

Web Publication Date: February 2023

Toxicological Summary for: 1,2-Dibromoethane

CAS: **106-93-4** Synonyms: Ethylene dibromide; ethane, 1,2-dibromo-

Acute Non-Cancer Health-Based Value = Not Derived (Insufficient Data)

Short-term Non-Cancer Health-Based Value (nHBV_{Short-term}) = 10 μ g/L

 $\frac{(\text{Reference Dose, mg/kg-d)} \times (\text{Relative Source Contribution}) \times (\text{Conversion Factor})}{(\text{Short-term Intake Rate, L/kg-d})}$ $= (0.018 \text{ mg/kg-d}) \times (0.2)^* \times (1000 \text{ µg/mg})$ $(0.290 \text{ L/kg-d})^{**}$ = 12.4 rounded to 10 µg/L

*Relative Source Contribution: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1.

^{**}Intake Rate: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1. and US EPA 2019, Exposure Factors Handbook, Tables 3-1, 3-3 and 3-5.

Reference Dose/Concentration:	HED/Total UF = 17.5/1000 = 0.018 mg/kg-d (female B6C3F1 mice)
Source of toxicity value:	Determined by MDH in 2022
Point of Departure (POD):	125 mg/kg-d (LOAEL, Ratajczak, 1994)
Dose Adjustment Factor (DAF):	0.14, Body weight scaling, default (US EPA 2011 and MDH 2017)
Human Equivalent Dose (HED):	POD x DAF = 125 mg/kg-d x 0.14 = 17.5 mg/kg-d
Total uncertainty factor (UF):	1000
Uncertainty factor allocation:	3 for interspecies differences (for toxicodynamics), 10 for
	intraspecies variability, 3 for using a LOAEL in place of a
	NOAEL, and 10 for database uncertainty due to the lack of
	two-generation reproductive, developmental, and
	developmental immunotoxicity studies
Critical effect(s):	Increased liver weight, increased cholesterol, and reduced T- cell response
Co-critical effect(s):	Increased kidney weight, increased neutrophils, decreased
	immune function in the lung, decreased viable cells in the
	spleen, increased estrus cycle length, increased percentage
	of abnormal sperm
Additivity endpoint(s):	Female reproductive system, Hepatic (liver) system, Immune
	system, Male reproductive system, Renal (kidney) system,
	Respiratory system, Spleen

Subchronic Non-Cancer Health-Based Value (nHBV_{Subchronic}) = nHBV_{Short-term} = 10 µg/L

(Reference Dose, mg/kg-d) x (Relative Source Contribution) x (Conversion Factor) (Subchronic Intake Rate, L/kg-d)

> = <u>(0.021 mg/kg-d) x (0.2)^{*} x (1000 μg/mg)</u> (0.074 L/kg-d)^{**}

> > = 56.8 rounded to 60 μ g/L

*Relative Source Contribution: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1.

^{**}Intake Rate: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1. and US EPA 2019, Exposure Factors Handbook, Tables 3-1, 3-3 and 3-5.

Reference Dose/Concentration:	HED/Total UF = 6.24/300 = 0.021 mg/kg-d (female B6C3F1 mice)
Source of toxicity value:	Determined by MDH in 2022
Point of Departure (POD):	44.6 mg/kg-d (NOAEL, Ratajczak, 1995)
Dose Adjustment Factor (DAF):	0.14, Body weight scaling, default (US EPA 2011 and MDH 2017)
Human Equivalent Dose (HED):	POD x DAF = 44.6 mg/kg-d x 0.14 = 6.24 mg/kg-d
Total uncertainty factor (UF):	300
Uncertainty factor allocation:	3 for interspecies differences (for toxicodynamics), 10 for intraspecies variability, and 10 for database uncertainty for lack of two-generation reproductive, developmental and developmental immunotoxicity studies
Critical effect(s):	Decreased T- and B-cell responses, increased cholesterol and triglycerides
Co-critical effect(s):	Increased liver weight, increased cholesterol, decreased T- cell response, decreased immune function in the lung, increased estrus cycle length, and increased percentage of abnormal sperm
Additivity endpoint(s):	Female reproductive system, Hepatic (liver) system, Immune system, Male reproductive system, Respiratory system

The Subchronic nHBV must be protective of shorter duration exposures that occur within the subchronic period and therefore, the Subchronic nHBV is set equal to the Short-term nHBV of 10 μ g/L. Additivity endpoints: Female reproductive system, Hepatic (liver) system, Immune system, Male reproductive system, Renal (kidney) system, Respiratory system, Spleen

Chronic Non-Cancer Health-Based Value (nHBV_{Chronic}) = 9 μ g/L

(Reference Dose, mg/kg-d) x (Relative Source Contribution) x (Conversion Factor) (Chronic Intake Rate, L/kg-d)

> = <u>(0.0021 mg/kg-d) x (0.2)^{*} x (1000 μg/mg)</u> (0.045 L/kg-d)^{**}

= 9.33 rounded to **9 μg/L**

*Relative Source Contribution: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1.

