

# Health Regulation Division Managed Care Systems Section

# **Final Report**

# **Blue Plus**

Quality Assurance Examination For the Period: April 1, 2013 through September 30, 2015

> Final Issue Date: March 23. 2016

Examiners: Susan Margot, MA Elaine Johnson, RN, BS, CPHQ

# Minnesota Department of Health Executive Summary

The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) conducted a Quality Assurance Examination of Blue Plus to determine whether it is operating in accordance with Minnesota law. Our mission is to protect, maintain and improve the health of all Minnesotans. MDH has found that Blue Plus is compliant with Minnesota and federal law, except in the areas outlined in the "Deficiencies" and Mandatory Improvements" sections of this report. Deficiencies are violations of law. "Mandatory Improvements" are required corrections that must be made to non-compliant policies, documents or procedures where evidence of actual compliance is found or where the file sample did not include any instances of the specific issue of concern. The "Recommendations" listed are areas where, although compliant with law, MDH identified improvement opportunities.

## To address recommendations, Blue Plus should:

More clearly state in the quality improvement program evaluation what products, whether commercial or a Minnesota Health Care Program, are referred to when summarizing quality projects and focus studies;

Develop more robust measures for timely availability; and

Revise the reason for the denial on the Prime Therapeutics (PTI) denial notification letters from "treatment naïve" to a reason the majority of its enrollees would be better able to understand.

# To address mandatory improvement, Blue Plus must:

Update its policy titled *Government Programs Service Review - GP 210* to accommodate the requirement that DHS no longer requires the Plan to have a separate *Notice of Rights* when issuing a Denial, Termination, and Reduction (DTR) notice for personal care assistance (PCA) services;

Revise its policy/procedure, *Government Programs Emergency Service and Post Stabilization Care*, to include the following:

- 1) Emergency services are available 24 hours a day and seven days a week,
- 2) Emergency services are covered whether from a participating or non-participating provider, and
- 3) Co-pays and deductibles are the same for emergency services, whether provided at a participating or non-participating provider.

### To address deficiencies, Blue Plus and its delegates must:

Perform a credentialing file review as part of its annual evaluation of delegated activities to determine whether Prime Therapeutics correctly implements its credentialing standards;

Revise its written notification letters to direct enrollees to the Department of Health rather than Department of Commerce when explaining the appeal process. Blue Plus identified the underlying root cause of the issue and implemented the appropriate corrective actions to fix the identified issue effective November 20, 2015, as communicated to MDH during the onsite review.

This report including these deficiencies, mandatory improvements and recommendations is approved and adopted by the Minnesota Commissioner of Health pursuant to authority in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 62D.

Darcy Miner, Director

**Health Regulation Division** 

Date

# Contents

I.	Introduction	5
II.	Quality Program Administration	7
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1110. Program	7
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1115. Activities	8
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1120. Quality Evaluation Steps	8
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1125. Focus Study Steps	8
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1130. Filed Written Plan and Work Plan	8
III.	Complaint/Grievance System	9
	Complaint System	9
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.69. Complaint Resolution	9
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.70. Appeal of the Complaint Decision	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.71. Notice to Enrollees	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.73. External Review of Adverse Determinations	
	Grievance System	
	Section 8.1.	
	Section 8.2.	
	Section 8.3.	
	Section 8.4.	
	Section 8.5.	
	Section 8.9.	12
IV.	Access and Availability	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.124. Geographic Accessibility	
	Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1010. Availability and Accessibility	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.55. Emergency Services	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.121. Licensure of Medical Directors	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.527. Coverage of Nonformulary Drugs for Mental Illness and	
	Emotional Disturbance	14
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.535. Coverage for Court-Ordered Mental Health Services	14
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.56. Continuity of Care	
V.	Utilization Review	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.04. Standards for Utilization Review Performance	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.05. Procedures for Review Determination	15
	Statutes, Section 62M.06. Appeals of Determinations not to Certify	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.08. Confidentiality	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.09. Staff and Program Qualifications	
	Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.11. Complaints to Commerce or Health	
VI.	·	
	Mandatory Improvements	
	Deficiencies	

