

Adopted as Rule: November 2015

Toxicological Summary for: Trichloroethylene (TCE)

CAS: **79-01-6** Synonyms: 1,1,2-Trichloroethene, 1,1-Dichloro-2-Chloroethylene, 1-Chloro-2,2-Dichloroethylene, Acetylene Trichloride, TCE, Trethylene, Triclene, Tri, Trimar, Trilene

Acute Non-Cancer Health Risk Limit (nHRL_{Acute}) = Not Derived

The study design of the key study evaluated for the acute duration was insufficient for derivation of an RfD. Based on the available information, there is confidence that short-term and subchronic HRLs are protective of acute developmental effects from exposure to TCE.

Short-term Non-Cancer Health Risk Limit (nHRL_{Short-term}) = 0.4 µg/L

= <u>(Reference Dose, mg/kg/d) x (Relative Source Contribution) x (Conversion Factor)</u> (Short-term intake rate, L/kg/d)

> = (0.00052 mg/kg/d) x (0.2) x (1000 µg/mg) (0.289 L/kg-d)

> > = 0.36 rounded to **0.4 µg/L**

Reference Dose/Concentration: Source of toxicity value: Point of Departure (POD): Human Equivalent Dose (MDH 2011): Total uncertainty factor: Uncertainty factor allocation:	0.00052 mg/kg-d (laboratory animals) MDH 2012 0.37 mg/kg-d (LOAEL, Peden-Adams et al. 2006) 0.37 x 0.14 = 0.052 mg/kg-d (MDH 2011) 100 3 for interspecies extrapolation (to address potential differences in toxicodynamics), 10 for intraspecies variability, 3 for use of a minimal LOAEL instead of a NOAEL
Critical effect(s):	Immune effects (impacts on humoral function and splenic T-cells observed in a developmental immune study)
Co-critical effect(s): Additivity endpoint(s):	Fetal heart malformation Developmental, Immune system

Subchronic Non-Cancer Health Risk Limit (nHRL_{Subchronic}) = 0.4 µg/L

= <u>(Reference Dose, mg/kg/d) x (Relative Source Contribution) x (Conversion Factor)</u> (Subchronic intake rate, L/kg/d)

> = (0.00017 mg/kg/d) x (0.2) x (1000 µg/mg) (0.077 L/kg-d)

= 0.44 rounded to **0.4 µg/L**

Reference Dose/Concentration:	0.00017 mg/kg-d (laboratory animal)
Source of toxicity value:	MDH 2012
Point of Departure (POD):	0.37 mg/kg-d (LOAEL, Peden-Adams et al. 2006)
Human Equivalent Dose (MDH 2011):	0.37 x 0.14 = 0.052 mg/kg-d (MDH 2011)
Total uncertainty factor:	300
Uncertainty factor allocation:	3 for interspecies extrapolation (to address potential
Critical effect(s):	differences in toxicodynamics), 10 for intraspecies variability, 10 for use of a LOAEL instead of a NOAEL Immune effects (impacts on thymic T-cells, suppression of PFC response, delayed hypersensitivity response observed in a developmental immune study)
Co-critical effect(s):	Fetal heart malformations
Additivity endpoint(s):	Developmental; Immune system

Chronic Non-Cancer Health Risk Limit (nHRL_{Chronic}) = Subchronic nHRL_{Subchronic} = 0.4 µg/L

= <u>(Reference Dose, mg/kg/d) x (Relative Source Contribution) x (Conversion Factor)</u> (Chronic intake rate, L/kg/d)

 $= \frac{(0.00017 \text{ mg/kg/d})^{**} \text{ x } (0.2) \text{ x } (1000 \text{ } \mu\text{g/mg})}{(0.043 \text{L/kg-d})}$

= 0.79 rounded to 0.8 µg/L

**See the subchronic information above for more details about the reference dose

The Chronic nHRL must be protective of the acute, short-term, and subchronic exposures that occur within the acute, short-term, and subchronic periods and therefore, the Chronic nHRL is set equal to the Short-term and Subchronic nHRL of 0.4 μ g/L. The Additivity Endpoints are: Developmental, Immune system.

