

# Office of Health Facility Complaints Investigative Report PUBLIC

Facility Name: The Homestead of	Coon Rapids		Report Number: HL20532013	Date of Visit: August 15, 2017		
Facility Address: 11372 Robinson Drive NW			Time of Visit: 9:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m.	Date Concluded: November 17, 2017		
Facility City: Coon Rapids			Investigator's Name and Meghan Schulz, RN	l Title:		
State: Minnesota	<b>ZIP:</b> 55433	<b>County:</b> Anoka				

#### 

#### Allegation(s):

It is alleged that a client was neglected when alleged perpetrators AP1 and AP2 failed to follow the client's service plan of using a mechanical lift during transfer. Both AP's manually transferred the client to bed resulting in a right femur fracture.

- State Statutes for Home Care Providers (MN Statutes, section 144A.43 144A.483)
- State Statutes for Vulnerable Adults Act (MN Statutes, section 626.557)
- State Statutes Chapters 144 and 144A

#### Conclusion:

Based on a preponderance of evidence, neglect occurred when the client was transferred without the use of a mechanical lift and sustained a hip fracture.

The client received services from a provider licensed as a comprehensive home care provider. The client received assistance with medication administration, transferring, bathing, eating, and repositioning according to the service plan. The client's service plan states the client required an assist of two with the mechanical lift for transfer.

During an interview, nursing staff stated the client had in his/her care plan that a mechanical lift should be used for all transfers. Nursing staff stated that on the day of the incident, the alleged perpetrators (APs) manually transferred the client from the wheelchair to the bed. The nursing staff was notified of the deformity in the leg after the manual transfer and the client was sent to the hospital for evaluation. Nursing staff stated the AP's should have called for help when they were struggling to put the lift sling underneath the client.

Hospital records show the client sustained a right hip fracture and that non surgical intervention was

decided by the family and the medical team.

During observations and interviews, multiple direct care staff were unable to properly identify the different lifts being used in the facility. Direct care staff and facility management were unable to find different sized slings for the lifts in the building and were unable to find manuals for mechanical lifts. Some staff stated that the lift being used for the client was supplied by hospice, while other staff stated the lift being used for the client was supplied by the facility. The direct care staff stated the sling was kept on the client at all times while in the wheelchair and sometimes while lying in bed.

Document review of mechanical lift education, revealed inconsistencies with the information that was given to staff about mechanical lifts and alleged perpetrator (AP2) had no documented lift education in his/her file.

During an interview, the medical doctor stated the client's care plan indicated a mechanical lift was to be used for all transfers. MD states that the hip fracture was a contributing component to the client's end of life.

During an interview, the nurse that supplied the mechanical lift training to AP1 and AP2 after the incident, stated that it was the first time s/he did the training at the facility.

During an interview, AP1 and AP2 both state that they knew that the client was supposed to be transferred with a mechanical lift, but the sling was not all the way underneath the client, so they decided it would be safer to transfer the client manually to the bed. Both AP1 and AP2 stated when the client was put into bed after the manual transfer they noticed swelling on the client's hip and called the nurse in for evaluation. AP1 and AP2 stated the training provided after the incident was beneficial for them as they learned things they had never learned before, such as they didn't know how to reposition the sling on the client and they were unaware the sling was supposed to be removed in between lift uses. AP1 and AP2 both stated they felt uncomfortable with the lift for the client because one of the wheels would lift up when the mechanical lift was being used for the client and stated they both had made facility management aware of the issues with the lift.

Minnesota Vulnerable Adults Act (Minnesota Statutes, section 626.557)								
Under the Minnesota	a Vulnerable Adults Act (Minn	esota Statutes, section 626.557):						
☐ Abuse	Neglect     Neglect	☐ Financial Exploitation						
Substantiated     Sub	☐ Not Substantiated	☐ Inconclusive based on the following information:						
Mitigating Factors:								
The "mitigating factor	ors" in Minnesota Statutes, sec	tion 626.557, subdivision 9c (c) were considered and it was						
determined that the	☐ Individual(s) and/or ☒ Fac	cility is responsible for the						
☐ Abuse	Neglect  ☐ Financial Exp	loitation. This determination was based on the following:						
The facility failed to	ensure that direct care staff w	vere adequately trained and they failed to ensure policies						

and procedures were in place for mechanical lifts. The facility had inconsistent lift training between staff, and some staff lacked documentation of any training. The facility had multiple types of lifts in use and multiple staff were unable to find policies and different sized slings for the lifts in the facility.