### I. Introduction

- A. History: Founded in 1974, Blue Plus, a subsidiary of Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota (Blue Cross), is a Minnesota nonprofit licensed health maintenance organization (HMO) that offers health plans and networks throughout Minnesota to individuals and local, state and national groups through contracted networks of health care providers. Aware Integrated, Inc., a Minnesota nonprofit corporation, serves as the parent holding company of Blue Cross. A Board of Directors, consisting of 40% enrollee elected directors, oversees Blue Plus. In addition to offering a range of commercial products, Blue Plus currently contracts with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS) to deliver and administer Minnesota Senior Care Plus and the Minnesota Senior Health Options (MSHO) program and also contracts with DHS to deliver and administer MinnesotaCare and the Prepaid Medical Assistance Program (PMAP).
- B. Membership: Blue Plus self-reported enrollment as of July 31, 2015 consisted of the following:

Product	Enrollment			
Fully Insured Commercial				
Large Group	1,203			
Small Group	449			
Individual	7,962			
Minnesota Health Care Programs-Managed Ca	re (MHSP-MC)			
Families & Children	78,198			
MinnesotaCare	16,244			
Minnesota Senior Care (MSC+)	3,367			
Minnesota Senior Health Options (MSHO)	7,932			
Special Needs Basic Care (SNBC)				
Medicare				
Medicare Advantage	n/a			
Medicare Cost	n/a			
Total	115,355			

- C. Onsite Examinations Dates: November 16, 2015 through November 20, 2015
- D. Examination Period: April 1, 2013 through September 30, 2015File Review Period: September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

Opening Date: August 4, 2015

- E. National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA): Blue Plus is accredited by NCQA based on 2014 standards. The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) evaluated and used results of the NCQA review in one of three ways:
  - 1. If NCQA standards do not exist or are not as stringent as Minnesota law, the accreditation results will not be used in the MDH examination process [No NCQA checkbox].
  - 2. If the NCQA standard was the same or more stringent than Minnesota law and the health plan was accredited with 100% of the possible points, the NCQA results were accepted as meeting Minnesota

- requirements [NCQA  $\boxtimes$ ] unless evidence existed indicating further investigation was warranted [NCQA  $\square$ ].
- 3. If the NCQA standard was the same or more stringent than Minnesota law, but the review resulted in less than 100% of the possible points on NCQA's score sheet or as an identified opportunity for improvement, MDH conducted its own examination.
- F. Sampling Methodology: Due to the small sample sizes and the methodology used for sample selection for the quality assurance examination, the results cannot be extrapolated as an overall deficiency rate for the health plan.
- G. Performance standard: For each instance of non-compliance with applicable law or rule identified during the quality assurance examination, that covers a three-year audit period, the health plan is cited with a deficiency. A deficiency will not be based solely on one outlier file if MDH had sufficient evidence obtained through: 1) file review; 2) policies and procedures; and 3) interviews, that a plan's overall operation is compliant with an applicable law.

# II. Quality Program Administration

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1110. Program

#### Subp. 1 Written Quality Assurance Plan $\boxtimes$ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NCQA Subp. 2 **Documentation of Responsibility** ⊠ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NCQA Subp. 3 **Appointed Entity** ⊠ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NCQA Subp. 4 **Physician Participation** ⊠ Met ☐ NCQA ☐ Not Met Subp. 5 **Staff Resources** ☐ Met $\bowtie$ NCQA ☐ Not Met Subp. 6 **Delegated Activities** ☐ Met ☐ NCQA

 Subp. 7
 Information System
 □ Met
 □ Not Met
 ⋈ NCQA

 Subp. 8
 Program Evaluation
 ⋈ Met
 □ Not Met
 □ NCQA

Subp. 9Complaints⋈ Met☐ Not MetSubp. 10Utilization Review⋈ Met☐ Not Met

Subp. 11Provider Selection and Credentialing□ Met□ Not Met⋈ NCQASubp. 12Qualifications□ Met□ Not Met⋈ NCQA