Cancer Health Risk Limit (cHRL) = 2 µg/L

 $= \frac{(\text{Additional Lifetime Cancer Risk) x (Conversion Factor)}}{[(\text{SF x ADAF}_{<2 \text{ yr}} \text{ x IR}_{<2 \text{ yr}} \text{ x 2}) + (\text{SF x ADAF}_{2^-<16 \text{ yr}} \text{ x IR}_{2^-<16 \text{ yr}} \text{ x 14}) + (\text{SF x ADAF}_{16+ \text{ yr}} \text{ x IR}_{16+ \text{ yr}} \text{ x 54})] / 70}$ $= \frac{(1E-5) \text{ x (1000 µg/mg)}}{[(0.05 \text{ x 10 x 0.137 L/kg-d x 2}) + (0.05 \text{ x 3 x 0.047 L/kg-d x 14}) + (0.05 \text{ x 1 x 0.039 L/kg-d x 54})] / 70}$ $= 2 \mu g/L$ Cancer Classification: Carcinogenic to humans by all routes of exposure based on convincing evidence of a causal association between TCE exposure in humans and kidney cancer and some human evidence of TCE carcinogenicity in the liver and lymphoid tissues. This conclusion is further supported by

rodent bioassay data indicating carcinogenicity of TCE in

	rats and mice at tumor sites that include those identified in
	human epidemiologic studies."
Slope factor:	0.05 (human) (Charbotel et al. 2006)
Source of slope factor:	EPA 2011
Tumor site(s):	Kidney, Liver, Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma

Volatile: Yes (high)

Summary of Guidance Value History:

Updated Health-Based Values (HBVs) were calculated in 2013. The 2013 HBVs were adopted into rule as HRLs in 2015. The 2015 short-term, subchronic, and chronic HRLs (0.4 μ g/L) are approximately 12 times lower than Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL)-based HRL of 5 μ g/L as the result of: 1) use of more recent intake rates which incorporate higher intake rates during early life, 2) a 20 to 70-fold decrease in the RfD value, and 3) rounding to one significant digit.

Summary of toxicity testing for health effects identified in the Health Standards Statute:

	Endocrine	Immunotoxicity	Development	Reproductive	Neurotoxicity
Tested?	Yes – Secondary Observations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Effects?	Yes ¹	Yes ²	Yes ³	Yes⁴	Yes⁵

Note: Even if testing for a specific health effect was not conducted for this chemical, information about that effect might be available from studies conducted for other purposes. Most chemicals have been subject to multiple studies in which researchers identify a dose where no effects were observed, and the lowest dose that caused one or more effects. A toxicity value based on the effect observed at the lowest dose across all available studies is considered protective of all other effects that occur at higher doses.

Comments on extent of testing or effects:

¹ Studies explicitly evaluating endocrine effects of TCE have not been conducted. Secondary observations in studies designed to evaluate reproductive parameters provide limited evidence of endocrine effects. A limited number of epidemiological studies have reported effects such as decreased levels of testosterone and abnormal menstrual cycles.

Decreased testosterone and abnormal menstrual cycles have been evaluated in animals studies, and these effects, like those above reported in humans, occur at levels >1000-fold higher than the short-term, subchronic and chronic RfDs. Therefore, it is likely that the Short-term, Subchronic and Chronic HBVs are protective of these effects.

² Human and animal studies provide strong evidence that TCE plays a role in autoimmune disease and hypersensitivity. There is also some evidence that TCE may play a role in immunosuppressive effects although the evidence for these effects is weaker. Immune-related effects observed in human and animals studies are not limited to diseases but also involve organs and tissues within the immune system. Immune effects provide the basis of the RfDs (0.00017 – 0.00052 mg/kg-d) for the short-term, subchronic, and chronic durations.