The responsible party will be notified of their right to appeal the maltreatment finding. If the maltreatment is substantiated against an identified employee, this report will be submitted to the nurse aide registry for possible inclusion of the finding on the abuse registry and/or to the Minnesota Department of Human Services for possible disqualification in accordance with the provisions of the background study requirements under Minnesota 245C.

Comprises,
State Statutes for Home Care Providers (MN Statutes section 144A.43 - 144A.483) - Compliance Not Met The requirements under State Statutes for Home Care Providers (MN Statutes, section 144A.43 - 144A.483) were not met.
State licensing orders were issued: ▼ Yes □ No
(State licensing orders will be available on the MDH website.)
State Statutes for Vulnerable Adults Act (MN Statutes, section 626.557) - Compliance Not Met The requirements under State Statutes for Vulnerable Adults Act (MN Statutes, section 626.557) were not met.
State licensing orders were issued:    Yes    No
(State licensing orders will be available on the MDH website.)
State Statutes Chapters 144 & 144A – Compliance Not Met - Compliance Not Met The requirements under State Statues for Chapters 144 & 144A were not met.
State licensing orders were issued: 🕱 Yes 🗌 No
(State licensing orders will be available on the MDH website.)
Compliance Notes:
<b>Definitions</b> :

# Minnesota Statutes, section 626.5572, subdivision 17 - Neglect

"Neglect" means:

Compliance

- (a) The failure or omission by a caregiver to supply a vulnerable adult with care or services, including but not limited to, food, clothing, shelter, health care, or supervision which is:
  - (1) reasonable and necessary to obtain or maintain the vulnerable adult's physical or mental health

or safety, considering the physical and mental capacity or dysfunction of the vulnerable adult; and

- (2) which is not the result of an accident or therapeutic conduct.
- (b) The absence or likelihood of absence of care or services, including but not limited to, food, clothing, shelter, health care, or supervision necessary to maintain the physical and mental health of the vulnerable adult which a reasonable person would deem essential to obtain or maintain the vulnerable adult's health, safety, or comfort considering the physical or mental capacity or dysfunction of the vulnerable adult.

### Minnesota Statutes, section 626.5572, subdivision 19 - Substantiated

"Substantiated" means a preponderance of the evidence shows that an act that meets the definition of maltreatment occurred.

### The Investigation included the following:

**<u>Document Review</u>**: The following records were reviewed during the investigation:

- ▼ Medical Records
- Nurses Notes
- **X** Assessments
- Physician Orders
- **X** Treatment Sheets
- Facility Incident Reports
- Service Plan

# Other pertinent medical records:

X Hospital Records X Death Certificate

### Additional facility records:

- X Staff Time Sheets, Schedules, etc.
- **X** Facility Internal Investigation Reports
- Personnel Records/Background Check, etc.

▼ Facility In-service Records	
X Facility Policies and Procedures	
Number of additional resident(s) reviewed: Zero	
Were residents selected based on the allegation(s)?  Yes  No N/A	
Specify: none identified	
Were resident(s) identified in the allegation(s) present in the facility at the time of the inv	vestigation?
○ Yes ● No ○ N/A	
Specify: client is deceased	
Interviews: The following interviews were conducted during the investigation: Interview with reporter(s) • Yes O NO N/A Specify:	
If unable to contact reporter, attempts were made on:	
Date: Time: Date: Time: Date:	Time:
Did you interview the resident(s) identified in allegation:  Yes No N/A Specify: client is deceased  Did you interview additional residents? Yes No  Total number of resident interviews: Five  Interview with staff: Yes No N/A Specify:	
Tennessen Warnings  Tennessen Warning given as required:    Yes    No  Total number of staff interviews:    Twelve  Physician Interviewed:    Yes    No	
Nurse Practitioner Interviewed: Yes No	
Physician Assistant Interviewed:  Yes  No	
Interview with Alleged Perpetrator(s):   Yes   No   N/A Specify:	
Attempts to contact:	
Date: Time: Date: Time: Date:	Time:
If unable to contact was subpoena issued:  Yes, date subpoena was issued	
Were contacts made with any of the following:	