Subp. 13 Medical Records ⊠ Met □ Not Met

<u>Subp. 6.</u> Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 6, states the HMO must develop and implement review and reporting requirements to assure that the delegated entity performs all delegated activities. The processes established by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) for delegation oversight are considered the community standard and, as such, were used for the purposes of this examination to include all delegated functions. The following delegated entities and functions were reviewed:

Delegated Entities and Functions								
Entity	UM	UM Appeals	QM	Complaints/ Grievances	Cred	Claims	Network	Care Coord.
Delta Dental	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Prime Therapeutics	Х				Х	Х	Х	
Geriatric Services								Х
Aitkin County								Х
Nobles County								Х

Prime Therapeutics (PTI) is delegated the functions as indicated in the table above. Blue Plus in its oversight of the credentialing function reviewed PTI's policies and procedures related to credentialing, however was unable to show evidence of how Blue Plus ensures PTI is following its credentialing policies. In order to comply with Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 6, Blue Plus must perform a credentialing file review as part of its annual evaluation of delegated activities to determine whether PTI correctly implements its credentialing standards. (Deficiency #1). All other functions delegated to PTI had a thorough oversight review.

<u>Subd. 9.</u> Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 9, states the quality program must conduct ongoing evaluation of enrollee complaints related to quality of care. A total of 20 Blue Plus and Delta Dental quality of care complaint and grievance files were reviewed as follows:

**Quality of Care File Review** 

Subp. 1

Subp. 2

Written Plan

Work Plan

Quanty of care the next of						
	QOC File Source	# Reviewed				
	s—Commercial Products					
Blue Plus		4				
	s—MHCP-MC Products					
Blue Plus	S	10				
Delta De		6				
	Total	20				
	Rules, Part 4685.1115. Act					
Subp. 1	Ongoing Quality Evaluati	on	oxtimes Met	$\square$ Not Met	□ NCQA	
Subp. 2	Scope		oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA	
are referred	lowever it is not clear what I to when summarizing qual	ity projects and	I focus studies. <b>(Reco</b>			
Minnesota	Rules, Part 4685.1120. Qua	ality Evaluation	Steps			
Subp. 1	Problem Identification		oxtimes Met	$\square$ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA	
Subp. 2	Problem Selection			☐ Not Met	□ NCQA	
Subp. 3	Corrective Action			☐ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA	
Subp. 4	Evaluation of Corrective	Action	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met	☐ NCQA	
Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1125. Focus Study Steps  Subp. 1 Focused Studies						
Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1130. Filed Written Plan and Work Plan						

 $\boxtimes$  Met

☐ Met

☐ Not Met

☐ Not Met

 $\boxtimes$  NCQA

# III. Complaint/Grievance System

# **Complaint System**

MDH examined Blue Plus fully-insured commercial complaint system under Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 62Q. MDH reviewed a total of 12 complaint system files.

Complaint System File Review				
File Source	# Reviewed			
Complaint Files (Oral and Written)	8			
Non-Clinical Appeal	4			
Total	12			

Minnesota	Statutes, Section 62Q.69. Complaint Resolu	tion	
Subp. 1	Establishment	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 2	Procedures for Filing a Complaint	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Notification of Complaint Decisions	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
Minnesota	statutes, Section 62Q.70. Appeal of the Cor	nplaint Decision	
Subp. 1	Establishment	Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 2	Procedures for Filing an Appeal	Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Notification of Appeal Decisions	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Minnesota	Statutes, Section 62Q.71. Notice to Enrollee	es	
		⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Minnesota	statutes, Section 62Q.73. External Review o	of Adverse Determ	minations
Subd. 3.	Right to External Review	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met

# **Grievance System**

MDH examined Blue Plus's Minnesota Health Care Programs Managed Care Programs-Managed Care (MCHP-MC) grievance system for compliance with the federal law (42 CFR 438, subpart E) and the DHS 2011 Model Contract, Article 8.

