



Adopted as Rule: November 2023

Toxicological Summary for: 1,2-Dichloropropane

CAS: 78-87-5

Synonyms: Propylene dichloride

Individuals with inherited glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) deficiency may be more susceptible to the negative health effects associated with 1,2-dichloropropane toxicity, particularly hemolytic anemia (ATSDR 2019). According to the [g6pd Deficiency Foundation](#), the overall frequency of G6PDH deficiency is 4-7% in the US, almost exclusively in males, with higher rates (~12%) in African American males. Due to lack of data, a quantitative estimate of sensitivity associated with G6PDH deficiency could not be conducted. However, MDH has applied a 10-fold uncertainty factor to account for human variability in the response to 1,2-dichloropropane toxicity. People who have questions about G6PDH deficiency should contact their physician.

Acute Non-Cancer Health Risk Limit (nHRL_{Acute}) = Not Derived (Insufficient Data)

Short-term Non-Cancer Health Risk Limit (nHRL_{Short-term}) = 20 µg/L

$$\frac{(\text{Reference Dose, mg/kg-d}) \times (\text{Relative Source Contribution}) \times (\text{Conversion Factor})}{(\text{Short-term Intake Rate, L/kg-d})}$$

$$= \frac{(0.029 \text{ mg/kg-d}) \times (0.2)^* \times (1000 \text{ µg/mg})}{(0.290 \text{ L/kg-d})^{**}}$$

$$= 20 \text{ µg/L}$$

*Relative Source Contribution: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1.

**Intake Rate: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1. and US EPA 2019, Exposure Factors Handbook, Tables 3-1, 3-3 and 3-5.

Reference Dose/Concentration: HED/Total UF = 2.94/100 = 0.029 mg/kg-d (Sprague-Dawley rat)

Source of toxicity value: Determined by MDH in 2021

Point of Departure (POD): 12.8 mg/kg-d (administered dose BMDL₀₅, developmental toxicity study by Kirk 1995)

Dose Adjustment Factor (DAF): 0.23, body weight scaling, default (US EPA 2011 and MDH 2017)

Human Equivalent Dose (HED): $POD \times DAF = 12.8 \text{ mg/kg-d} \times 0.23 = 2.94 \text{ mg/kg-d}$
 Total uncertainty factor (UF): 100
 Uncertainty factor allocation: 3 for interspecies differences (for toxicodynamics), 10 for intraspecies variability, and 3 for database uncertainty due to the absence of an adequate 2-generational study and a developmental neurotoxicity study in offspring
 Critical effect(s): Delayed ossification of the fetal skull
 Co-critical effect(s): None
 Additivity endpoint(s): Developmental

Subchronic Non-Cancer Health Risk Limit ($nHRL_{\text{Subchronic}}$) = $nHRL_{\text{Short-term}}$ = 20 $\mu\text{g/L}$

$$\frac{(\text{Reference Dose, mg/kg-d}) \times (\text{Relative Source Contribution}) \times (\text{Conversion Factor})}{(\text{Subchronic Intake Rate, L/kg-d})}$$

$$= \frac{(0.029 \text{ mg/kg-d})^{***} \times (0.2)^* \times (1000 \text{ } \mu\text{g/mg})}{(0.074 \text{ L/kg-d})^{**}}$$

$$= 78 \text{ rounded to } 80 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$$

*Relative Source Contribution: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1.

**Intake Rate: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1. and US EPA 2019, Exposure Factors Handbook, Tables 3-1, 3-3 and 3-5.

*** The calculated subchronic RfD (0.059 mg/kg-d) is higher than the Short-term RfD (0.029 mg/kg-d), which is based on developmental effects. The Subchronic RfD must be protective of all types of adverse effects that could occur as a result of subchronic exposure, including short-term effects (MDH 2008, page 34). Therefore, the Short-term RfD is used in place of the calculated Subchronic RfD.

**The Subchronic HRL must be protective of shorter duration exposures that occur within the subchronic period and therefore, the Subchronic HRL is set equal to the Short-term nHRL of 20 $\mu\text{g/L}$.
 Additivity endpoint: Developmental**

Chronic Non-Cancer Health Risk Limit ($nHRL_{\text{Chronic}}$) = $nHRL_{\text{Short-term}}$ = 20 $\mu\text{g/L}$

$$\frac{(\text{Reference Dose, mg/kg-d}) \times (\text{Relative Source Contribution}) \times (\text{Conversion Factor})}{(\text{Chronic Intake Rate, L/kg-d})}$$

$$= \frac{(0.018 \text{ mg/kg-d}) \times (0.2)^* \times (1000 \text{ } \mu\text{g/mg})}{(0.045 \text{ L/kg-d})^{**}}$$

$$= 80 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$$

*Relative Source Contribution: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1.

