and that was when she observed the hugging. The unlicensed staff (#3) stepped out and watched the AP come out of the resident's room between five and ten minutes later, which she felt was suspicious.

During interviews, multiple unlicensed staff stated the AP had a history of leaving her scheduled area to go to the resident's room for up to an hour when not assigned. Two of the unlicensed staff stated the AP often volunteered to give the resident his bath, when not assigned to the resident. Several unlicensed staff reported their concerns to the nurse, who e-mailed administrative staff two weeks before the incident.

During an interview, an administrative staff stated the resident told her during the investigation that he had a close relationship with the AP, they did some kissing, and he did not want her to get into trouble. The administrative staff stated the facility investigation included resident interviews, with no resident expressing concerns about the AP. The administrative staff also stated that during the previous allegation investigation, the resident denied a relationship with the AP, so the facility did not pursue it any further.

During an interview, a family member stated the resident had significant emotional decline since the incident, including ongoing fear of arrest for participating in a relationship with the AP. The family member expressed concern about public posts she saw on the AP's social media page. The AP often posted obituaries of residents and once wrote that she would "miss our Monday night date nights" which the family member feared meant there could be other victims.

During an interview the AP stated she did not recall the resident touching her breast. The AP stated she would never kiss a resident on the mouth. The AP stated the resident had a big bed, so when she hugged him, she would "have to get in" the resident's bed. The AP stated she liked the resident and considered him a friend.

In conclusion, sexual abuse was substantiated.

Substantiated: Minnesota Statutes, section 626.5572, Subdivision 19.

"Substantiated" means a preponderance of evidence shows that an act that meets the definition of maltreatment occurred.

Abuse: Minnesota Statutes section 626.5572, subdivision 2

"Abuse" means:

- (a) An act against a vulnerable adult that constitutes a violation of, an attempt to violate, or aiding and abetting a violation of:
- (1) assault in the first through fifth degrees as defined in sections 609.221 to 609.224;
- (2) the use of drugs to injure or facilitate crime as defined in section 609.235;
- (3) the solicitation, inducement, and promotion of prostitution as defined in section 609.322; and
- (4) criminal sexual conduct in the first through fifth degrees as defined in sections 609.342 to 609.3451.

A violation includes any action that meets the elements of the crime, regardless of whether there is a criminal proceeding or conviction.

- (b) Conduct which is not an accident or therapeutic conduct as defined in this section, which produces or could reasonably be expected to produce physical pain or injury or emotional distress including, but not limited to, the following:
- (1) hitting, slapping, kicking, pinching, biting, or corporal punishment of a vulnerable adult;
- (2) use of repeated or malicious oral, written, or gestured language toward a vulnerable adult or the treatment of a vulnerable adult which would be considered by a reasonable person to be disparaging, derogatory, humiliating, harassing, or threatening;
- (3) use of any aversive or deprivation procedure, unreasonable confinement, or involuntary seclusion, including the forced separation of the vulnerable adult from other persons against the will of the vulnerable adult or the legal representative of the vulnerable adult; and
- (4) use of any aversive or deprivation procedures for persons with developmental disabilities or related conditions not authorized under section 245.825.
- (c) Any sexual contact or penetration as defined in section 609.341, between a facility staff person or a person providing services in the facility and a resident, patient, or client of that facility.

Vulnerable Adult interviewed: No, at the request of family.

Family/Responsible Party interviewed: Yes

Alleged Perpetrator interviewed: Yes

Action taken by facility:

The AP no longer works at the facility.

The facility provided retraining to all staff on sexual abuse and reporting maltreatment of vulnerable adults.

Action taken by the Minnesota Department of Health:

No further action taken.

cc:

The Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care
The Office of Ombudsman for Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities
Waseca County Attorney
Waseca County Sheriff's Office
New Richland Police Department