MDH reviewed a total of 59 grievance system files:

Grievance System File Review				
File Source	# Reviewed			
Grievance				
Blue Plus	31			
Delta	5			
Non-Clinical Appeals				
Blue Plus	8			
Delta	5			
State Fair Hearing				
Blue Plus	8			
Delta	2			
Total	59			

<b>Section 8.1.</b> Sec. 8.1.1	§438.402	General Requirements Components of Grievance System	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
Section 8.2.	438.408	Internal Grievance Process Requireme	nts	
Sec. 8.2.1.	§438.402 (b)	Filing Requirements	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.2.2.	§438.408 (b)(1)	Timeframe for Resolution of	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
		Grievances		
Sec. 8.2.3.	§438.408 (c)	Timeframe for Extension of Resolution	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
		of Grievances		
Sec. 8.2.4.	§438.406	Handling of Grievances		
(A)	§438.406 (a)(2)	Written Acknowledgement	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(B)	§438.416	Log of Grievances	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(C)	§438.402 (b)(3)	Oral or Written Grievances	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(D)	§438.406 (a)(1)	Reasonable Assistance	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(E)	§438.406 (a)(3)(i)	Individual Making Decision	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(F)	§438.406 (a)(3)(ii)	Appropriate Clinical Expertise	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.2.5	§438.408 (d)(1)	Notice of Disposition of a Grievance		
(A)	§438.408 (d)(1)	Oral Grievances	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(B)	§438.408 (d)(1)	Written Grievances	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met

42 CFR 438.408 (b)(1). (Contract Section 8.2.2 (B)) states written grievances must be resolved within thirty (30) days of receipt. In one written grievance, the file was received late by Consumer Service and was further delayed. The file response took 153 days. No extension was requested.

<b>Section 8.3.</b> Sec. 8.3.1.	§438.404	<b>DTR Notice of Action to Enrollees</b> General Requirements	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
Sec. 8.3.2.	§438.404 (c)	Timing of DTR Notice		
(A)	§438.210 (c)	Previously Authorized Services	oxtimes Met	$\square$ Not Met
(B)	§438.404 (c)(2)	Denials of Payment	oxtimes Met	$\square$ Not Met
(C)	§438.210 (c)	Standard Authorizations	oxtimes Met	$\square$ Not Met
(1)	To the attending health	n care professional and hospital by	oxtimes Met	$\square$ Not Met
	telephone or fax withir	n one working day after making the		
	determination			
(2)	To the attending health	n care professional and hospital by	oxtimes Met	$\square$ Not Met
	telephone or fax withir	n one working day after making the		
	determination			
(3)	To the provider, enrolle	ee and hospital, in writing, and must	oxtimes Met	$\square$ Not Met
	include the process to	initiate an appeal, within ten (10)		
	business days following	g receipt of the request for the service,		
	unless the MCO receive	es an extension of the resolution period		
(D)	§438.210 (d)(2)( <i>i</i> )	Expedited Authorizations	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(E)	§438.210 (d)(1)	Extensions of Time	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(F)	§438.210 (d)	Delay in Authorizations	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.3.3.	§438.420 (b)	Continuation of Benefits Pending Decision	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met

<u>42 CFR 438.404</u>. (Contract Section 8.3.1.) Blue Plus must update its policy titled *Government Programs Service Review - GP 210* to accommodate the requirement that DHS no longer requires the Plan to have a separate *Notice of Rights* when issuing a DTR for PCA services. (Mandatory Improvement #1). Blue Plus updated its policy and provided MDH the revised policy while MDH was onsite. The policy is awaiting final approval.