	Emergency Personnel Police Officers Medical Examiner Other: Specify Hospice RN
Obs	ervations were conducted related to:
X	Personal Care
X	Nursing Services
X	Call Light
X	Infection Control
X	Cleanliness
X	Dignity/Privacy Issues
X	Safety Issues
X	Restorative Care
X	Transfers
X	Meals
X	Facility Tour
X	Injury
X	Incontinence
Was	any involved equipment inspected: <b>●</b> Yes <b>○</b> No <b>○</b> N/A
Was	equipment being operated in safe manner: O Yes O No N/A
Wer	e photographs taken:   Yes   No Specify: Multiple lifts in the facility
cc:	
Hea	Ith Regulation Division - Home Care & Assisted Living Program
The	Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care
And	oka County Medical Examiners
Coc	n Rapids Police Department
And	oka County Attorney
Coc	n Rapids City Attorney

Report Number: HL20532013

Facility Name: The Homestead of Coon Rapids

PRINTED: 01/22/2018 FORM APPROVED Minnesota Department of Health (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X3) DATE SURVEY (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES COMPLETED **IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:** AND PLAN OF CORRECTION A. BUILDING: С ! B. WING 11/17/2017 H20532 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 11372 ROBINSON DRIVE NORTHWEST THE HOMESTEAD AT COON RAPIDS COON RAPIDS, MN 55433 PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X5) COMPLETE (X4) ID ID (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE PREFIX PREFIX REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) 0 000 Initial Comments 0 000 \*\*\*\*\*ATTENTION\*\*\*\*\*\* Minnesota Department of Health is documenting the State Licensing Correction Orders using federal software. HOME CARE PROVIDER LICENSING Tag numbers have been assigned to CORRECTION ORDER Minnesota State Statutes for Home Care Providers. The assigned tag number In accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section appears in the far left column entitled "ID 144A.43 to 144A.482, this correction order(s) has Prefix Tag." The state Statute number and been issued pursuant to a survey. the corresponding text of the state Statute out of compliance is listed in the Determination of whether a violation has been "Summary Statement of Deficiencies" corrected requires compliance with all requirements provided at the Statute number column. This column also includes the findings which are in violation of the state indicated below. When Minnesota Statute requirement after the statement, "This contains several items, failure to comply with any of the items will be considered lack of Minnesota requirement is not met as compliance. evidenced by." Following the surveyors ' findings is the Time Period for Correction. **INITIAL COMMENTS:** PLEASE DISREGARD THE HEADING OF THE FOURTH COLUMN WHICH On August 15, 2017, a complaint investigation STATES, "PROVIDER 'S PLAN OF was initiated to investigate complaint #HL20532013. At the time of the survey, there CORRECTION." THIS APPLIES TO were 43 clients that were receiving services FEDERAL DEFICIENCIES ONLY. THIS under the comprehensive license. The following WILL APPEAR ON EACH PAGE. correction order is issued. THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT A PLAN OF CORRECTION FOR VIOLATIONS OF MINNESOTA STATE STATUTES. The letter in the left column is used for tracking purposes and reflects the scope and level issued pursuant to 1441.474 subd. 11 (b) (1) (2)

Minnesota Department of Health

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

Subdivision 1. Statement of rights. A person who receives home care services has these rights:

0 325 144A.44, Subd. 1(14) Free From Maltreatment

TITLE

(X6) DATE

SS=J

0 325

Minnesota Department of Health

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		· · ·			(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		A. BUILDING:			С	
		H20532	B. WING			17/2017
NAME OF	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STREET AD	DRESS, CITY,	STATE, ZIP CODE		
THE HO	MESTEAD AT COON I	RAPIDS	BINSON DR PIDS, MN 5	IVE NORTHWEST 55433		-
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC)	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRE (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE AP DEFICIENCY)	OULD BE	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
0 325	Continued From pa	ige 1	0 325			
	(14) the right to be abuse, neglect, finatorms of maltreatment con Adults Act and the II.  This MN Requirement by: Based on document interview, the licens one of one client (Comaltreatment. C1 with mechanical lift was the client sustained manual transfer, was	free from physical and verbal ancial exploitation, and all vered under the Vulnerable Maltreatment of Minors Act; ent is not met as evidenced at review, observation, and see failed to ensure the right of (1) reviewed to be free from vas neglected when a not used for a transfer and a hip fracture during the as hospitalized with a hip quently died as a result of the				
	violation in which had cause, serious injure or death to a reside and was issued at a or a limited number one of a limited num	ed in a level four violation (a as caused, or is likely to y, serious harm, impairment, nt receiving care in a facility) an isolated scope (when one of clients are affected and/or ober of staff are involved or occurred only occasionally).  Viewed. C1 had a diagnosis of and received comprehensive including assistance with ferring, bathing and eating, a plan dated August 1, 2015. under, "transfer assistance", wo with a mechanical lift."  on August 15, 2017 at 2:30 ractical nurse (LPN)-B stated hat responded to the call of				

Minnesota Department of Health

PRINTED: 01/22/2018 FORM APPROVED Minnesota Department of Health (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED **IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:** AND PLAN OF CORRECTION A. BUILDING: C B. WING 11/17/2017 H20532 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 11372 ROBINSON DRIVE NORTHWEST THE HOMESTEAD AT COON RAPIDS COON RAPIDS, MN 55433 PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X5) (X4) ID ID COMPLETE (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL **PREFIX** PRÉFIX CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) 0 325 0 325 Continued From page 2 the deformity in C1's leg after the manual transfer by nursing assistant (NA)-G and NA-H. LPN-B stated that it was in C1's service plan that a mechanical lift be used for all transfers for C1. LPN-B stated NA-G and NA-H should have called nursing staff if they needed help with the mechanical lift before manually transferring her. LPN-B stated the client was on hospice, and the lift was supplied by hospice. LPN-B stated there are four to five hospice companies used in the facility and they all supply different equipment. During an interview on August 15, 2017 at 4:08 p.m., the director of nursing (DON)-A stated that in C1's care plan it was written that a mechanical lift needed to be used all the time. DON-A stated on the date of the incident NA-G and NA-H transferred the clients manually instead of using the lift, because they thought the sling was not safe. DON-A stated that C1's right leg looked crooked and C1 was sent to the hospital for evaluation. During observations on August 15, 2017 at 3;40 p.m., multiple direct care staff were unable to properly identify the different lifts being used in the facility. Direct care staff and the facility management were unable to find different sized slings for the lifts in the building, and were unable to find policies for mechanical lifts. Some staff stated that the lift being used for the client was

Minnesota Department of Health

supplied by hospice, while others stated the lift being used for the client was supplied by the facility. The direct care staff stated that the sling was kept on the client at all times while in the wheelchair and sometimes while lying in bed.

During an interview on August 18, 2017 at 2:03 p.m., the medical doctor (MD)-E stated the client's care plan indicated a mechanical lift was