**Intake Rate: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.1. and US EPA 2019, Exposure Factors Handbook, Tables 3-1, 3-3 and 3-5.

Reference Dose/Concentration:	HED/Total UF = 6.24/3000 = 0.0021 mg/kg-d (female B6C3F1 mice)
Source of toxicity value:	Determined by MDH in 2022
Point of Departure (POD):	44.6 mg/kg-d (NOAEL, Ratajczak et al. 1995, subchronic exposure)
Dose Adjustment Factor (DAF):	0.14, Body weight scaling, default (US EPA 2011 and MDH 2017)
Human Equivalent Dose (HED):	POD x DAF = 44.6 mg/kg-d x 0.14 = 6.24 mg/kg-d
Total uncertainty factor (UF):	3000
Uncertainty factor allocation:	3 for interspecies differences (for toxicodynamics), 10 for
	intraspecies variability, 10 for extrapolation to a chronic
	duration from a subchronic study, and 10 for database
	uncertainty for lack of two-generation reproductive,
	developmental, and developmental immunotoxicity studies
Critical effect(s):	Decreased T- and B-cell responses, increased cholesterol and triglycerides
Co-critical effect(s):	Increased relative liver weight, increased cholesterol,
	decreased T-cell response, decreased immune function in the
	lung, increased estrus cycle length, increased percentage of
	abnormal sperm
Additivity endpoint(s):	Female reproductive system, Hepatic (liver) system, Immune system, Male reproductive system, Respiratory system

Cancer Health-Based Value (cHBV) = 0.03 µg/L

(Additional Lifetime Cancer Risk) x (Conversion Factor) [(SF x ADAF_{<2 yr} x IR_{<2yr} x 2) + (SF x ADAF_{2⁻<16 yr} x IR_{2⁻<16 yr} x 14) + (SF x ADAF_{16+ yr} x IR_{16+yr} x 54)] / 70

 $= (1E-5) \times (1000 \ \mu g/mg)$ [(3.6 x 10^{*} x 0.155 L/kg-d^{**}x 2) + (3.6 x 3^{*} x 0.040 L/kg-d^{**}x 14) + (3.6 x 1^{*} x 0.042 L/kg-d^{**}x 54)] / 70

= 0.028 rounded to **0.03 μg/L**

*ADAF (Age-dependent adjustment factor) and Lifetime Adjustment Factor: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.2. **Intake Rate: MDH 2008, Section IV.E.2. and US EPA 2019, Exposure Factors Handbook, Tables 3-1, 3-3 and 3-5.

Cancer classification:	2A- probably carcinogenic to humans (IARC, 1999); Likely to be carcinogenic to humans (EPA, 2004)
Slope factor (SF):	3.6 (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹ based on forestomach tumors in male and female rats and mice (NCI, 1978)
Source of cancer slope factor (SF):	

Tumor site(s): Forestomach, esophagus, blood vessels, liver, lung, thyroid gland, and adrenal gland

Volatile: Yes (high)

Summary of Guidance Value History:

A cancer HRL of 0.004 μ g/L was promulgated in 1993. The new cancer HBV of 0.03 μ g/L is higher than the previous cancer HRL as the result of: 1) use of MDH's most recent risk assessment methodology; 2) the use of a new slope factor derived by Cal EPA 2003; and 3) rounding to one significant digit.

Summary of toxicity testing for health effects identified in the Health Standards Statute (144.0751): Even if testing for a specific health effect was not conducted for this chemical, information about that effect might be available from studies conducted for other purposes. MDH has considered the following information in developing health protective guidance.

	Endocrine	Immunotoxicity	Development	Reproductive	Neurotoxicity
Tested for specific effect?	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
Effects observed?	Yes ¹	Yes ²	_ 3	Yes ⁴	Yes ⁵

¹ Testicular atrophy and degenerative changes to the adrenal cortex were observed in rats and mice exposed chronically to oral doses more than 400 times higher than the short-term RfD. An increased estrus cycle length was observed in mice exposed to levels nearly 700 times higher than the short-term RfD and is included as a co-critical effect for all durations.