³ A number of developmental outcomes have been observed in animal and human studies following inhalation and oral exposure to TCE. Some of the adverse developmental effects that have been

observed in these studies included: spontaneous abortion, perinatal death, pre- or post-implantation loss, increased resorptions, low birth weight and decreased postnatal growth, and congenital malformations and fetal cardiac defects in particular. Fetal cardiac malformations (Johnson et al. 2003) were identified as a sensitive effect in the recent EPA IRIS Toxicological Review (2011). The RfDs derived by MDH (0.00017 mg/kg-d – 0.00052 mg/kg-d) that are based on immune effects are 90-300 times lower than LOAEL reported in the Johnson et al. 2003 and are therefore considered to be protective of fetal cardiac malformations.

⁴ There is consistent evidence in animal and human studies that exposure to TCE is associated with adverse reproductive effects in males and females. A limited number of epidemiological studies have reported effects such as decreased levels of testosterone and abnormal menstrual cycles at exposure levels >1000-fold higher than the short-term, subchronic and chronic RfDs. Reproductive studies in laboratory animals have evaluated effects on sperm, fertility, reproductive organs, and parturition. These effects, like those above reported in humans also occurred at levels >1000-fold higher than the short-term, subchronic and chronic RfDs.

⁵ TCE is associated with a variety of neurological effects in both animal and human studies. Most neurological effects associated with TCE were observed in inhalation studies but some neurological effects have also been observed following oral exposure to the TCE. The strongest evidence of neurological effects in human resulting from exposure to TCE is for changes in trigeminal nerve function or morphology and impairment of vestibular functions (includes symptoms such as headaches, dizziness, and nausea). There is more limited evidence that TCE may cause delayed motor function, changes in auditory, visual, and cognitive function or performance. The lowest HED99 dose levels for neurological effects range from 3.5 mg/kg-d (developmental neurotoxicity in mice) to 7.3 mg/kg-d (trigeminal nerve effects in humans). The RfDs derived by MDH (0.00017 – 0.00052 mg/kg-d) are >6700-fold lower and are therefore protective of neurological effects observed in inhalation and oral animal and human studies.

References:

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (1997). "Toxicological Profile for Trichloroethylene (TCE)." Retrieved February 6, 2012, from <u>http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp19.pdf</u>.
- Beamer, P. I., C. E. Luik, L. Abrell, S. Campos, M. E. Martinez and E. Saez (2012). Concentration of Trichloroethylene in Breast Milk and Household Water from Nogales, Arizona. *Environmental science & technology* 46(16): 9055-9061.
- California Environmental Protection Agency-OEHHA Toxicity Criteria Database. from <u>http://www.oehha.ca.gov/risk/ChemicalDB/index.asp</u>.
- California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). (2009). "Public Health Goal for Trichloroethylene in Drinking Water." Retrieved January 30, 2012, from http://oehha.ca.gov/water/phg/pdf/tce_f.pdf.

California State Water Resources Control Board (2011). Compilation of Water Quality Goals.

