

Written User Guide for Cost and Capacity Assessment Data Dashboards

Center for Public Health Systems – UMN Tableau Dashboard (https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/jp.leider6501/vizzes)

CREATED BY MDH DATA TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TEAM

salesforce			
+ableau*'public	Create - Learn		
	Want to take	your data skills to the next level? Connect with t	he Tableau Commun
	Center for Public	c Health Systems	- UMN
	Follow		
	Follow		
	Follow Vizzes Favorites Follow	ving Followers	
	Follow Vizzes Favorites Follow	ving Followers	
	Follow Vizzes Favorites Follow	ving Followers	
	Vizzes Favorites Follow	ring Followers	Name and Address of the International Science
	Vizzes Favorites Follow	ving Followers	-
	Follow Vizzes Favorites Follow Paterman color operative forwards the second	ving Followers	2
	Follow Vizzes Favorites Follow	ving Followers	
	Follow Vizzes Favorites Follow	ving Followers	
	Follow Vizzes Favorites Follow Patternet for the offent of four decision of the offent of the off	Ning Followers	OE2203 map
	Follow Vizzes Favorites Follow Reference Follow Minnesota CCA visuals_No_Ind Center for Public Health Systems - UMN	Ving Followers	OE2203 map Center for Public
	Follow Vizzes Favorites Follow Minnesota CCA visuals_No_Ind Center for Public Health Systems - UMN	Ving Followers	DE2203 map Center for Publ

Table of Contents

Written	User Guide for Cost and Capacity Assessment Data Dashboards	1
Ove	erview	4
Non-i	ndividualized dashboards	5
a.	Title	5
b.	Activities	6
c.	HRs – HRs or Headline responsibilities	14
d.	Agency Foundational Areas and Capabilities (FA / FCs)	17
e.	State FA / FC	18
f.	Agency overall	19
g.	Spending	20
h.	FTEs	26
i.	Icicle Chart	29
Indivi	dual dashboards	33
k.	Title	33
I.	Individual Agency Dashboard	34
m.	Activities	35
n.	Headline Responsibilities	41
0.	Comparing Foundational Public Health Responsibilities (FPHR)	45
p.	Overall Foundational Capabilities and Areas (FC / FA)	46
q.	FTEs (Curve)	50
r.	FTEs (by agency)	54

s.	Spending (Curve)	57
t.	Spending (by agency)	61
u.	FPHR Icicle Chart	64
Inte	rpreting the Data	66
Cor	nmonly Used Acronyms	66
Glo	ssary – Frequently Used Terms	67
FAC	ls	67

Overview

This dashboard is meant to supplement and illustrate findings from the <u>2023 Minnesota Public Health Cost and Capacity Assessment</u> (https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/practice/systemtransformation/docs/202310costcapacity-memoreport-reduced.pdf), created by the University of Minnesota School of Public Health Center for Public Health Systems (CPHS).

• The assessment was conducted in the field in 2022 and 2023, and the report was written in 2023. It covers data for local public health over fiscal year 2021 and state fiscal year 2022.

The dashboards were created with a data visualization software program called Tableau. Why use Tableau?

- It provides data visualization without the need for too much technical skills or coding expertise.
- It presents data in interactive dashboards that are easy to use.
- It allows for collaboration with data across the state of Minnesota.

Non-individualized dashboards

Public Health Cost and Capacity Foundational Responsibility Dashboards (https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/jp.leider6501/viz/MinnesotaCCAvisuals No Ind/Title)

a. Title. This page reminds us that these dashboards are meant to by dynamic and interactive and are connected to the 2023 Minnesota Public Health Cost and Capacity Assessment Summative Report. Each tab is meant to represent an appendix from that report. At first glance it might seem as if the data was not there, but as you can see on the banner, there are various tabs that we will go over.