**Intake Rate: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1. and US EPA 2019, Exposure Factors Handbook, Tables 3-1, 3-3 and 3-5.

Reference Dose/Concentration: $HED/\text{Total UF} = 17.8/1000 = 0.018 \text{ mg/kg-d}$ (Sprague-Dawley rat)

Source of toxicity value: Determined by MDH in 2021

Point of Departure (POD): 71 mg/kg-d (administered dose LOAEL; Bruckner 1989, subchronic exposure)

Dose Adjustment Factor (DAF): 0.25, Body weight scaling, default (US EPA 2011 and MDH 2017)

Human Equivalent Dose (HED): $POD \times DAF = 71 \text{ mg/kg-d} \times 0.25 = 17.8 \text{ mg/kg-d}$

Total uncertainty factor (UF): 1000

Uncertainty factor allocation: 3 for interspecies differences (for toxicodynamics), 10 for intraspecies variability, 3 for database uncertainty due to the absence of an adequate 2-generational study and a developmental neurotoxicity study in offspring, 3 for using a LOAEL in place of a NOAEL, and 3 for using a subchronic study for a chronic duration

Critical effect(s): Hemolytic anemia (increased bilirubin and increased hemosiderosis and hyperplasia of erythropoietic elements of the spleen)

Co-critical effect(s): Increased absolute and relative liver weights, fatty change of the liver, hepatocytomegaly, increased cholesterol and glycerin, and liver necrosis; mammary gland hyperplasia; transient neurotoxicity in pregnant dams, and delayed ossification of the fetal skull.

Additivity endpoint(s): Developmental, Female Reproductive system, Hematological (blood) system, Hepatic (liver) system, and Nervous system

The Chronic nHRL must be protective of shorter duration exposures that occur within the chronic period and therefore, the Chronic nHRL is set equal to the Short-term nHRL of 20 µg/L. Additivity endpoint: Developmental

Cancer Health Risk Limit (cHRL) = 3 µg/L

$$\frac{\text{(Additional Lifetime Cancer Risk)} \times \text{(Conversion Factor)}}{[(SF \times ADAF_{<2 \text{ yr}} \times IR_{<2 \text{ yr}} \times 2) + (SF \times ADAF_{2-16 \text{ yr}} \times IR_{2-16 \text{ yr}} \times 14) + (SF \times ADAF_{16+ \text{ yr}} \times IR_{16+ \text{ yr}} \times 54)] / 70}$$

$$= \frac{1E-5 \times (1000 \text{ µg/mg})}{[(0.037 \times 10^* \times 0.155 \text{ L/kg-d}^{**} \times 2) + (0.037 \times 3^* \times 0.040 \text{ L/kg-d}^{**} \times 14) + (0.037 \times 1^* \times 0.042 \text{ L/kg-d}^{**} \times 54)] / 70}$$

$$= 2.68 \text{ rounded to } \mathbf{3 \text{ µg/L}}$$

*ADAF (Age-dependent adjustment factor) and Lifetime Adjustment Factor: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.2.

**Intake Rate: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.2. and US EPA 2019, Exposure Factors Handbook, Tables 3-1, 3-3 and 3-5.

Cancer classification: Carcinogenic to humans (WHO 2017)

Slope factor (SF): 0.037 (mg/kg-d)⁻¹ based on liver tumors in male mice (NTP 1986)

Source of cancer slope factor (SF): (EPA 2016)

Tumor site(s): Liver

Volatile: Yes (high)

Summary of Guidance Value History:

In 1994, MDH developed a cancer HRL (cHRL) of 5 µg/L. The 2021 cHBV (3 µg/L) is based on the same NTP 1986 study (liver tumors in male mice), however, MDH used an updated EPA slope factor (EPA 2016) and incorporated age dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) to determine the 2021 cHBV.

Updated EPA water intake rates also contributed to a lower MDH 2021 cHBV.