² The short-term, subchronic, and chronic critical effects are based on immunotoxicity in female mice (decreased T- and B-cell response). Dose levels 1,200 times higher than the short-term RfD are associated with increased neutrophils, decreased bactericidal response in the lung, and decreased viable cells in the spleen. Dose levels 1,600 times higher than the short-term RfD are associated with decreased relative thymus weight, increased spleen weight, and decreased natural killer cell function. Chronic exposure in mice at levels 300 times higher than the short-term RfD resulted in increased splenic hematopoiesis. ³ Developmental effects have not been studied using oral ingestion as a route of exposure. A database uncertainty factor is included in the guidance to account for the lack of developmental studies in the oral database.

⁴ An occupational study in men exposed to 1,2-dibromoethane via inhalation and dermally for an average of 5 years found reductions in sperm count, viability, and motility and increases in sperm abnormalities at dose levels 10-fold higher than the short-term RfD. A shorter-duration study in men exposed via inhalation and dermally for 6 weeks reported reductions in sperm velocity and semen volume at a time weighted dose approximately 8 times higher than the short-term RfD.

Testicular atrophy, the male reproductive system chronic co-critical effect, was observed in rats and mice at more than 400 times higher than the short-term RfD. However, a subchronic study evaluating male reproductive toxicity did not observed any changes to fertility and sex organs using doses almost 700 times higher than the short-term RfD. The subchronic and chronic co-critical effect of lengthened estrus cycles in female mice was observed at doses 700 times higher than the short-term RfD. A database uncertainty factor is included in the RfD to account for the lack of a multigeneration or two-generation reproductive toxicity study.

⁵ Neurotoxicity has been observed in human case studies involving ingestion, and manifests as confusion, coma, and brain lesions. Oral animal studies did not observe specific indications of neurotoxicity.

Resources Consulted During Review:

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (2018). *Toxicological Profile for 1,2-Dibromoethane*. <u>https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/tp37.pdf</u>
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation. (2008). *Alaska Water Quality Criteria Manual for Toxic* and Other Deleterious Organic and Inorganic Substances. Retrieved from <u>https://dec.alaska.gov/water/water-quality/standards/</u>
- Australian Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, National Pollutant Inventory (NPI). *1,2-Dibromoethane Fact Sheet*. <u>http://www.npi.gov.au/resource/12-dibromoethane</u>
- Australian Industrial Chemicals Introduction Scheme (AICIS). (2013). *Ethane, 1,2-dibromo-: Human health tier II assessment*.

<u>https://www.industrialchemicals.gov.au/sites/default/files/Ethane%2C%201%2C2-dibromo-</u> <u>Human%20health%20tier%20II%20assessment.pdf</u>

- California Department of Health Services (CDHS). (1988). *Proposed Maximum Contaminant Level for Ethylene Dibromide*. California Department of Health Services.
- California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal EPA). (2003). *Public Health Goal for Ethylene Dibromide* (1,2-Dibromoethane) in Drinking Water. <u>https://oehha.ca.gov/water/chemicals/12-dibromoethane</u>
- European Commission (EC). (2011). *Recommendation from the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits for 1,2-dibromoethane (ethylene dibromide)* (166).
- Ginsberg, G., Smolenski, S., Hattis, D., Guyton, K. Z., Johns, D. O., & Sonawane, B. (2009). Genetic Polymorphism in Glutathione Transferases (GST): Population distribution of GSTM1, T1, and P1 conjugating activity. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev*, 12(5-6), 389-439.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/10937400903158375
- Health Canada. (2013). Screening Assessment Report Ethane, 1,2-dibromo- (1,2-Dibromoethane). <u>https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/chemical-substances/other-chemical-substances/other-chemical-substances-interest/ethane-1-2-dibromo.html</u>
- Hissink, A. M., Wormhoudt, L. W., Sherratt, P. J., Hayes, J. D., Commandeur, J. N., Vermeulen, N. P., & van Bladeren, P. J. (2000). A physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PB-PK) model for ethylene dibromide: relevance of extrahepatic metabolism. *Food and chemical toxicology : an international journal published for the British Industrial Biological Research Association*, 38(8), 707-716. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0278-6915(00)00059-4</u>
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). (1999). *Re-evaluation of Some Organic Chemicals, Hydrazine and Hydrogen Peroxide (Part 1, Part 2, Part 3)* (IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Issue 71). <u>https://monographs.iarc.who.int/monographs-available/</u>
- Kettering Lab. (1943). THE PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS UPON RABBITS OR EXPOSURE TO 1,2-DICHLOROETHANE AND 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE. https://nepis.epa.gov/
- Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy. Statewide Rule 57 Water Quality Values. In. <u>https://www.michigan.gov/egle/about/organization/water-resources/assessment-michigan-waters/rule-57-water-quality-values</u>
- Minnesota Department of Health (MDH). (2008). Statement of Need and Reasonableness (SONAR), July 11, 2008. Support document relating to Health Risk Limits for Groundwater Rules. https://www.leg.state.mn.us/archive/sonar/SONAR-03733.pdf#page=2