Dashboards

Data visualized in these dashboards are meant to be dynamic appendices available alongside the 2023 Minnesota Public Health Cost and Capacity Assessment Summative Report, available at [z umn.edu/CCAReport]. Each sheet represents a different Appendix Figure referenced from the report, collected here for ease of use. While these are interactive, they are meant more as *dynamic figures* than highly-featured dashboards. Fundamentally, they are meant to allow users to explore the data and save on sitting through dozens, or hundreds, of appendix figures. Please email cphs@umn.edu with questions on these figures.



b. Activities. This page seems relatively large because it encompasses all activities, as you can see when scrolling down here. Across the top are the population sizes, and that is compared across the state here.

🧁 Minnesota CCA vi	isaalis_No.Ind × +						
⊢⇒ C ∎	public.tableau.com/app/prot/c/jp/inner650374	munaelatai Lavasus _No_1007	110				2 🔹 🛊 🛎 🗹 🥥
salesforce							
+ableau*	public Create - Learn						Sign In 🔎
		Want to take your	data skills to the next level? Com	ect with the Tableau Community	to accelerate your learning. She	ow me ->	
	Minnesota CCA visua	als_No_Ind by Center for	Public Health Systems - UMN			·G ☆ &	(F) (F)
Title Activities	HRs Agency FAIFCs State FAIFC Agency	voverali Spanding FTEs ic	ele Chart IIR (horizontal)				
Activities All, All							Foundational Area / Capability • •
	Assesses the sucilability	<25k	25k-49k	50k-99k	100k+	Statewide	Headline Responsibility
	capacity, and distribution (or gaps in availability, capacity, and distribution) of clinical care services for newborn screening disorders and to children with newborn screening disorders in the population and specifically						Comparator Production Jitter on
	Assure mandated newborn escreening and follow-up.						Legend
	Effectively inform and influence state policy related to the newborn screenings to be included in the state newborn screening, based on what governmental public health considers appropriate and scientifically necessary.						Not Implemented. Aggruppend chapter or overall score indicates a lack of implementation for all relevant hoad ine responsibilities Minimally Implemented, Aggruppind chapter or overall score indicates row implementation or maxt relevant head ine responsibilities Destilable Implemented. Aggruppend
Ġ.	Ensure a seamless and complete referral from state health department to local health department for follow-up, including an update back from the local health department to the state health department of any follow-up referrals made.				•••		chapter or overal score indicates maderate implementation of meat relevant headline responsibilities Substantially implemented. Aggregated chapter or overal score indicates high implementation of most relevant headline responsibilities Minning, build card attrive or numbles responsibility data available to determine degree of implementation.

i. You can change it from population sizes to regions by selecting the alternative option "LPHA regions" under the comparator list. This will then change each plot to be either MDH, Null, State or each of the 7 Local Public Health Association regions¹.

	Foundational Area / Capability
Statewide	Headline Responsibility (All)
	Comparator Population
	Population LPHA Regions off

¹ Map of Local Public Health Association of Minnesota Regional Divisions (https://www.lpha-mn.org/about_lpha/lpha_regions.php)

- ii. If you want, you can also check and uncheck which dimensions you would like to look at. Right now, it is defaulted to all, all but if you wanted you specifically look at for example, "Health Equity" -- you can select from the "Foundational Area / Capability".
- iii. Definitions for commonly used terms in the report, such as Foundational Area / Capability and Headline Responsibility, can be found in the glossary of this document, on page 67.



iv. You can further narrow down your search by selecting a "Headline Responsibility", which will break down the activities on the left down even further.



v. You can see the legend on the right-hand side, which shows how each individual plot moves from "not implemented" to "minimally implemented", "partially", "substantially", or "missing".



vi. If you would like to focus on certain parts of each plot, you can utilize these buttons here to zoom in or out, or several other functions as needed. Just click the home icon (which looks like a little house) if you want to revert it back to its original form.



vii. You can also focus on individual plot points by clicking on them.

Activities

Health Equity, Develop and demonstrate organizational commitment to health equity.



viii. You can also turn jitter on, which results in more individualized plot points.