Noncancer guidance values previously did not exist, therefore, the short-term, subchronic, and chronic noncancer HBVs derived in 2021 represent new values. In November 2023, the guidance values were adopted into Minnesota Rules, 4717.7860, as Health Risk Limits (HRLs).

Summary of toxicity testing for health effects identified in the Health Standards Statute (144.0751):

Even if testing for a specific health effect was not conducted for this chemical, information about that effect might be available from studies conducted for other purposes. MDH has considered the following information in developing health protective guidance.

	Endocrine	Immunotoxicity	Development	Reproductive	Neurotoxicity
Tested for specific effect?	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Effects observed?	⁻¹	-	Yes ²	Yes ³	Yes ⁴

Comments on extent of testing or effects:

¹ Thyroid follicular cell adenoma or carcinoma occurred in female mice (NTP 1986) at a dose 900 times higher than the short-term RfD.

² The short-term duration RfD is based on delayed skull ossification in fetal rats. This effect was also observed in rabbits at a dose approximately 2.4-fold higher than the dose in rats. A database UF of 3 was applied due to the lack of a developmental neurotoxicity study in offspring.

³ Reproductive effects include complete litter resorptions in rabbits at a level 4,000 times higher than the short-term duration RfD. Testicular degeneration and declines in sperm number in rats occurred at levels 3,000 to 5,000 times the short-term RfD. Mammary gland hyperplasia occurred in rats at a dose 700 times higher than the short-term RfD. A database UF of 3 was added in part due to the absence of an adequate 2-generational study. A 2-generation study exists in rats, however, 1,2-dichloropropane was added to the drinking water and due to palatability issues as the dose increased, dams drank significantly less water. This obscured the results of the study, as effects could be attributed, in part, to dehydration from lower water ingestion.

⁴ Transient central nervous system (CNS) depression was a common occurrence in test animals after exposure to 1,2-dichloropropane and occurred at levels starting at 100 times higher than the short-term RfD. Only one study was specifically designed to test neurotoxicity in adult animals and aside from transient CNS depression, found no other effects. However, neurodevelopmental data are lacking, especially for offspring of exposed parental animals, and therefore a database UF of 3 was applied to account for the uncertainty around developmental neurotoxicity.

Resources Consulted During Review:

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (2019). *Toxicological Profile for 1,2-Dichloropropane. Draft for Public Comment*. Retrieved from <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp134.pdf>
- Berdasco, N.M., Johnson, K.A., & Hanley, T.R., Jr. (1988). *Propylene Dichloride: Oral Teratology Probe Study in New Zealand White Rabbits*. The Dow Chemical Company.
- Bruckner, J.V., MacKenzie, W.F., Ramanathan, R., Muralidhara, S., Kim, H.J., & Dallas, C.E. (1989). Oral toxicity of 1,2-dichloropropane: acute, short-term, and long-term studies in rats. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*, 12(4), 713-730.
- California EPA - OEHHA. (1999). *Public Health Goal for 1,2-Dichloropropane in Drinking Water*. Retrieved from <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/water/public-health-goal/12dcpf.pdf>
- California EPA - OEHHA. (2004). *No Significant Risk Level (NSRL) for the Proposition 65 Carcinogen 1,2-Dichloropropane*. <https://oehha.ca.gov/proposition-65/chemicals/12-dichloropropane>
- California State Water Resources Control Board. *Compilation of Water Quality Goals*. Retrieved from http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/water_quality_goals/g6pd
- Deficiency Foundation. (Accessed 2021). <https://g6pddf.org/>
- Gi, M., Fujioka, M., Yamano, S., Shimomura, E., Ishii, N., Kakehashi, A., . . . Wanibuchi, H. (2015). Determination of Hepatotoxicity and Its Underlying Metabolic Basis of 1,2-Dichloropropane in Male Syrian Hamsters and B6C3F1 Mice. *Toxicol Sci*, 145(1), 196-208.
- Gi, M., Fujioka, M., Yamano, S., Shimomura, E., Kanki, M., Kawachi, S., . . . Wanibuchi, H. (2015). Modifying effects of 1,2-dichloropropane on N-nitrosobis(2-oxopropyl)amine-induced cholangiocarcinogenesis in male Syrian hamsters. *J Toxicol Sci*, 40(5), 647-656.
- Imberti, R., Mapelli, A., Colombo, P., Richelmi, P., Berte, F., & Bellomo, G. (1990). 1,2-Dichloropropane (DCP) toxicity is correlated with DCP-induced glutathione (GSH) depletion and is modulated by factors affecting intracellular GSH. *Arch Toxicol*, 64, 459-465.
- Kennedy, G.L., & Graepel, J. (1991). Acute Toxicity in the Rat Following Either Oral or Inhalation Exposure. *Toxicol Letters*, 56(n3), 317-326.
- Kirk, H.D., Berdasco, N.M., Breslin, W.J., & Hanley, T.R., Jr. (1995). Developmental toxicity of 1,2-dichloropropane (PDC) in rats and rabbits following oral gavage. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*, 28(1), 18-26.
- Kirk, H.D., Hanley Jr, T.R., Bond, D.M., Firchau, C.N., Peck, C.N., Stebbins, K.E., and Johnson, K.A.,. (1990). *Propylene Dichloride: Two-Generation Reproduction Study in Sprague-Dawley Rats. Submitted to the EPA, TSCA Program by Dow Chemical Company*.