Minnesota Department of Health (MDH). (2017). *MDH Health Risk Assessment Methods to Incorporate Human Equivalent Dose Calculations into Derivation of Oral Reference Doses (May 2011, revised* 2017).

https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/environment/risk/docs/guidance/hedrefguide.pdf

- Moslen, M. T. (1984). Increased incidence of hepatic foci and nodules in rats given one or two doses of 1,2dibromoethane. *Toxicol Pathol*, 12(4), 307-314. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/019262338401200402</u>
- National Cancer Institute (NCI). (1978). *Bioassay of 1,2-Dibromoethane for Possible Carcinogenicity* <u>https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/publications/reports/tr/000s/tr086/index.html?utm_source=direct&utm_medium=prod&utm_campaign=ntpgolinks&utm_term=tr086abs</u>
- National Toxicology Program (NTP). (2021). *15th Report on Carcinogens*. <u>https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/whatwestudy/assessments/cancer/roc/index.html</u>
- New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. (2015). *Standards for Drinking Water, Ground Water, Soil and Surface Water*. https://www.nj.gov/dep/standards/Standards.htm
- OECD. (2012). INITIAL TARGETED ASSESSMENT PROFILE: Ethane, 1,2-dibromo-(1,2-Dibromoethane). https://hpvchemicals.oecd.org/UI/SIDS_Details.aspx?id=3D436DD0-C204-43AA-966E-0AE12C89F2F2
- QSAR Toolbox. In. (2017). (Version 4.4.1) Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). <u>https://qsartoolbox.org/</u>
- Ratajczak, H. V., Aranyi, C., Bradof, J. N., Barbera, P., Fugmann, R., Fenters, J. D., & Thomas, P. T. (1994).
 Ethylene dibromide: evidence of systemic and immunologic toxicity without impairment of in vivo host defenses. *In Vivo*, 8(5), 879-884. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7727738
- Ratajczak, H. V., Thomas, P. T., Gerhart, J., & Sothern, R. B. (1995). Immunotoxicologic effects of ethylene dibromide in the mouse and their modulation by the estrous cycle. *In Vivo*, *9*(4), 299-304. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8555428</u>
- Ratcliffe, J. M., Schrader, S. M., Steenland, K., Clapp, D. E., Turner, T., & Hornung, R. W. (1987). Semen quality in papaya workers with long term exposure to ethylene dibromide. *Br J Ind Med*, 44(5), 317-326. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.44.5.317</u>
- Reed, N. R., Narloch, B. A., Olsen, H. E., Marty, M., Tablante, N. L., Reed, W.A., Beltran, L. M., & Hsieh, D. P.
 H. (1987). *Health risk assessment for 1,2-dibromoethane (EDB) in California drinking water*.
 Department of Environmental Toxicology, University of California, Davis, California.
- Shivanandappa, T., MK Krishnakumari, SK Majumder. (1987). Reproductive potential of male rats fed dietary ethylene dibromide. *Journal of Food Safety*, 8(3), 147-155.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) Generic Tables*. <u>https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-generic-tables</u>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (1988). *Recommendations for and Documentation of Biological Values for Use in Risk Assessment. Office of Research and Development.* <u>http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=34855</u>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2004). *Toxicological Review of 1,2-Dibromoethane*. <u>https://iris.epa.gov/static/pdfs/0361tr.pdf</u>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2011). *Recommended Use of Body Weight¾ as the Default Method in Derivation of the Oral Reference Dose. Office of the Science Advisor*. <u>https://www.epa.gov/risk/recommended-use-body-weight-34-default-method-derivation-oral-reference-dose</u>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2017). *CompTox Chemicals Dashboard* <u>https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard/</u>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2018). Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories Table.

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2019). Exposure Factors Handbook Chapter 3 Update 2019. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/exposure-factors-handbook-chapter-3
- U.S. National Libary of Medicine (NLM). *PubChem* <u>https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>
- Weisburger, E. K. (1977). Carcinogenicity Studies on Halogenated Hydrocarbons. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *21*, 7-16.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2004). 1,2-Dibromoethane in Drinking-water: Background document for development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality. <u>https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/wash-documents/wash-chemicals/1-2-</u> dibromoethane-background.pdf?sfvrsn=7397b00c 4
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2017). Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality: Fourth Edition Incorporating the First Addendum. <u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549950</u>