		Foundational Area / Capability
		Health Equity •
100k+	Statewide	Headline Responsibility
0		Develop and demonstrate organizational commitm •
		Comparator
• •		Population
0		litter
		On
		On
	0	
0 0	000	
	O	
		and the second se
2		Legend
8.		Not Implemented. Aggregated chapter

ix. At the bottom left is a scale for the plot points for the LHDs, or local health departments. This shows that the larger the dot, the more local health departments there are under that plot point.



x. From left to right, the functions of each button are "undo action", "redo action", "replay animation", "reset view", "share", "download data" (multiple file formats available), and "make view full screen". Here, the "reset view" button is being emphasized.



c. HRs – HRs or Headline responsibilities. From here on out, there are many graphs that break down the original larger one.



i. You can once again select which HR you want to view under the dropdown on the right. You can search in the search box as well to find your desired HR faster.



ii. Also, you can turn on the jitter here too, under the dropdown.



iii. On the right-hand side you can also see the legend for the charts, and the scaling again.



d. Agency Foundational Areas and Capabilities (FA / FCs). Next is the agency foundational areas and capabilities, weighted. There is not much to interact with here, but you can see the different sections categorized out.



Foundational Areas and Capabilities (weighted)

e. State FA / FC – Next is just the state FA / FC. You can switch between foundational area and foundational capabilities here, both weighted.



f. Agency overall. Then there is a plot with all the agencies overall, both unweighted and weighted. If you hover over the dots, you can see each specific local public health agency. In the image below, the Minneapolis Health Department is the one being hovered over and highlighted.



g. Spending. Now with spending, this graph is a little different. Each plot point is marked with population size on the bottom, and total expenditures on the left. There are two colored lines: yellow for current spending, and green for projected funding necessary for full implementation of public health responsibilities.





Full Implementation

i. You can highlight each point to see the specific expenditure value.



LHD Spending, L. Prevention and Population Health Improvement, Total



21

ii. If you click on the specific plot point, you can also have an explanation pop up on the side explaining more about the data point, which health department is being represented, as well as viewing more specifics about the data.

HD Spending, L. Prevention and Population Health Improvement, Total	Thew Datas Street 19 (1	1 mark) - Geogle Dirome		- = ×	& 429954, Minneapolis Health Dep
\$22,000,000	ii aublic.tableau.coi	m/vizql/v_202332309151012/javascripts/hybrid-window/min/inde	.htm?id=1hcki1s	ig%24adss-cp-d-ls-qtgf	Explanations
\$20,000,000	Tabs (Summary 1 row 5 fields	E s	Show Fields 🗇 Downland	
516 DOD DOD	Summary	de Witz Abr	Abc	-#	D Explore underlying values for 429954, Minimapolis Health Department, Fitch
\$18,000,000	Full Data	POP Measure Names Recipient First Name	Var	Shert: V Expenditures	Ø V Expenditures
\$16,000,000		429954 V Expenditures Minneapolis Health Departs	nent Fitcl	\$8,449,632	Can't analyze measures that use two aggregation. Try using an aggregation to Sum Average Count or Count Distinct
\$14,000,000					Contra considera deserva of octavia solution
\$ \$12,000,000					
	+ vous Q	Ð		-/-	
\$10,000,000			-		
\$8,000,000					
\$6,000,000					
\$6,000,000		View Data			
\$6,000,000 \$4,000,000		View Data			
\$6,000,000 \$4,000,000 \$2,000,000		View Data The View Data window is still openi. Close the View Data window?			
\$6,000,000 \$4,000,000 \$2,000,000 \$0	1	View Data The View Data window is still open. Close the View Data window?			
\$6,000,000 \$4,000,000 \$2,000,000 \$0 0K 50K 100K 150K 200K 250K 300K 350K 400K 4	50K 500K 550K	View Data The View Data window is shill open. Close the View Data window? OK			

iii. Remember that if you want to go back to the default view, you can reset on the bottom right. From left to right, the functions of each button are "undo action", "redo action", "replay animation", "reset view", "share", "download data" (multiple file formats available), and "make view full screen".



iv. Also, you can see that the chart view defaults to the Foundational Area "Prevention and Population Health Improvement", but on the bottom left here, you can select a different foundational responsibility such as "Health Equity".





v. You can switch the spending type to "per capita", which will change the scale on the left side of the graph.