Section 8.4.	§438.408	Internal Appeals Process Requirement	s	
Sec. 8.4.1.	§438.402 (b)	Filing Requirements	⊠ Met	$\square$ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.2.	§438.408 (b)(2)	Timeframe for Resolution of Standard Appeals	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.3.	§438.408 (b)	Timeframe for Resolution of Expedited Appeals	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
(A)	§438.408 (b)(3)	Expedited Resolution of Oral and Written Appeals	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
(B)	§438.410 (c)	Expedited Resolution Denied	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met

Section 8.4.	§438.408	Internal Appeals Process Requirement	S	
(C)	§438.410 (a)	Expedited Appeal by Telephone	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.4.	§438.408 (c)	Timeframe for Extension of Resolution	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
		of Appeals		
Sec. 8.4.5.	§438.406	Handling of Appeals	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(A)	§438.406 (b)(1)	Oral Inquiries	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(B)	§438.406(a)(2)	Written Acknowledgement	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(C)	§438.406(a)(1)	Reasonable Assistance	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(D)	§438.406(a)(3)	Individual Making Decision	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(E)	§438.406(a)(3)	Appropriate Clinical Expertise	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
		[See Minnesota Statutes, sections		
		62M.06, and subd. 3(f) and 62M.09]		
(F)	§438.406(b)(2)	Opportunity to Present Evidence	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(G)	§438.406 (b)(3)	Opportunity to examine the Case File	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(H)	§438.406 (b)(4)	Parties to the Appeal	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(1)	§438.410 (b)	Prohibition of Punitive Action	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
. ,				
Sec. 8.4.6.		Subsequent Appeals	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.7.	§438.408 (d)(2) and	Notice of Resolution of Appeals	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
	(e)			
(A)	§438.408 (d)(2) and (e)	Written Notice Content	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
(B)	§438.210 (c)	Appeals of UM Decisions	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
(C)	§438.210 (c) and	Telephone Notification of Expedited	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
	§408 (d)(2)(ii)	Appeals		
		[Also see Minnesota Statutes section		
		62M.06, subd. 2]		
(D)		Unsuccessful appeal of UM	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
		determination		
Sec. 8.4.8.	§438.424	Reversed Appeal Resolutions	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Section 8.5.	§438.416 (c)	Maintenance of Grievance and Appeal	Records	
			⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Section 8.9	§438.416 (c)	State Fair Hearings	<b>□</b> • • ·	
Sec. 8.9.2.	§438.408 (f)	Standard Hearing Decisions	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
Sec. 8.9.5.	§438.420	Continuation of Benefits Pending	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
		Resolution of State Fair Hearing	_	_
Sec. 8.9.6.	§438.424	Compliance with State Fair Hearing Resolution	⊠ Met	□ Not Met

<u>42 CFR 438.408</u>. (Contract Sections 8.4.3 and 8.4.5), states the MCO must resolve each appeal as expeditiously as Enrollee's health requires, not to exceed 30 days after receipt of the appeal and must send an acknowledgement letter within ten days of the request. In one MHCP appeal file the acknowledgement letter took 42 days after receipt of the request and the resolution took 170 days.

# IV. Access and Availability

Minnesota	Statutes, Section 62D.124. Geographic Accessibility		
Subd. 1.	Primary Care, Mental Health Services, General Hospital Services	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Other Health Services	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Exception	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Minnesota	Rules, Part 4685.1010. Availability and Accessibility		
Subp. 2.	Basic Services	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 5	Coordination of Care	Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 6.	Timely Access to Health Care Services	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
practice par survey to m for routine a Appointmer of the 90th action woul evaluation of	e to enrollees on a timely basis consistent with medically appropriate the 2014 Accessibility of Services Report (page 1) states as timely accessibility of health services. The Blue Plus goal and urgent care. The Blue Plus PMAP and MNCare rates did not hits. Blue Plus concluded that since the composite scores (routing percentile and because Blue Plus contracts with over 90% of the dot be taken. Blue Plus recognizes that the CAHPS data does not of timely availability. Blue Plus states that it has added more quic data. Blue Plus might develop more robust measures for timed that the call the plus might develop more robust measures for timed that the call	tes Blue Plus uses il is an 85% satisfa t meet goal for Ro ne and urgent ser e providers in Mir allow for more in estions to its surv	s the CAHPS action response outine Care vices) met goal nnesota, no new -depth
Minnesota	Statutes, Section 62Q.55. Emergency Services	□ Met	⊠ Not Met

Minnesota Statutes, section 62Q.55, subdivision 1, states enrollees have the right to available and accessible emergency services 24 hours a day and seven days a week. Blue Plus provided policy/procedure, GP215, Government Programs Emergency Service and Post Stabilization Care. The policy/procedure does not include the following provisions:

- 1) Emergency services are available 24 hours a day and seven days a week.
- 2) Emergency services are covered whether from a participating or non-participating provider.
- 3) Co-pays and deductibles are the same for emergency services, whether provided at a participating or non-participating provider.

