Quality Framework Steering Team Meeting Agenda

- Date/Time: July 2, 2018; 9:00 a.m. 12:00 p.m. Central Time
- Location: HIWAY Federal Credit Union, 840 Westminster Street, St. Paul, MN 55130
- *Telephone:* 1-888-742-5095; 933-209-2697
 - I. Welcome (15 minutes)
 - II. Stakeholder feedback (55 minutes)
 - III. Alignment check: Revisit foundational questions (15 minutes)
 - IV. Framework discussion: Stewardship (60 minutes)
 - V. Where do we go from here? (20 minutes)
 - a. Survey
 - b. Future Steering Team meetings

VI. Next Steps (5 minutes)

- a. Round the table check-in
- b. Next meeting
- c. Homework
- VII. Public Comment (10 minutes)
- VIII. Adjourn

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Quality Framework

Steering Team Meeting #5

July 2, 2018

Agenda



- Welcome
- Stakeholder feedback
- Alignment check
- Framework discussion
- Where do we go from here?
- Next steps
- Public comment
- Adjourn

Goals for today's meeting

- . Share themes from **stakeholder interviews**
- 2. Revisit **initial questions** and assess alignment with framework design
- Discuss the how and who of framework stewardship

Project goals and legislative charge

Project goals

The framework is intended to become guidance to the broader community that:

Articulates the **values** of statewide quality measurement across the spectrum of stakeholders

Includes **guiding principles** for a system of health quality improvement and measurement

Responds to the legislatively-established criteria

Establishes principles for **ongoing framework** evaluation, maintenance, and updates

Legislative charge

Develop a framework in collaboration with a broad group of stakeholders that:

Articulates statewide quality improvement goals

Fosters **alignment** with other measurement efforts

Identifies the most **important elements** for assessing the quality of care

Ensures clinical relevance and

Defines the **roles** of stakeholders

Steering Team role

Assist with the identification, articulation, and prioritization of **framework objectives**;

- Advise on the **key topics and questions** to use in outreach with a broader stakeholder audience;
- Think through the right perspective/people include in the **stakeholder consultation**;

Synthesize input from the broader stakeholder community to contextualize and articulate themes and recommendations, and help build a roadmap, if necessary, towards a Minnesota quality framework; and

Discuss ideas for ongoing framework evaluation, maintenance, and updates

Stakeholder input



Framework development arc



- Team fundamentals
- Stakeholder engagement explained

Meeting #4 summary



Interviews

- Providers including those serving socioeconomically complex patient populations
 - Critical Access Hospitals convened by the Minnesota Hospital Association
 - Health Care Homes Measurement and Evaluation Workgroup
 - Minnesota Academy of Family Physicians
 - Minnesota Medical Association's Physician-Consensus Measures of Performance to Advance Quality and Safety Work Group
 - Safety Net Coalition membership

• Health plan companies

• Minnesota Council of Health Plans membership

• Health care purchasers

- Minnesota Business Partnership
- Minnesota Chamber of Commerce
- Minnesota Health Action Group membership
- Quality improvement and measurement organizations
 - Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement
 - MN Community Measurement
 - Stratis Health

Interviews (continued)

- Consumer, community and advocacy organizations representing diverse communities and patients
 - American Cancer Society
 - American Lung Association of the Upper Midwest
 - ClearWAY
 - Minnesota Board on Aging
 - Minnesota Council on Disabilities
 - Community leaders convened by SoLaHmo
 - Health equity champions convened by Voices for Racial Justice
 - MDH Health Equity Advisory and Leadership (HEAL) Council subgroup

• Public health

- Regional Local Public Health Association chairs
- Statewide Health Improvement Partnership Evaluation Advisory Group subgroup

Internal workgroup

• Department of Health

- Centers for Health Equity and Community Health
- Community and Family Health
- Health Care Homes
- Health Promotion and Chronic Disease
- Health Regulation Division
- Office of Health Information Technology
- Office of Statewide Health Improvement Initiatives

Department of Human Services

- Integrated Health Partnerships (IHP)
- Management and Budget
 - State Employee Group Insurance Program

Reflective questions

- What stood out to you most from the preliminary findings?
- What surprises or puzzles you?
- What lingering questions do you have?

Interpretive questions

- How do these conversations inform the direction of framework development?
- How does what we've learned from stakeholder conversations give us more certainty about next steps?
- What conclusions can we draw from this information? What do those conclusions mean for framework development?

Framework diagram



Initial questions

- 1. Should quality measures be linked to **quality improvement goals, population health goals, health equity goals, cost reduction, disease burden**?
- 2. Should all statewide measures be used in quality improvement, public reporting, and pay-forperformance, or are **different measures better suited to different functions**?
- 3. How do we measure *other* settings or *across* settings along the care continuum?
- 4. How can we **increase the efficiency** of quality measure reporting?
- 5. How does **Minnesota continue to be a leader** and **innovate** in quality measurement?
- 6. How do we **align** with other measurement strategies without being **duplicative or redundant** or going backwards, and maintain a system that is relevant for Minnesota?
- 7. What do we all need to know to be part of **health quality improvement** and the **delivery of high quality care**?
- 8. How do we know whether the **system is working**?
- 9. Can/should the system do more to help us understand where and how improvement happens?
- **10.** Who should be part of the process of determining which measures "matter" or are most important?

Alignment check

- Do the values, principles, and other framework elements that the Steering Team has developed thus far offer the potential to answer these questions?
- Are these questions still valid? Have any new questions emerged?



Framework discussion

- What role or function does the community need from whomever is stewarding this emerging framework?
- Looking at the framework diagram, how can stewardship be interpreted in terms of characteristics and/or specific functions?
- What are the keys to success? To whom will stewards be accountable and how?

Where do we go from here?

• Survey

 What survey questions could MDH pose to the public to inform any gaps or questions that we want to close-up in regards to framework development?

• Future meetings

• Should we try to meet in August to review and discuss an outline of the report to the Legislature?

Check-in



Next steps





Next Meeting

• September 6, 9:30-12:30 at HIWAY Federal Credit Union

Homework



Public comment



Adjourn