LHD Spending, L. Prevention and Population Health Improvement, Total

vi. You can also turn contingency on to raise the local health departments to the floor, which will move all the green dots to at least the level of the green line.



LHD Spending, L. Prevention and Population Health Improvement, Total

h. FTEs – FTEs or Full Time Equivalents, shows a similar graph with FTE on the left and population size on the bottom. This page is defaulted to the Foundational Area "Prevention and Population Health Improvement", but again, you can change that under the first dropdown. If you change the foundational responsibility on the previous graph, it will actually carry over to this graph if you wanted to keep looking at say, "Health Equity" across both FTEs and spending.



i. You can change FTE type from "per 100 thousand population" to "total".



LHD FTEs, E. Health Equity, Total

ii. Additionally, you can turn on contingency again. This option carries over from the last graph too.

- 6.0 0 5.5 5.0 0 0 4.5 4.0 0 3.5 0 ш 3.0 Ц 0 0 2.5 0 0 2.0 0 0 00 1.5 ŏ 1.0 00 0.5 0 0 0.0 0 50K 100K 200K 250K 300K 350K 400K 450K 500K 550K 600K 650K 700K 150K Population served Foundational Responsibility FTE type Contingency (raise LHDs to the floor) E. Health Equity Total On • • Off On Current Full Implementation
- LHD FTEs, E. Health Equity, Total

i. **Icicle Chart**. Finally, there is the icicle chart, which you can hover your mouse over and see details on which foundational area or capability you are looking at. You can do this with their subcategories/headline responsibilities as well.



(1 Not implemented) (1.01-2 Minimally implemented) (2.01-3 Partially implemented)

i. You can again click on individual boxes to explain or view the data too.



ii. This chart is defaulted to the view "LHD Statewide", but you can change that again under the drop down on the top right and choose your own local public health agency, or any other agency you would like to look at.



iii. Remember, the legend is here at the bottom in terms of implementation level of each of these foundations.

(1 Not implemented) (1.01-2 Minimally implemented) (2.01-3 Partially implemented) (3.01-4 Substantially implemented)

HR (horizontal): The last tab is the headline responsibilities, displayed horizontally instead of vertically. It is defaulted to start showing at j. "Health Equity", but as you might be able to guess, you can change this with the dropdown on the right as well.







Individual dashboards

<u>Public Health Cost and Capacity Foundational Responsibility Dashboard (Individual)</u> (https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/jp.leider6501/viz/MN_CCA_Individual/Title)

k. Title. This page reminds us that these dashboards are meant to by dynamic and interactive and are connected to the 2023 Minnesota Public Health Cost and Capacity Assessment Summative Report. Each tab is meant to represent an appendix from that report. At first glance it might seem as if the data was not there, but you must click on the second header on the banner on the top here.

MN CCA Individual by Center for Public Health Systems - UMN

Title Individual Agency dashboard

Public Health Cost and Capacity Foundational Responsibility Dashboards (Individual)

Data visualized in these dashboards are meant to be dynamic appendices available alongside the 2023 Minnesota Public Health Cost and Capacity Assessment Summative Report, available at [z.umn.edu/CCAReport]. Each sheet represents a different Appendix Figure referenced from the report, collected here for ease of use. While these are interactive, they are meant more as *dynamic figures* than highly-featured dashboards. Fundamentally, they are meant to allow users to explore the data and save on sifting through dozens, or hundreds, of appendix figures. Please email cphs@umn.edu with questions on these figures.



I. Individual Agency Dashboard. Unlike the collective data set, this individual dashboard only has the two main banner headers. Instead, most of the options for viewing the data are found under this sub-banner, like the collective version of this dashboard.



m. Activities. The first thing you may notice is that right now, the data is defaulted to highlight Mille Lacs, with the green "X". However, regardless of which agency is highlighted, the data itself in the graphs remains the same – only where the green "X" falls on the chart will change, depending on which public health agency is selected to be highlighted at the tab on the right. The rest of the blue dots represent the other local public health agencies.



i. You can change which agency you are viewing by selecting it from the drop-down menu.