- Charbotel, B., J. Fevotte, M. Hours, J. L. Martin and A. Bergeret (2006). Case-control study on renal cell cancer and occupational exposure to trichloroethylene. Part II: Epidemiological aspects. *The Annals of occupational hygiene* 50(8): 777-787.
- Forand, S. P., E. L. Lewis-Michl and M. I. Gomez (2012). Adverse Birth Outcomes and Maternal Exposure to Trichloroethylene and Tetrachloroethylene through Soil Vapor Intrusion in New York State. *Environmental health perspectives* 120(4): 616-621.
- Health Canada. (1993). "Priority Substances List Assessment Report Trichloroethylene." Retrieved February 27, 2012, from <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-</u> <u>semt/pubs/contaminants/psl1-lsp1/trichloroethylene/index-eng.php</u>.
- Health Canada Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality. "Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality." from <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/pubs/water-eau/index-eng.php#tech_doc</u>.
- Henschler, D., H. Elsasser, W. Romen and E. Eder (1984). Carcinogenicity study of trichloroethylene, with and without epoxide stabilizers, in mice. *Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology* 107(3): 149-156.
- Isaacson, L. G. and D. H. Taylor (1989). Maternal exposure to 1,1,2-trichloroethylene affects myelin in the hippocampal formation of the developing rat. *Brain research* 488(1-2): 403-407.
- Johnson, P. D., S. J. Goldberg, M. Z. Mays and B. V. Dawson (2003). Threshold of trichloroethylene contamination in maternal drinking waters affecting fetal heart development in the rat. *Environmental health perspectives* 111(3): 289-292.
- Keil, D. E., M. M. Peden-Adams, S. Wallace, P. Ruiz and G. S. Gilkeson (2009). Assessment of trichloroethylene (TCE) exposure in murine strains genetically-prone and non-prone to develop autoimmune disease. *Journal of environmental science and health. Part A, Toxic/hazardous substances & environmental engineering* 44(5): 443-453.
- Minnesota Department of Health (MDH). (2008). "Statement of Need and Reasonableness (SONAR), July 11, 2008. Support document relating to Health Risk Limits for Groundwater Rules.", from <u>http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/risk/rules/water/hrlsonar08.pdf</u>.
- Minnesota Department of Health (MDH). (2011). "MDH Health Risk Assessment Methods to Incorporate Human Equivalent Dose Calculations into Derivation of Oral Reference Doses." from <u>http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/risk/guidance/hedrefguide.pdf</u>.
- Narotsky, M. G., E. A. Weller, V. M. Chinchilli and R. J. Kavlock (1995). Nonadditive developmental toxicity in mixtures of trichloroethylene, Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, and heptachlor in a 5 x 5 x 5 design. *Fundamental and applied toxicology : official journal of the Society of Toxicology* 27(2): 203-216.

- National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1976). Carcinogenesis bioassay of trichloroethylene. National Cancer Institute carcinogenesis technical report series 2: 1-215.
- National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1988). NTP Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Trichloroethylene (CAS No. 79-01-6) in Four Strains of Rats (ACI, August, Marshall, Osborne-Mendel) (Gavage Studies). *National Toxicology Program technical report series* 273: 1-299.
- National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1990). NTP Carcinogenesis Studies of Trichloroethylene (Without Epichlorohydrin) (CAS No. 79-01-6) in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Gavage Studies). *National Toxicology Program technical report series* 243: 1-174.
- Peden-Adams, M. M., J. G. Eudaly, L. M. Heesemann, J. Smythe, J. Miller, G. S. Gilkeson, et al. (2006). Developmental immunotoxicity of trichloroethylene (TCE): studies in B6C3F1 mice. *Journal of environmental science and health. Part A, Toxic/hazardous substances* & environmental engineering 41(3): 249-271.
- Peden-Adams, M. M., J. G. Eudaly, A. M. Lee, J. Miller, D. E. Keil and G. S. Gilkeson (2008). Lifetime exposure to trichloroethylene (TCE) does not accelerate autoimmune disease in MRL +/+ mice. Journal of environmental science and health. Part A, Toxic/hazardous substances & environmental engineering 43(12): 1402-1409.
- Sanders, V. M., A. N. Tucker, K. L. White, Jr., B. M. Kauffmann, P. Hallett, R. A. Carchman, et al. (1982). Humoral and cell-mediated immune status in mice exposed to trichloroethylene in the drinking water. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* 62(3): 358-368.
- Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment ITER "International Toxicity Estimates for Risk (ITER)." from <u>http://iter.ctcnet.net/publicurl/pub_search_list.cfm</u>.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency IRIS. "Integrated Risk Information Systems (IRIS) A-Z List of Substances." from <u>http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris/index.cfm?fuseaction=iris.showSubstanceList</u>.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Drinking Water. (2011). "2011 Edition of the Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories." from http://water.epa.gov/action/advisories/drinking/drinking_index.cfm#dw-standards.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2011a). "Toxicological Review of Trichloroethylene (CAS No. 79-01-6)." Retrieved December 21, 2011, from http://www.epa.gov/iris/toxreviews/0199tr/0199tr.pdf.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2011b). "The Sciency Advisory Board Review of the EPA draft Integradted Risk Information System (IRIS) assessment entitled, *"Toxicological Review of Trichloroethylene"*."

World Health Organization (WHO)/International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS) (2012). Guidance For Immunotoxicity Risk Assessment For Chemicals, World Health Organization.