Agenda: Measurement Framework Steering Team Meeting #4

Date: 11/18/2019

**Topic 1: Welcome and agenda review (1:00-1:25)**

- Welcome
- Review of Meeting #3 summary
  - Does anyone have any comments or questions on this meeting summary?

**Topic 2: Review of the latest draft framework model (1:25-2:20)**

Purpose: Discuss the efforts of the framework model workgroup and refine the latest draft as needed

**Process summary of the framework model workgroup (1:25-1:40)**

**Refinement of the latest draft framework model (1:40-2:20)**

- Small group discussions
  - Does this latest version of the framework model bring us clarity around the issues raised in the September Steering Team meeting? If not, where is focus and attention needed?
  - Is the equity emphasis sufficient?
  - What pieces of the framework resonate or are strong?
  - What changes or considerations would you highlight to further strengthen this latest draft?
- Large group discussion
  - What were some things that your group discussed?
  - What were your answers to the questions posed to your small group (listed above)?
  - How close are we to having a design of the framework model that can be used for the governance body to move forward?
Break (2:20-2:30)

Topic 3: Review and revise the initial draft governance charter (2:30-3:35)

Purpose: Generate suggested revisions on the governance charter

Process summary of the governance workgroup (2:30-2:50)

Governance discussion (2:50-3:35)

- Small group discussions: What is the work of this governance body?
  - What feedback do you have about the way the charter is structured?
    - What do you like about the charter?
    - Where could it be clearer?
    - What areas, if any, are missing that would bring greater clarity to what the governance body will be responsible for?
    - Do you feel this charter will set up the governance body to achieve its goals in phase 3 and beyond?
- Large group report out: Share what you discussed in your small groups
  - Given our discussion on the emerging governance approach:
    - Where might additional clarity be required to finalize the charter?
    - What’s missing, if anything? What could be clarified?
    - What, if anything, gives you caution about the way the governance approach is designed?
    - What, if anything, could be added to ensure that the ask and responsibilities of governance body members are clear?

Topic 4: Public comments (3:35-3:40)

Topic 5: Discuss next steps (3:40-3:50)

Topic 6: Closing (3:50-4:00)
• Welcome and agenda review
• Review latest draft framework model
• Review and revise the initial draft governance charter
• Public comments
• Next steps
• Closing
Where We Are Going

June 28
- Introduce project
- Refine values and principles
- Develop vision and mission
- Introduce existing measurement framework models

July 29
- Compare and discuss framework models
- Identify desired elements of a Minnesota measurement framework

September 16
- Refine framework model design
- Begin developing a governance structure

November 18
- Finalize framework component recommendations to MDH
Steering Team Agreements

- Our work is on behalf of Minnesota’s citizens, the ultimate stakeholders.
- We test our assumptions and inferences.
- We respect ourselves, each other, and the groups’ process.

Mindset

- We encourage constructive, adaptive thinking.
- We are sensitive to the fact that everyone deserves to be heard.

Verbalizing

- We maximize our time by coming to meetings having completed any homework assignments.
- We always start meetings on time.

Preparedness
Meeting #3 Summary

- Steering team members:
  - Refined the initial draft of the framework model design
  - Discussed the work required to provide effective governance for this project moving forward
  - Began discussing an initial governance structure that will make decisions about framework implementation activities
Framework Model Workgroup: Scope of Work and Members

**Scope of work:**
- Refine emerging measurement framework model vision, values, principles, and domains; and
- Sense-check full model

**Members:**
- Bill Adams, Karolina Craft, Renee Frauendienst, Diane Rydrych, David Satin, Tyler Winkelman

---

**Minnesota Framework for Health and Health Equity Measurement and Improvement**

This document reflects input from the framework model workgroup to-date.

**Context and Opportunity**

Minnesota is a national leader on many fronts, with our exemplary public health system, our commitment to advance health equity, the quality of our health care, and the many ways communities across the state contribute to health and well-being. Notably, for years we have measured and reported various aspects of clinical and hospital quality, and have collectively developed a standardized statewide approach to measurement.

Minnesota, however, also faces daunting challenges. We have increasing chronic disease rates, rising health care costs, and economic and social conditions that often work against our efforts to assure a healthy population. We have persistent disparities in health outcomes that are rooted in inequities related to geography, housing, income, and education. We see gaps in health outcomes according to sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, race, other factors, and the intersectionality of these
Framework Model: Values Refinement

- Fairness and equity
- Connection and collaboration
- Measurement that matters
- Actionable information
- Improvement
- Accuracy and rigor
- Innovation
- Transparency and simplicity
- Efficiency
- Measurement for improvement
- Innovation
- Transparency and simplicity
- Accountability
- Responsiveness
Framework Model: Challenges

- Distinguishing the line between model **development and implementation**
- Determining an adequate level of **detail** for a broad audience
- Including **equity concepts** in a thoughtful, inclusive way
- Balancing the **aspirational and innovative** aspects of the model with the **practical**
Does the latest version of the framework model bring us **clarity** around the issues raised in the September Steering Team meeting? **If not, where is focus and attention needed?**

- Is the **equity** emphasis sufficient?
- What pieces of the framework **resonate or are strong**?
- What **changes or considerations** would you highlight to further strengthen this latest draft?
▪ What were some of the things your group discussed?
▪ What were your answers to the questions posed to your small group?
▪ How close are we to having a design of the framework model that can be used for the governance body to move forward?
Governance Workgroup: Scope of Work and Members

- **Scope of work:**
  - Refine emerging governance structure and characteristics to inform governance charter

- **Members:**
  - Bill Adams, Marie Dotseth, Renee Frauendienst, Rahul Koranne, Deatrick LaPointe, Jennifer Lundblad, Gretchen Musicant, Julie Sonier
Governance: Small Group Discussion

- What feedback do you have about the way the charter is structured?
  - What do you like about the charter?
  - Where could it be clearer?
  - What areas, if any, are missing that would bring greater clarity to what the governance body will be responsible for?
  - Do you feel this charter will set up the governance body to achieve its goals in phase 3 and beyond?
Where might **additional clarity** be required to finalize the charter?

What’s **missing**, if anything? What could be **clarified**?

What, if anything, gives you **caution** about the way the governance approach is designed?

What, if anything, could be added to ensure that the **ask and responsibilities of governance body members are clear**?
Next Steps
Resources

- Measurement Framework webpage (https://www.health.state.mn.us/data/hcquality/measfrmwk)
- GovDelivery: Statewide Quality Reporting and Measurement System
- Email health.sqrms@state.mn.us
Thank you.