MN_C	CA_Individual by Center fo	r Public	Health Systems - UMN					
Title Individual Ag	gency dashboard							
ndividual A	Agency figures							
Activities	Headline Resolution(Cres	Companing	2/FPHRs Overall PC/ 5%	Agency Olierah) privit)	works PfEs (Garve)	FTEs (by egency)	Spending (Conve)	Spending (by egeney) COMR (public of set 2)
Activities fo All, All	or Minneapolis Health D	eparti	ment -				- 6	Comparator Population
			#25k	25k-49k	504-99%	2///	Statewille	Minneapolis Health Department
	Assess this availability, capacity, an disbibilition (or gaps in availability, capacity, and distribution) of clinica care ser vices for newborn screening disorders and to children with newborn screening disorders in the population and specifically within the unsdiction, including any partients or accessing the services.	Expertise					Hennepil Horizon I Hubbard Isant: Pu Itasce Pu Kanabac Kandiyoh Kittson M	n Public Health Public Health County (CHI St. Josephs Community Health) blic Health blic Health Public Health n Public Health Memorial Health Care
	Assura mandated newporm screenin and follow-up.	Expertise					Koachich Lake Orth Lake Pub LeSueur Marshall McLead H Meeker Mille Lac	ing Public Health ne Woods County (CHI Lakewood Pudlic Health) lic Health Public Health County (North Valley Public Health) Public Health Sublic Health
	Effectively inform and influence state policy related to the newsorm screenings to be included in the stat newborn Screening, based on whe governmental public beatth considers appropriate and scientifically necessary	Expertise					Minicap Morrison Mower P Nicollet J Nobles P Norman- Dimsted Otter Tai Penningt	olis Health Department Public Health Public Health Dublic Health Dublic Health Public Health I Public Health I Public Health on County and Red Lake (Inter County Nursing Services
	Ensure a seamless and complete referral from state backts department to kiccal health department for follow-up, including an update back from the local health department to the state health	Expertise	00	00	• • •		Pine Pub Polk Pub Ranvilla Nice Pub Rich Vice Roseeu Roseeu	lic Health lic Health Public Health lic Health (City of Joint / Lifecare Public Health

ii. You can also change whether the data is viewed by size of population served or viewed by the seven LPHA regions. Both options are compared statewide, but this view also has MDH and Null as well. However, in terms of which activities, all will be selected for this view. It is meant as an overview of agency implementation of foundational capabilities and areas, which is explained further at the legend on the right.



iii. You can turn the jitter on and off to disaggregate the data points a little more.

Individual Agency figures



iv. You can highlight individual data points for a more in-depth explanation of each individual data point.

Activities for *Minneapolis Health Department* - All, All



Compa

Popula

v. You can zoom the view in and out and reset the graph view if needed.



vi. You can also reset the entire page as well, back to the default of Mille Lacs, and use full screen view and download the data too. Remember, from left to right, the functions of each button circled at the lower right-hand corner are "undo action", "redo action", "replay animation", "reset view", "share", "download data" (multiple file formats available), and "make view full screen".



袋+ableau

n. Headline Responsibilities. Under the headline responsibilities tab, this view again defaults to Mille Lacs Public Health. To change the view, a different agency can be selected using the drop-down menu on the right.



i. You can also focus in on specific headline responsibilities such as "Assessment and Planning", here.





ii. Jitter can also be turned or off on this plot.

iii. And in terms of comparison, this graph is defaulted to various population bands and comparison to MDH, but you can also select "Statewide" under the dropdown again to see how the selected agency compares with the rest of the state.



iv. Once again, the legend is on the right-hand side for reference.

Legend



Not Implemented. Aggregated chapter or overall score indicates a lack of implementation for all relevant headline responsibilities.



Minimally Implemented. Aggregated chapter or overall score indicates low implementation of most relevant headline responsibilities.



Partially Implemented. Aggregated chapter or overall score indicates moderate implementation of most relevant headline responsibilities.



Substantially Implemented.

