Quality Framework
Steering Team Meeting Agenda

Time/Date: 8:30 – 10:30, Monday, March 12, 2018
Location: Community Room, HIWAY Credit Union (840 Westminster Street, St. Paul)

I. Welcome and Introductions (15 minutes)

Jennifer Lundblad and Diane Rydrych, Steering Team Co-Chairs

Marie Dotseth, Policy Advisor to the Commissioner of Health

II. Quality Improvement and Measurement: Exploring where we’ve been, where we are, and where we may go (70 minutes)

a. Background and context

b. Steering Team role

c. Project scope

d. Discussion

III. Stakeholder Engagement and Data Collection (15 minutes)

a. Review and discuss tentative data collection plan

b. Review and discuss tentative stakeholder list

IV. Next Steps (10 minutes)

a. Details regarding our next meeting

b. Homework

c. Round the table check-in

V. Public Comment (10 minutes)

VI. Adjourn
Agenda

• Welcome and Introductions
• Background and Context
• Framework Discussion
• Data Collection Plan Preview
• Next Steps
• Public Comment
Where we have been...

1990s
- MN Health Data Institute
- HEDIS
- BHCAG
- ICSI

2003
- Adverse Health Events Reporting Law
- MN Health Access Survey

2004
- MN e-Health Initiative

2005
- MN Community Measurement

2008
- MN Health Reform
What has changed over time...

- Accountable Care Organizations
- MNSure
- Medicare Beneficiary Quality Improvement Project
- Accountable Communities for Health
- Vital Signs Core Metrics
- Integrated Delivery Systems
- Health Care Reform
- Merit-based Incentive Payment System
- Value-Based Purchasing
- Affordable Care Act
- Patient-Centered Medical Homes
- Health Care Homes
- National Quality Strategy
- HITECH Act
- Triple Aim
- Meaningful Use
- Health Information Exchange
- Health Equity
- Electronic Health Record Systems
- Behavioral Health Home
- Integrated Health Partnerships
- Health Information Exchange
- e-Prescribing
Where we are …

- **Clinics and hospitals** report measures ... under state and national initiatives.
- Results are **reported publicly**.
- Health care purchasers use measures in **quality-based payment arrangements**.
- Measures are used by commercial and public sectors, fostering **alignment** across local and federal initiatives.
• Should quality measures be linked to quality improvement goals, population health goals, health equity goals, cost reduction, disease burden?

• Should all statewide measures be used in quality improvement, public reporting, and pay-for-performance, or are different measures better suited to different functions?

• How do we measure other settings or across settings along the care continuum?

• How can we increase the efficiency of quality measure reporting?

• How does Minnesota continue to be a leader and innovate in quality measurement?

• How do align with other measurement strategies without being duplicative or redundant or going backwards, and maintain a system that is relevant for Minnesota?

• What do we all need to know to be part of health quality improvement and the delivery of high quality care?
Legislative charge

• Develop a measurement framework in collaboration with a broad group of stakeholders that:
  
  • Articulates statewide quality improvement goals;
  
  • Fosters alignment with other measurement efforts;
  
  • Identifies the most important elements for assessing the quality of care;
  
  • Ensures clinical relevance; and
  
  • Defines the roles of stakeholders
Steering Team role

- Assist with the identification, articulation, and prioritization of framework objectives;
- Advise on the key topics and questions to use in outreach with a broader stakeholder audience;
- Think through the right perspective/people include in the stakeholder consultation;
- Synthesize input from the broader stakeholder community to contextualize and articulate themes and recommendations, and help build a roadmap, if necessary, towards a Minnesota quality framework; and
- Discuss ideas for ongoing framework evaluation, maintenance, and updates
What would be a valuable outcome?

• Ongoing quality improvement **dashboard**?

• Metrics to monitor advancements in **health care equity**?

• Explicit linkages between quality measures and **population health goals**?

• Standing statewide quality/quality improvement **advisory body**?
Assumptions

• Commitment to measurement
• Parsimony
• Different measures do different things
• Build on success
Data collection planning

- Overview and purpose
- Research questions
- Methods
- Steering Team input
- Next steps
Next steps

• Meeting #2: Late March/early April
  • Discuss framework principles (homework)
  • Firm-up project objectives, key questions, and data collection plan

• Doodle poll for meetings through September imminent

• Communications:
  • Measurement Framework webpage (http://www.health.state.mn.us/healthreform/measurement/measfrmwk)
  • Quality Framework Fact Sheet
  • GovDelivery: Statewide Quality Reporting and Measurement System
  • Email health.sqrms@state.mn.us
• What do you view as the opportunities to advance health quality and improvement in Minnesota?

• Are we filling a gap or leaping to the next stage?

• To what extent should our conversation be about the system we want as patients? How might the framework serve such a goal?

• Could existing draft “principles of a statewide quality improvement roadmap” lay the foundation for our quality improvement and measurement framework?

• How do we navigate the tension between desires to align with other local and national quality improvement and measurement initiatives while avoiding duplication and replication and continuing to lead and innovate in the field?

• What would happen if we in Minnesota no longer required clinical measurement (outside of HEDIS and federal requirements)?
Public comment
THANK YOU