PRINTED: 06/14/2022 FORM APPROVED

Minnesota Department of Health

PREFIX TAG (EACH OERFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) 2 000 Initial Comments ******ATTENTION******* NH LICENSING CORRECTION ORDER In accordance with Minnesota Statute, section 144A.10, this correction order has been issued pursuant to a survey. If, upon reinspection, it is found that the deficiency or deficiencies cited herein are not corrected, a fine for each violation not corrected shall be assessed in accordance with a schedule of fines promulgated by rule of the Minnesota Department of Health. Determination of whether a violation has been corrected requires compliance with all requirements of the rule provided at the tag number and MN Rule number indicated below. When a rule contains several items, failure to comply with any of the items will be considered lack of compliance. Lack of compliance upon re-inspection with any item of multi-part rule will result in the assessment of a fine even if the item that was violated during the initial inspection was corrected. You may request a hearing on any assessments	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED			(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING:		STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/C AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS. CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 312 NORTHEAST 1ST STREET NEW RICHLAND, MN 56072 (A4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) 1 Initial Comments 1 2 000 Initial Comments 1 In accordance with Minnesota Statute, section 144A.10, this correction order has been issued pursuant to a survey. If, upon reinspection, it is found that the deficiency or deficiencies cited herein are not corrected, a fine for each violation not corrected shall be assessed in accordance with a schedule of fines promulgated by rule of the Minnesota Department of Health. Determination of whether a violation has been corrected requires compliance with all requirements of the rule provided at the tag number and MN Rule number indicated below. When a rule contains several items, failure to comply with any of the items will be considered lack of compliance. Lack of compliance upon re-inspection with any item of multi-part rule will result in the assessment of a fine even if the item that was violated during the initial inspection was corrected. You may request a hearing on any assessments				B. WING	00748			
NEW RICHLAND CARE CENTER 312 NORTHEAST 1ST STREET NEW RICHLAND, MN 56072 CACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) PREFIX TAG PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE			STATE, ZIP CODE	DRESS CITY	STREET AD	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	NAME OF I	
PREFIX TAG REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) 2 000 Initial Comments ******ATTENTION****** NH LICENSING CORRECTION ORDER In accordance with Minnesota Statute, section 144A.10, this correction order has been issued pursuant to a survey. If, upon reinspection, it is found that the deficiency or deficiencies cited herein are not corrected, a fine for each violation not corrected shall be assessed in accordance with a schedule of fines promulgated by rule of the Minnesota Department of Health. Determination of whether a violation has been corrected requires compliance with all requirements of the rule provided at the tag number and MN Rule number indicated below. When a rule contains several items, failure to comply with any of the items will be considered lack of compliance. Lack of compliance upon re-inspection with any item of multi-part rule will result in the assessment of a fine even if the item that was violated during the initial inspection was corrected. You may request a hearing on any assessments	NEW RICHLAND CARE CENTER 312 NORTHEAST 1ST STREET							
NH LICENSING CORRECTION ORDER In accordance with Minnesota Statute, section 144A.10, this correction order has been issued pursuant to a survey. If, upon reinspection, it is found that the deficiency or deficiencies cited herein are not corrected, a fine for each violation not corrected shall be assessed in accordance with a schedule of fines promulgated by rule of the Minnesota Department of Health. Determination of whether a violation has been corrected requires compliance with all requirements of the rule provided at the tag number and MN Rule number indicated below. When a rule contains several items, failure to comply with any of the items will be considered lack of compliance. Lack of compliance upon re-inspection with any item of multi-part rule will result in the assessment of a fine even if the item that was violated during the initial inspection was corrected. You may request a hearing on any assessments	(X5) COMPLETE DATE	N SHOULD BE	(EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPRO	PREFIX	(X4) ID SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PREFIX (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL			
In accordance with Minnesota Statute, section 144A.10, this correction order has been issued pursuant to a survey. If, upon reinspection, it is found that the deficiency or deficiencies cited herein are not corrected, a fine for each violation not corrected shall be assessed in accordance with a schedule of fines promulgated by rule of the Minnesota Department of Health. Determination of whether a violation has been corrected requires compliance with all requirements of the rule provided at the tag number and MN Rule number indicated below. When a rule contains several items, failure to comply with any of the items will be considered lack of compliance. Lack of compliance upon re-inspection with any item of multi-part rule will result in the assessment of a fine even if the item that was violated during the initial inspection was corrected. You may request a hearing on any assessments				2 000		Initial Comments	2 000	
In accordance with Minnesota Statute, section 144A.10, this correction order has been issued pursuant to a survey. If, upon reinspection, it is found that the deficiency or deficiencies cited herein are not corrected, a fine for each violation not corrected shall be assessed in accordance with a schedule of fines promulgated by rule of the Minnesota Department of Health. Determination of whether a violation has been corrected requires compliance with all requirements of the rule provided at the tag number and MN Rule number indicated below. When a rule contains several items, failure to comply with any of the items will be considered lack of compliance. Lack of compliance upon re-inspection with any item of multi-part rule will result in the assessment of a fine even if the item that was violated during the initial inspection was corrected. You may request a hearing on any assessments					NTION*****	*****ATTEN		
144A.10, this correction order has been issued pursuant to a survey. If, upon reinspection, it is found that the deficiency or deficiencies cited herein are not corrected, a fine for each violation not corrected shall be assessed in accordance with a schedule of fines promulgated by rule of the Minnesota Department of Health. Determination of whether a violation has been corrected requires compliance with all requirements of the rule provided at the tag number and MN Rule number indicated below. When a rule contains several items, failure to comply with any of the items will be considered lack of compliance. Lack of compliance upon re-inspection with any item of multi-part rule will result in the assessment of a fine even if the item that was violated during the initial inspection was corrected. You may request a hearing on any assessments					CORRECTION ORDER	NH LICENSING		
comply with any of the items will be considered lack of compliance. Lack of compliance upon re-inspection with any item of multi-part rule will result in the assessment of a fine even if the item that was violated during the initial inspection was corrected. You may request a hearing on any assessments					ction order has been issued y. If, upon reinspection, it is iency or deficiencies cited ected, a fine for each violation be assessed in accordance ines promulgated by rule of artment of Health. The there a violation has been compliance with all a rule provided at the tag	144A.10, this correct pursuant to a survey found that the deficit herein are not corrected shall be with a schedule of fithe Minnesota Department of which corrected requires of the requirements of the requi		
					ns several items, failure to the items will be considered Lack of compliance upon ny item of multi-part rule will ment of a fine even if the item	When a rule contain comply with any of the lack of compliance. re-inspection with a result in the assess that was violated during the lack of the lack of compliance.		
that may result from non-compliance with these orders provided that a written request is made to the Department within 15 days of receipt of a notice of assessment for non-compliance.					n non-compliance with these t a written request is made to hin 15 days of receipt of a	that may result from orders provided that the Department with		
INITIAL COMMENTS: The Minnesota Department of Health investigated an allegation of maltreatment, complaint #H5316043M, in accordance with the Minnesota Reporting of Maltreatment of Vulnerable Adults Act, Minn. Stat. 626.557. The Minnesota Department of Health documents the State Licensing Correction Orders using federal software. Tag numbers have been assigned to Minnesota State Statutes.		sing Correction are. Tag	documents the State Licensing Conders using federal software. Tannumbers have been assigned to		eartment of Health investigated treatment, complaint cordance with the Minnesota atment of Vulnerable Adults	The Minnesota Dep an allegation of mal #H5316043M, in ac Reporting of Maltre		
The following correction order is issued for ### The assigned tag number appears in the #### The assigned tag number appears in the ###################################		ppears in the	The assigned tag number appea		ction order is issued for		/linnaata D	