Blue Plus addressed these issues in the 2016 evidence of coverage, however these elements should also be in the policy/procedure. (Mandatory Improvement #2) Blue Plus amended the policy/procedure during the MDH on-site visit. The policy/procedure is awaiting final approval.

Minnesota	Statutes, Section 62Q.121. Licensure of Medical Directors		
		⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Minnesota :	Statutes, Section 62Q.527. Coverage of Nonformulary Drugs for	Mental Illness	and Emotional
Subd. 2.	Required Coverage for Anti-Psychotic Drugs	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Continuing Care	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 4.	Exception to formulary	⊠ Met	□ Not Met
Minnesota :	Statutes, Section 62Q.535. Coverage for Court-Ordered Mental	Health Services	5
Subd. 1.	Mental health services	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Coverage required	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Minnesota	Statutes, Section 62Q.56. Continuity of Care		
Subd. 1.	Change in health care provider, general notification	Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 1a.	Change in health care provider, termination not for cause	Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 1b.	Change in health care provider, termination for cause	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Change in health plans	Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2a.	Limitations	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2b.	Request for authorization	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Disclosures	Met	☐ Not Met

# V. Utilization Review

UM System File Review			
File Source	# Reviewed		
UM Denial Files			
Commercial - Blue Plus	8		
Prime Therapeutics (PTI)	30		
MHCP-MC – Blue Plus	8		
Delta	8		
Prime Therapeutics (PTI)	8		
Subtotal	62		
Clinical Appeal Files			
Commercial -Blue Plus	15		
MHCP-MC – Blue Plus	30		
Delta	10		
Subtotal	55		
Total	117		

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.04. Standards for Utilization Review Performance					
Subd. 1		Responsibility on Obtaining Certification		oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.		Information upon which Utilization Review is Conducted		☐ Met	⋈ Not Met
Minnesota	Stat	tutes, Section 62M.05. Procedures for Review	Determination		
Subd. 1.		Written Procedures	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 2.		Concurrent Review	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 3.		Notification of Determination	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 3a.		Standard Review Determination	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
	(a)	Initial determination to certify (10 business	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA
		days)			
	(b)	Initial determination to certify	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
		(telephone notification)			
	(c)	Initial determination not to certify	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
	(d)	Initial determination not to certify	☐ Met	Not Met	☐ NCQA
		(notice of right to external appeal)			
Subd. 3b.		Expedited Review Determination	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	☐ NCQA
Subd. 4.		Failure to Provide Necessary Information	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 5.		Notifications to Claims Administrator	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	

<u>Subd. 3a</u>. Minnesota Statutes, section 62M.05, subdivision 3a (d), states the written notification must inform the enrollee and the attending health care professional of the appeal process. In 26 of the 30 PTI commercial utilization management denial files reviewed, the notification letter directed the enrollee to the Department of Commerce rather than the Department of Health. (Also refer to 62M.06, subdivision 3 (g)) (Deficiency #2) Blue Plus identified the underlying root cause of the issue and implemented the appropriate corrective actions to fix

# Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.05. Procedures for Review Determination

the identified issue effective November 20, 2015, as communicated to MDH during the onsite review. Blue Plus provided documentation demonstrating that appropriate corrective actions had been implemented and that the issue had been corrected as of November 20, 2015.