**NEO811** 

Minnesota Department of Health

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		1 ` '	CONSTRUCTION		COMPLETED	
		H20532	B. WING			C I <b>7/2017</b>
NAME OF	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		DRESS, CITY, S	TATE, ZIP CODE	· · ·	
THE HO	MESTEAD AT COON F	ZAPINS		VE NORTHWEST		
	1	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	APIDS, MN 55	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORREC	TION	(VE)
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHO CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPF DEFICIENCY)	ULD BE	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
0 325	Continued From pa	ge 3	0 325			
		ansfers. MD-E stated that the contributing component to the				
	a.m., the registered the mechanical lift to (NA)-G and NA-H awas the first time he RN-C stated the traattach the sling, how in a seated position stop. Orientation conditions to both NA-G indicating proper trains.	on August 17, 2017 at 10:46 I nurse (RN)-C that supplied training to nursing assistant after the incident, stated that it e did the training at the facility. Lining consisted of how to w to transfer, how to transfer a, safety features, and when to hecklists signed by RN-C were and NA-H after the incident aining of the lifts. No other staff is training listed in their				
	p.m., NA-G stated to a mechanical lift at C1's care plan. NA incident the sling wand NA-H transferr the bed, because so that way. NA-G stain bed they notified nursing feel comfortable us because one of the someone was in it. mechanical life con	on August 15, 2017 at 12:52 that she was supposed to use all times with C1 according to A-G stated on the date of the as not on C1 properly so she ed the client with their arms to he thought it would be safer ated that when they placed C1 her leg was not straight, so g staff. NA-G stated she didn't ing the mechanical lift, wheels would lift up when NA-G stated that the accerns were brought forth to but staff, but that nothing was				
	p.m., NA-H stated t mechanical lift was transferring the clie	on August 17, 2017 at 4:00 that she knew that a supposed to be used for ent, but decided to manually				

Minnesota Department of Health

NEO811

Minnesota Department of Health

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION  A. BUILDING:			(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		H20532	B. WING			C <b>17/2017</b>
	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STREET AD  11372 RO		STATE, ZIP CODE  IVE NORTHWEST  5433		,20
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES 'MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF C (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE DEFICIENCY	ON SHOULD BE HE APPROPRIATE	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
0 325	thought it was safer officially trained by mechanical lifts and after the incident was learned things she is such as she didn't keling on the client a was supposed to be uses. NA-H stated the lift being used on lifted up off the floor nursing staff and far of the issues with the Employee files were education on lifts varied employees reviewed Nursing Assistant (Naide Skill Competer initial by the sit to stody lift (Hoyer) state the proper technique lifts. NA-H had no requested, and an use of the Home Health provided. NA-I had checklist, and a cereducation relating to the Home Health provided. NA-I had check list from "The with outlined steps of but not the full body records for NA-J we of any mechanical lift. On August 5, 2017 to the steps of the control of the Home Health provided. In the full body records for NA-J we of any mechanical lift.	r. NA-H stated she was not anyone on the use of a stated the training provided as beneficial for her as she had never learned before, know how to reposition the nd she was unaware the sling e removed in between lift she was not comfortable with n C1 because the right leg r when C1 was in it, she stated cility management were aware	0 325			

Minnesota Department of Health

Minnesota Department of Health

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		A. BUILDING:		COMPLETED				
Hoorea		B. WING		C 11/17/2017				
		H20532			1 11/1	1/2017		
NAME OF I	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE							
THE HO!	MESTEAD AT COON F	APIDS	PIDS, MN 5	IVE NORTHWEST 5433				
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES 'MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPRODEFICIENCY)	D BE	(X5) COMPLETE DATE		
0 325	investigation. Them MDS400SA in a clie the facility refers to was a medline mod the facility staff refe was a Joerns Hoyer in a common area coutlet, the DON-As been there for two ctrained yet on the newere attached to the care staff were una manuals for the lifts to provide manuals Joerns Hoyer, but not a coording to a unda "Mechanical Lift Chit stated in part, "The mechanical lift is on the manufacturer. Thand the right size is people they serve. I causes unnecessar management can builkelihood of error we used. When replacione manufacturer is slings requires and Although requested lift procedure policy."	Its at the time of the onsite a was a medline model ent room that was unoccupied, this as the "EZ Stand." There el MDS400EL in the hallway, r to this as a "hoyer." There r Advance 340 mechanical lift of the building plugged into an tated it was a new lift and has days, and that staff were not ew lift. No operating manuals e lifts listed above, and direct ble to provide operating on request. DON-A was able for the MSD400EL and the not for the MDS400SA.  Atted documented titled, ecklist", supplied by the facility e sling used for the lift to be the sling endorsed by the community must have on slings to meet the needs of the Using the wrong size sling y risk. Mechanical lift e complex and have a higher then multiple brands of lifts are ng lifts attempt to have only trand to reduce the types of reduce errors."	0 325	DEFICIENCY)				

Minnesota Department of Health