#### Quality Framework Steering Team Meeting Agenda

- Date/Time: June 4, 2018; 9:30 a.m. 12:00 p.m. Central Time
- Location: HIWAY Federal Credit Union, 840 Westminster Street, St. Paul, MN 55130
- Telephone: 1-888-742-5095; 933-209-2697
  - I. Welcome (15 minutes)

#### II. Gain Shared Understanding – Elements of the Framework (20 minutes)

a. MDH staff present framework diagram

#### III. "Strength-Test" Framework Diagram (70 minutes)

- a. Structure the "what"
- b. Process the "how"
- c. Value the "why"
- IV. Expand Our Thinking (30 minutes)
- V. Next Steps (5 minutes)
  - a. Round the table check-in
  - b. Next meeting
  - c. Homework
- VI. **Public Comment** (10 minutes)
- VII. Adjourn

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

#### **Quality Framework**

**Steering Team Meeting #4** 

June 4, 2018

### Agenda



- Welcome
- Gain shared understanding elements of the framework
- "Strength-test" framework diagram
- Expand our thinking
- Next Steps
- Public Comment
- Adjourn

### Goal for today's meeting

## Examine and discuss elements of the Quality Measurement Framework



### Project goals and legislative charge

#### **Project goals**

The framework is intended to become guidance to the broader community that:

Articulates the **values** of statewide quality measurement across the spectrum of stakeholders

Includes **guiding principles** for a system of health quality improvement and measurement

Responds to the legislatively-established criteria

Establishes principles for ongoing framework evaluation, maintenance, and updates

#### **Legislative charge**

Develop a framework in collaboration with a broad group of stakeholders that:

Articulates statewide quality improvement goals

Fosters **alignment** with other measurement efforts

Identifies the most **important elements** for assessing the quality of care

Ensures clinical relevance and

Defines the **roles** of stakeholders

## Steering Team role

Assist with the identification, articulation, and prioritization of **framework objectives**;

- Advise on the **key topics and questions** to use in outreach with a broader stakeholder audience;
- Think through the right perspective/people include in the **stakeholder consultation**;
- Synthesize input from the broader stakeholder community to contextualize and articulate themes and recommendations, and help build a roadmap, if necessary, towards a Minnesota quality framework; and

A Discuss ideas for ongoing framework evaluation, maintenance, and updates

### Stakeholder input



### Framework development arc



#### March 12

- Team fundamentals
- Stakeholder engagement explained

#### 7

### Meeting #3 summary



## Stakeholder engagement update

- Small group interviews
- Key informant interviews

- Survey
- Share and discuss themes during our next meeting



#### **Draft Framework Model**

### Introductory thoughts

- Imagine possible elements of a measurement framework for Minnesota
- Lay the groundwork for a discussion about implementation for success & meaningful change
- Where we ended up in our framework modeling:
  - A decidedly functional <u>draft</u> vs. perfect visualization or flow
  - Build on your work concerning values/principles/goals
  - Components that reflect your thinking of evolution from the status quo
  - Placeholder for process that covers implementation, decision-making & roles

### Elements of the framework vs. status quo

- Feedback loop between measuring, evaluating impact and evolving the measurement system
- Recognition that one or multiple frameworks need to actionable for different stakeholders, e.g. patients, payers, providers, public health, etc.
   [PRINCIPLE 3] ... one-size may not fit all
- Recognition that if the framework is not just a static document, there has to be a process of implementation, reporting, stewardship



#### Measurement



- Health is more than health care [PRINCIPLE 1a]
- Domain as a broadly defined category of health care quality, e.g. work with communities to promote best practices of healthy living (CMS)
- Priorities or measuring what is most important, e.g. providing culturally competent care from a patient perspective
- Criteria to ensure measurement aligns with principles/goals/values (see considerations)
- Subject of measurement: what needs improvement and what should be measured ... where in the system should it be measured

#### Considerations

- Affect all stages of measurement
- Function to operationalize principles and values
- Force questions of:
  - Data sources
  - Reporting method
  - Expected impact (levers)



### "Strength-Test" framework diagram

### A. Structure (the "what")

 What's missing from this model, compared with what your thoughts had been about what a "framework" looks like/includes? What is included that you hadn't thought of/expected? What surprised you?



### "Strength-Test" framework diagram

### B. Process (the "how")

• What would it take to effectively or successfully operationalize these components in terms of design and implementation?



### "Strength-Test" framework diagram

### C. Value (the "why")

• How would the values and principles play out for each of the elements?



## Expand our thinking



# What would it take for the quality measurement framework to have **broad support and buy-in?**

### Check-in







 Synthesize perspectives from stakeholder community

 Finalize values, principles, and framework based on public comment

### Homework



### Public comment



### Adjourn