In addition, subdivision 3a (d) also states the written notification must include the reason or reasons for the determination. In two of the PTI commercial utilization management files the reason for the denial was "treatment naïve." The average enrollee would not necessarily understand what this means. PTI and Blue Plus may want to revise this language to assure the majority of enrollees would understand the reason for the denial. (Recommendation #3)

Statutes, S	Section 62M.06. Appeals of Determinations not to	Certify		
Subd. 1.	Procedures for Appeal	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 2.	Expedited Appeal	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 3.	Standard Appeal			
	(a) Appeal resolution notice timeline	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
	(b) Documentation requirements	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
	(c) Review by a different physician	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA
	(d) Time limit in which to appeal	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
	(e) Unsuccessful appeal to reverse determination	n 🗵 Met	☐ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA
	(f) Same or similar specialty review	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
	(g) Notice of rights to external review	$\square$ Met	⋈ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA
Subd. 4.	Notification to Claims Administrator	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	
submitted after Marc this in its o	nrollees to the Department of Commerce for extern to Department of Health. The notification letters with contained the appropriate language. MDH communality improvement process.  Statutes, Section 62M.08. Confidentiality	vere revised in	March 2015 and	files reviewed
Minnesota	Statutes, Section 62M.09. Staff and Program Qua	lifications		
Subd. 1.	Staff Criteria	☐ Met	☐ Not Met	oxtimes NCQA
Subd. 2.	Licensure Requirements	$\square$ Met	☐ Not Met	oxtimes NCQA
Subd. 3.	Physician Reviewer Involvement	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA
Subd. 3a	Mental Health and Substance Abuse Review	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA
Subd. 4.	Dentist Plan Reviews	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA
Subd. 4a.	Chiropractic Reviews	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA

iviinnesota	Statutes, Section 62M.09. Staff and Progr	am Qualifications		
Subd. 5.	Written Clinical Criteria	☐ Met	☐ Not Met	oxtimes NCQA
Subd. 6.	Physician Consultants	oxtimes Met	☐ Not Met	$\square$ NCQA
Subd. 7.	Training for Program Staff	☐ Met	☐ Not Met	oxtimes NCQA
Subd. 8.	Quality Assessment Program	□ Met	□ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Minnesota	Statutes, Section 62M.11. Complaints to 0	Commerce or Health		
			☐ Not Met	

### VI. Recommendations

- 1. To better comply with Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1115, subpart 1, Blue Plus could make the quality improvement program evaluation clearer in stating what products, whether commercial or a Minnesota Health Care Program, are referred to when summarizing quality projects and focus studies.
- 2. To better comply with Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1010, subpart 6, A, Blue Plus could develop more robust measures for timely availability.
- 3. To better comply Minnesota Statutes, section 62M.05, subdivision 3a (d), could revise the denial reason on the denial notification letters from "treatment naïve" to a reason the majority of its enrollees would be able to understand.

# VII. Mandatory Improvements

- 1. To comply with 42 CFR 438.404 (Contract Section 8.3.1.), Blue Plus must update its policy to accommodate the requirement that the Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS) no longer requires the plan to have a separate *Notice of Member Rights* when issuing a DTR for PCA services. Blue Plus updated its policy and provided to MDH a revised policy while MDH was onsite and was awaiting final approval.
- 2. To comply with Minnesota Statutes, section 62Q.55, subdivision 1, Blue Plus must include the following provisions in its policy/procedure, GP215, *Government Programs Emergency Service and Post Stabilization Care*:
  - 1) Emergency services are available 24 hours a day and seven days a week.
  - 2) Emergency services are covered whether from a participating or non-participating provider.
  - 3) Co-pays and deductibles are the same for emergency services, whether provided at a participating or non-participating provider.

## VIII. Deficiencies

- 1. To comply with Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 6, Blue Plus must perform a credentialing file review as part of its as part of its annual evaluation of delegated activities to determine whether PTI correctly implements its credentialing standards.
- 2. To comply with Minnesota Statutes, section 62M.05, subdivision 3a (d), Blue Plus and PTI must revise its written notification letters to direct enrollees to the Department of Health rather than Department of Commerce when explaining the appeal process. Blue Plus identified the underlying root cause of the issue and implemented the appropriate corrective actions to fix the identified issue effective November 20, 2015, as communicated to MDH during the onsite review.