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• Stakeholder recommendations
Context for State health reform

• High quality in Minnesota relative to other states
• Wide variation in costs and quality across different health care providers, with no evidence that higher cost or higher use of services is associated with better quality or better health outcomes for patients
• Health care costs are rising, placing greater share of health care costs on consumers
• What tools do consumers have to choose how to spend their health care dollars?
Optimal Diabetes Care (ODC) & Optimal Vascular Care (OVC), 2009

Source: Statewide Quality Reporting and Measurement System

^MHCP are Minnesota Health Care Programs, which include Medicaid and MinnesotaCare
Health care growth exceeds growth in income and wages

Source: HEP analysis of annual health plan reports, preliminary
Statutory requirements: Minnesota’s 2008 Health Reform Law

- Establish standards for measuring quality of health care services offered by health care providers
- Establish a system for risk adjusting quality measures
- Physician clinics, hospitals, and ambulatory surgical centers are required to report
- Issue annual public reports on provider quality

- Minnesota Statutes, 62U.02
Objectives and goals

• Enhance market transparency by creating a uniform approach to quality measurement
• Improve health / reduce acute care spending
• Quality measures must be based on medical evidence and be developed through a participatory process
• Public reporting quality goals:
  – Make more quality information broadly available
  – Use measures related to either high volume or high impact procedures and health issues
  – Report outcome measures or process measures that are linked to improved health outcomes
  – Not increase administrative burden on health care providers where possible
Partnership among MDH and community organizations

• MDH conducted a competitive procurement process in the fall of 2008 to contract out key activities:
  – Develop recommendations for quality measures and the quality incentive payment system;
  – Conduct outreach to providers; and
  – Manage data collection activities

• MDH has a 5-year, $4 million contract with MN Community Measurement (MNCM) as lead member of consortium that includes the: Minnesota Hospital Association (MHA), Stratis Health, Minnesota Medical Association (MMA), and University of Minnesota
Historical timeline

• December 2009
  – First set of administrative rules established SQRMS

• January 2010
  – Data collection for publicly reported quality measures began
  – Health plans no longer permitted to require data submission on measures outside the standardized set

• November 2010
  – MDH issued its first public report with data on the standardized measures to be publicly reported
  – First update to administrative rules

• November 2011
  – Second update to administrative rules

• November 2012
  – Third update to administrative rules
Rulemaking and opportunities for stakeholder input

1. MDH invites interested stakeholders to submit recommendations for the addition, removal, or modification of measures to MDH by June 1
2. MNCM submits preliminary measure recommendations to MDH mid-April; MDH opens public comment period
3. MNCM submits final measure recommendations to MDH by June 1; MDH opens public comment period
4. MNCM measure recommendations are presented at a public forum toward the end of June
5. MNCM submits final measure specifications to MDH by July 15
6. MDH publishes a new proposed rule by mid-August with a 30-day public comment period
7. Final rule adopted by the end of the year
## Physician clinic measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Optimal diabetes care</td>
<td>Required for reporting in January/February of every year on the previous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Optimal vascular care</td>
<td>calendar year dates of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Health information technology survey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Depression remission at six months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Optimal asthma care</td>
<td>Required for reporting in July/August of every year on the previous 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Colorectal cancer screening</td>
<td>months dates of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Primary c-section rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patient experience of care</td>
<td>Required for reporting in 2015 on Sept. – Nov. 2014 dates of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Total knee replacement</td>
<td>Required for reporting beginning in 2014 on 2012 dates of service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ambulatory surgical center measures

- ASCs will begin submitting data on three measures in July on previous 12 months dates of service
  - Prophylactic intravenous antibiotic timing
  - Hospital transfer / admission
  - Appropriate surgical site hair removal
Hospital measures

• Hospitals will submit data on more than 50 measures for 2013 reporting
  – CMS Hospital Compare inpatient and outpatient measures
  – Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) indicators
  – The Joint Commission
  – Vermont Oxford Network (VON)
  – National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN)
  – Minnesota Stroke Registry indicator
  – Health Information Technology (HIT)
Future physician clinic measures for development

- As part of its contract with MDH, MNCM has been developing new measures
  - Spine surgery
  - Pediatric preventive care
- Measure development is a multi-year process
  - MNCM has recommended including the pediatric prevention measures in this year’s rule
How is SQRMS making a difference?

• Informs providers and patients about the quality of care

CentraCare Clinic in Becker, MN:
Looking at the scores, posted online by a group called MN Community Measurement, Barnett says she and her colleagues made up their minds: "We need to do something to change this.” Two years later, the Becker clinic was rated second-best in the state for diabetes care, with a score of 60 percent.

Source: Star Tribune, July 30, 2011
Optimal Diabetes Care (ODC)

Source: Statewide Quality Reporting and Measurement System

^MHCP are Minnesota Health Care Programs, which include Medicaid and MinnesotaCare
Optimal Vascular Care (OVC)

Source: Statewide Quality Reporting and Measurement System

^MHCP are Minnesota Health Care Programs, which include Medicaid and MinnesotaCare

2009 Dates of Service 2012 Dates of Service

All Products 34.1% 49.0%
Commercial 34.02% 50.0%
Medicare 36.53% 52.0%
MHCP/Uninsured 23.63% 36.0%

Source: Statewide Quality Reporting and Measurement System
^MHCP are Minnesota Health Care Programs, which include Medicaid and MinnesotaCare
Recommendations submitted to MDH

• One health system encouraged alignment among state and federal reporting requirements

• One professional association recommended that MDH consider adding nursing sensitive indicators to SQRMS

• One interest group proposed the development of a quality measure for tobacco use and treatment
Resources

• Subscribe to MDH’s Health Reform list-serv to receive weekly email updates at: http://www.health.state.mn.us/healthreform

• Minnesota Statewide Quality Reporting and Measurement System: http://www.health.state.mn.us/healthreform/measurement/index.html

• MN Community Measurement: www.mncm.org
Questions and discussion

• Patient experience
• Questions and comments from the audience
## Patient experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CG-CAHPS Surveys</th>
<th>12-month core</th>
<th>12-month core + PCMH supplemental questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
<td>Asks about experiences with ambulatory care in the last 12 months</td>
<td>Same as the 12-month version, plus additional items to measure medical home concepts not covered by the core items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of questions in adult survey</strong></td>
<td>34 items</td>
<td>52 items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domains</strong></td>
<td>Access, provider communication, office staff, provider rating</td>
<td>Access, provider communication, office staff, provider rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional domains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend provider</td>
<td>Can be added</td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention to mental health</td>
<td>Can be added</td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-management support</td>
<td>Can be added</td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared decision-making</td>
<td>Can be added</td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>