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

Electronically Signed

TITLE

(X6) DATE 06/10/22

PRINTED: 06/14/2022 FORM APPROVED

Minnesota Department of Health

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING:		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		00748	B. WING		C 06/03/2022
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NEW RICHLAND CARE CENTER 312 NORTHEAST 1ST STREET NEW RICHLAND, MN 56072					
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES 'MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOUL) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPOLICIENCY)	D BE COMPLETE
#HE The electric conditions of the	e facility has agreed to the last stent with the last last last last last last last last	dentification 1850. eed to participate in the State licensure orders Minnesota Department of Bulletin 14-01, available at tate.mn.us/divs/fpc/profinfo/infection licensing orders are stached Minnesota With orders being submitted ugh no plan of correction is Statutes/Rules, please enter in the box available for text. It electronic State licensure heading completion date, the sitting to the Minnesota	2 000	far left column entitled "ID Prefix To state statute/rule number and the corresponding text of the state statumber out of compliance are listed "Summary Statement of Deficience column and replaces the "To Comportion of the correction order. This column also includes the findings, are in violation of the state statute statement, "This Rule is not met as evidenced by." Following the evaluation findings are the Suggested Method Correction and the Time Period for Correction. PLEASE DISREGARD THE HEAD THE FOURTH COLUMN, WHICH STATES, "PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION." THIS APPLIES TO FEDERAL DEFICIENCIES ONLY. WILL APPEAR ON EACH PAGE. THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT A PLAN OF CORRECTION VIOLATIONS OF MINNESOTA ST STATUTES/RULES.	tute/rule ed in the ies" ply" s which after the s lators d of T ONFOR
	I St. Statute 144. sidents of HC Fa	651 Subd. 14 Patients & c.Bill of Rights	21850		6/10/22
Residence designates des designates de designates de designates de designates de designa	sidents shall be fined in the Vulne altreatment" meantion 626.5572, sentional and non-point and sical pain or injudent intended to tress. Every resident	free from maltreatment as crable Adults Protection Act. ans conduct described in subdivision 15, or the therapeutic infliction of any persistent course of produce mental or emotional ident shall also be free from emical and physical restraints,			

Minnesota Department of Health

STATE FORM PDSX11 If continuation sheet 2 of 3

PRINTED: 06/14/2022 FORM APPROVED

Minnesota Department of Health

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION		(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING:		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED				
			A. DUILDING.		c	_			
		00748	B. WING			3/2022			
NAME OF I	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE								
NEW RIC	CHLAND CARE CENT	ER	THEAST 1ST HLAND, MN						
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOUL CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROIDEFICIENCY)	D BE	(X5) COMPLETE DATE			
21850	Continued From pa	ge 2	21850						
	except in fully docu authorized in writing resident's physician period of time, and	mented emergencies, or as g after examination by a for a specified and limited only when necessary to the from self-injury or injury to							
	by: Based on interview facility failed to ens	ent is not met as evidenced s and document review, the ure one of one residents free from maltreatment. R1		No Plan of Correction (PoC) requi Please refer to the public maltreat report (report sent separately) for of this tag.	ment				
	Health (MDH) issued occurred, and that a responsible for the with incidents which	the Minnesota Department of ed a determination that abuse an individual staff person was maltreatment, in connection hoccurred at the facility. The ere was a preponderance of teatment occurred.							

Minnesota Department of Health