Aggregated chapter or overall score indicates high implementation of most relevant headline responsibilities.



Missing. Insufficient activity or headline responsibility data available to determine degree of implementation. o. Comparing Foundational Public Health Responsibilities (FPHR). On this view, you cannot switch agencies, but you can see overall how spread-out each local public health agency is under the various foundational areas and capabilities. This data is weighted.

A. Assessment and Planning	2 Expertise	G. Organizational Management	4 study 2 1
B. Communications	4 3 2 2 2	H. Policy Development	4 3 2 1
C. Community Partnerships		I. Preparedness and Response	4 3 2 1
		J. Infectious Disease Prevention and Control	4 3 2 1
D. Data and Epidemiology		K. Environmental Health	Ekpertise
E. Health Equity	a contraction of the second se	L. Prevention and Population Health Improvement	Expertise
F. Leadership and Governance		M. Access to Health Services	4 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

Foundational Areas and Capabilities (weighted)

p. Overall Foundational Capabilities and Areas (FC / FA). This tab shows how each public health agency is doing in terms of implementing either their foundational capabilities or their foundational areas.





- FC/FA Foundational Area - Mille Lacs Public Health - Weighted Foundational Area Selected Agency + * Seleted Agency - Other Agencies 命 4.0 ¥ 0 0 08 0 Legend 3.0 0 Not Implemented, Aggrega or overall score indicates a 00 implementation for all relev-Capacity responsibilities. 0 0 Minimany Implemented. Ag chapter or overal score ind 0 implementation of most rele Dept: Minneapolis Health Department 2.0 Capacity: 2.3 Expertise 3.8 00 Substantial, implemented of egated chapter or over indicates high implementation relevant headling responsib 0 0 Missing, Insufficient activity responsibility data available 1.0 determine degree of implen 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 Expertise
- i. It is again defaulted to Mille Lacs, but you can hover over the dots to see which public health agencies each represent.

1. Agency Overall (weighted vs. unweighted): You can see the comparison between weighted and unweighted in terms of each agency overall, again defaulted to Mille Lacs. You can zoom in and out amongst other options on this pop-up tool bar.



q. FTEs (Curve) - This graph represents local health department Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs) on a curve. It is defaulted to Mille Lacs (highlighted with the large "X"s) and to the Foundational Responsibility "L. Prevention and Population Health" (the curve and plots), but both can be changed under the first two drop down menus.



Individual Agency figures

Current Full Implementation



LHD FTEs, B. Communications, Per 100k pop

i. It is also defaulted to "Per 100 thousand population", which you can switch to "total population" if you would like.



ii. You can also turn the contingency on to raise local health departments to the floor of full implementation, represented by the green line. The yellow line is the current implementation level. Again, the X's here, both green and yellow, represent each highlighted public health agency, which changes if you select a different agency.



r. FTEs (by agency). This bar chart shows the levels of current versus full implementation of FTE, or full-time equivalents, by foundational capability/area. At first view, it is defaulted to Mille Lacs in Total. If you want to view a different agency, first select the health agency you are interested in.