- Kirk, H.D., Hanley, T.R., Jr., & Johnson, K.A. (1988). *Propylene Dichloride: A 13-Day Repeated Oral Gavage Study in New Zealand White Rabbits*. Dow Chemical Company.
- Kirk, H.D., Hanley, T.R., Jr., Johnson, K.A., & Dietz, F.K. (1989). *Propylene Dichloride: Oral Teratology Probe Study in Sprague-Dawley Rats*. The Dow Chemical Company.
- Loeuillard, E., Fischbach, S.R., Gores, G.J., & Rizvi, S. (2019). Animal models of cholangiocarcinoma. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis*, 1865(5), 982-992.
- Minnesota Department of Health (MDH). (2008). Statement of Need and Reasonableness (SONAR), July 11, 2008. Support document relating to Health Risk Limits for Groundwater Rules. Retrieved from <https://www.leg.state.mn.us/archive/sonar/SONAR-03733.pdf#page=2>
- Minnesota Department of Health (MDH). (2017). MDH Health Risk Assessment Methods to Incorporate Human Equivalent Dose Calculations into Derivation of Oral Reference Doses (May 2011, revised 2017). Retrieved from <https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/environment/risk/docs/guidance/hedrefguide.pdf>
- National Toxicology Program (NTP). (1986). *Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of 1,2-Dichloropropane in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Gavage Studies)*. Technical Report Series. No. 263. Research Triangle Park, NC.
- New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. (2015). Standards for Drinking Water, Ground Water, Soil and Surface Water. Retrieved from <https://www.nj.gov/dep/standards/Standards.htm>
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2006). 1,2-Dichloropropane CAS No: 78-87-5 Screening Information Dataset (SIDS) Initial Assessment Report In. Berne, Switzerland.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (1985). *Research and Development Drinking Water Criteria Document for 1,2-Dichloropropane*.
- U.S. EPA. (1988). Recommendations for and Documentation of Biological Values for Use in Risk Assessment. Office of Research and Development. Retrieved from <http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=34855>
- U.S. EPA. (2011). Recommended Use of Body Weight^{3/4} as the Default Method in Derivation of the Oral Reference Dose. Office of the Science Advisor. Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/risk/recommended-use-body-weight-34-default-method-derivation-oral-reference-dose>
- U.S. EPA. (2016). *Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values for 1,2-Dichloropropane (CASRN 78-87-5)*. Cincinnati, OH.
- U.S. EPA. (2018). 2018 Edition of the Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories.
- U.S. EPA. (2019). *Exposure Factors Handbook Chapter 3 Update 2019*. Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/expobox/exposure-factors-handbook-chapter-3>.
- U.S. EPA. (2020). *Final Scope of the Risk Evaluation for 1,2-Dichloropropane*.
- U.S. EPA. (Accessed 2021). EPA Chemistry Dashboard. Retrieved from <https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard/dsstoxdb/results?search=DTXSID0020448#toxicity-values>
- U.S. EPA. (Accessed 2021). Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) - Generic Tables. Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-generic-tables>
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2011). Guidelines for drinking-water quality, fourth edition. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549950>

World Health Organization (WHO). (2017). *IARC Monographs: Some Chemicals Used as Solvents and In Polymer Manufacture* Lyon, France Retrieved from <https://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Iarc-Monographs-On-The-Identification-Of-Carcinogenic-Hazards-To-Humans/Some-Chemicals-Used-As-Solvents-And-In-Polymer-Manufacture-2016>.