Activities Headline Comp	overall FC / FA	Agency Overall (wovs unwe)	FTEs (Curve)	FTEs (by s	gency)	Spending (Curve)	Spendin	(by agency)	FRHR. D	dechart
Isanti Public Health		and a large										
Itasca Public Health												
Kanabec Public Health												
Kandiyohi Public Health	ed by Washington Pu	blic Health in Tota	1									
Kittson Memorial Health Care	red by Washington Pa	blic riedicity in rota	<i>c</i>									
Koochiching Public Health						VV	ashington Pub	lic Health				
Lake of the Woods County (CHI Lakewood Public Health)												
Lake Public Health	- A.	Assessment and Planning 0.9	£									
LeSueur Public Health												
Marshall County (North Valley Public Health)		B. Communications	2.8									
McLeod Public Health			200									
Meeker Public Health												
Mille Lacs Public Health	ç	Community Partnerships	3.6									
Minneapolis Health Department												
Morrison Public Health		0. Data and Epidemiology	.7									
Mower Public Health		in a set of the set of set of the										
Nicollet Public Health												
Nobles Public Health	1 C	E. Health Equity 1.	3									
Norman-Mahnomen												
Olmsted Public Health	F1=	adership and Governance	1									
Otter Tail Public Health												
Pennington County and Red Lake (Inter County Nursing Services	s)	in the second	-									
Pine Public Health	G. Org	anizational Management	7.2									
Polk Public Health		1	1									
Renville Public Health		H. Policy Development	8									
Rice Public Health												
Richfield, City of												
Roseau County (Lifecare Public Health)	1 Pre	paredness and Response	12									
Scott Public Health		1				1						
Sherburne Public Health	Lipfectious Diseas	Revention and Control	4.8									
Sibley Public Health												
Southwest Health and Human Services		the second second				-						
St. Louis Public Health		K. Environmental Health				30.0						
St. Paul-Ramsey Public Health	-				1							
Stearns Public Health	Prevention and Popula	tion Health Improvement	-	147								
Steele Public Health												
Todd Public Health												
Wabasha Public Health	.M.:	Access to Health Services 2	1.0.									
Wadena Public Health		E										1
Naseca Public Health		Grand Total						-	-			73.5
Washington Public Health		icitaria fotal		_	_	_		_	_			10.0
Waterwan Public Health		0	5 10	15 2	0 25	30	35 40	45	50 55	60 E	5. 70	75
Wilkin Public Health										~~ 0		1.4
Winona Public Health							FTES					
Wright Planc riealth												
Washington Public Health	htal											
THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY.						- Andrews						

i. You can then also change the FTE type from Total to Per capita by clicking on these arrows down here. A note, that these figures are based on reports from your agency.



ii. You can highlight each foundational area/capability and see the actual values for each. The black bar marks current FTEs versus the blue bar, which represents full implementation.

Current vs full implementation FTE reported by Washington Public Health, in Total



s. Spending (Curve). Here, we see local health department spending by millions of dollars. It is defaulted to Mille Lacs and "L. Prevention and Population Health Improvement" in Total. All of these can be changed in the drop-down menus.

ITIES	Headline Responsibilities	(omparing FPHRs	Overall FC / FA	Agency Ov unwt)	erall (wt vs	FTEs (Cu
		Aitkin Cou	nty Public Health				
		Anoka Cou	unty Public Health				
		Becker Co	unty Public Health				
		Peltranni	ounty Public Health		Pe	r capita	
		Benton Co	unty Public Health			<u> </u>	
		Blooming	ton, City of)	
		Plue Earth	Public Health	_			
		Brown Pu	blic Health				
		Carlton Pu	ublic Health				
		Carver Pu	blic Health				
		Cass Publ	c Health				
		Chisago P	ublic Health				
		Clay Publi	c Health				
		Clearwate	r Public Health				
		Cook Publ	ic Health				
		Countrysi	de Public Health				
		Crow Win	g Public Health				
		Dakota Pu	blic Health				
		Des Moine	s Valley Health and H	uman Srvs			
		Dodge Pul	olic Health				
		Edina, Cit	y of				
		Faribault-	Martin Public Health				
		Fillmore P	ublic Health				
		Freeborn	Public Health				
		Goodhue I	Public Health				
		Hennepin	Public Health				
		Horizon P	ublic Health				
		Houston F	ublic Health				
		Hubbard (County (CHI St. Joseph	ns Community Health)			
		Isanti Pub	lic Health		0		
		Itasca Pub	lic Health				8
		Kanabec P	ublic Health				
		Kandiyohi	Public Health				
		Kittson M	emorial Health Care			2004	2507
		Koochichi	ng Public Health			SOUR	SOUN
		Lake of th	- Woods County (CHII	Lakewood Public Health)		Popul	ation serve
_		Lake Publi	c Health				
		Bloomingto	on, City of 💌 🛛 Doat	a and Epidemi 💌 🛛 Per	capita		•

Individual Agency figures

i. First, select your health agency, and then select which foundational capability/area you are interested in.



LHD Spending, D. Data and Epidemiology, Total

ii. You can either keep it defaulted to the total spending type or select Per Capita under drop down menu labeled "Spending type".



iii. Finally, you can select the contingency on or off to raise full implementation to the floor of the green line. The legend again shows the current implementation level as yellow, full implementation as green, and the "X"s representing the selected public health agency.



t. Spending (by agency). This is a bar chart showing current versus full implementation spending by foundational capability/area, again defaulted to Mille Lacs in Total. The black bars represent current implementation level while the blue bars represent full implementation levels. You can highlight each bar representing foundational capabilities/areas for more information.





i. Again, remember to select the desired public health agency.

ii. You can also change the bottom axis from total spending type to per capita by clicking this arrow at the bottom of the screen.



Current vs full implementation spending reported by Anoka County Public Health, Expenditures in Per capita

u. FPHR Icicle Chart. Finally, here is the foundational public health responsibilities icicle chart, broken down by foundational areas on top and foundational capabilities on bottom. You can highlight each subcategory of foundational area/capabilities to see more information. Individual Agency figures



🏓 (1 Not implemented) 📕 (1.01-2 Minimally implemented) 📕 (2.01-3 Partially Implemented) 📕 (3.01-4 Substantially Implemented)

i. This is defaulted to a statewide view, but can be changed to your public health agency up at the top right here. You can reference the legend below, from yellow meaning "not implemented", orange being "minimally implemented", purple being "partially implemented", and blue being "substantially implemented".

Individual Agency figures



Interpreting the Data

There will be a separate document developed by the University of Minnesota School of Public Health Center for Public Health Systems detailing more about how to interpret the data in the dashboards, to be published at a future date.

Commonly Used Acronyms

- CCA: Cost Capacity Assessment
- HR: Headline Responsibilities
- LHD: Local Health Department
- FA: Foundational Area
- FC: Foundational Capability
- FPHR: Foundational Public Health Responsibilities
- FTE: Full Time Equivalent
- WT: Weighted
- UNWT: Unweighted
- K: 1000
- CPHS: Center for Public Health Systems

Glossary – Frequently Used Terms

- Tableau: a platform to create and interact with dashboards containing dynamic graphic visualizations of data.
- Icicle Chart: a visual graph showing hierarchical data represented by rectangles that represent different organizational categories depending on their level or sub levels.
- Jitter plot: a graph with overlapping data points used to group and visualize multiple individual data points or values.
- Foundational Area: Basic public health, topic-specific responsibilities aimed at improving the health of people and communities.
- Foundational Capability: The knowledge, skills, and abilities needed to successfully implement the basic public health protections key to ensuring the community's health and achieving equitable health outcomes.
- Foundational Public Health Responsibilities: The sum of Foundational Areas and Foundational Capabilities put together duties which must be carried out by governmental public health.
- Headline Responsibility: A level of public health activity within Foundational Capabilities and Areas. For example, within the Foundational Area of Communications, one Headline Responsibility is "Develop and implement strategies for risk communication".

FAQs

What is an icicle chart?

- It is a graphical representation of clusters of results that resembles rows of icicles corresponding to different themes. For us, it is the different dimensions of the foundational areas or capabilities.
 - How can it be used?
 - This icicle chart is color coded once again to display, at a quick glance, the level of implementation for each dimension of foundational area or capability.

Is there additional information available about the Cost and Capacity Assessment?

 Memo: <u>Publications and resource documents</u> (<u>https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/practice/systemtransformation/resources.html</u>)

- Full report: <u>Center for Public Health Systems Minnesota Public Health Cost and Capacity Assessment Summative Report</u> (https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/practice/systemtransformation/docs/202310costcapacity-memoreport-reduced.pdf)
 - Appendix D: Overview of Project Dashboards
 - Appendix E: Abbreviations and Glossary

Minnesota Department of Health | Data Strategy & Interoperability 625 Robert St. N, PO Box 64975, St. Paul, MN 55164-0975 | <u>www.health.state.mn.us</u>

To obtain this information in a different format, call: 651-201-5905. 12/13/23 Version 2.1