

## Protecting, Maintaining and Improving the Health of Minnesotans

# Office of Health Facility Complaints Investigative Report PUBLIC

Facility: Park Place Senior Living 125 East Park Street Owatonna, MN 55060 Steele County		Report #: HL21085001  Date: April 19, 2013	
Date of Visit: November 29, 2012 Time of Visit: 10:30 a.m 4:08 p.m.		By: Suzette Miller, R.N., Special Investigator	
□ Nursing Home □ SLF	□ HHA □ ICF/IID	<ul><li>☑ Home Care Provider/Assisted Living</li><li>☐ Home Care</li></ul>	
⊔ Hospital	Uther:		
ort   Complaint			
allegation is exploitation bas	_	A staff person/alleged perpetrator (AP) ges in an amount exceeding \$900 were	
allegation is exploitation bas e credit cards from Client #1	and Client #2. Char	ges in an amount exceeding \$900 were	
	nber 29, 2012 a.m 4:08 p.m. □ Nursing Home	Date: April 19, 202  mber 29, 2012  a.m 4:08 p.m.  Nursing Home  HHA  ISLF  Date: April 19, 202  By: Suzette Miller,  HHA	

HL21085001

### **Conclusion:**

Park Place Senior Living

Minnesota Vulnerable Adults Act (MN 626.557)

State Statutes Chapters 144 and 144A

Under the Minnesota Vulnerable Adults Act (MN. 626.557):

☐ Abuse	□ Neglect	Financial Expl	oitation was:
© Substantiated	C Not Substantiated	☐ Inconclusive	based on the following information:

Based on a preponderance of evidence, financial exploitation was substantiated when the AP took credit cards belonging to Client #1 and Client #2, without the clients' knowledge, and used the credit cards for the AP's personal use.

Page 2 of 6

Client #1 exhibited occasional confusion and required staff assistance for some personal cares. Client #1's service agreement did not include any financial management services from the facility.

Client #1 was interviewed and verified facility staff provided daily personal services according to the contract and also stated facility staff had keys to access Client #1's apartment. Client #1 stated multiple transactions appeared on Client #1's credit card statement and these transactions were not made by Client #1. Client #1 stated these charges totaled around \$700 to \$800. Client #1 stated the credit card was never returned to Client #1's possession.

Client #2 exhibited confusion and required staff assistance for some personal cares. Client #2's service agreement did not include any financial management services from the facility. Client #2 was not interviewed during the investigator's onsite visit. An interview with Client #2's family member indicated that Client #2's credit card was missing for at least three days and upon review of Client #2's credit card statement, charges were noted that did not belong to Client #2.

The AP was offered an opportunity to be interviewed and did not respond.

Review of the police report showed the AP admitted to taking Client #1's and Client #2's credit cards from each client's apartment and used the credit cards for the AP's personal use. Charges on Client #1's credit card totaled \$733.83 and charges on Client #2's credit card totaled \$189.51. The police forwarded the findings to the county attorney's office for possible theft and fraud charges.

Park Place Senior Living

HL21085001

### **Mitigating Factors:**

The "mitigating factors" in Minnesota Statutes, section 626.557, subdivision 9c (c) were considered and it was determined that the Mindividual(c) and (c) The cities in our considered.
determined that the ⊠ individual(s) and/or □ facility is responsible for the
☐ Abuse ☐ Neglect ☑ Financial Exploitation. This determination was based on the following:
Prior to this allegation, the facility had developed policies regarding handling of client's finances and personal property, in addition to policies regarding protection of client's from financial vulnerability and maltreatment. The facility assessed the client's vulnerabilities upon admission and developed interventions to address any vulnerabilities. The facility trained the AP regarding client rights, handling of client's property and maltreatment of vulnerable adults.
The responsible party will be notified of their right to appeal the maltreatment finding. If the maltreatment is substantiated against an identified employee, this report will be submitted to the nurse aide registry for possible inclusion of the finding on the abuse registry and/or to the Minnesota Department of Human Services for possible disqualification in accordance with the provisions of the background study requirements under Minnesota 245C.

# Compliance:

State Licensing Rules for Home Care (MN Rules Chapter 4668) – Compliance Met
The facility was found to be in compliance with State Licensing Rules for Home Care (MN Rules Chapter 4668). No state licensing orders were issued.

State Statutes for Vulnerable Adults Act (MN Statutes, section 626.557) – Compliance Met The facility was found to be in compliance with State Statutes for Vulnerable Adults Act (MN Statutes, section 626.557). No state licensing orders were issued.

# State Statutes Chapters 144 & 144A - Compliance Met

The facility was found to be in compliance with State Statutes for Chapters 144 & 144A. No state licensing orders were issued.

# **Facility Corrective Action:**

The facility took the following corrective action(s):

# Definitions:

Minnesota Statutes, section 626.5572, subdivision 19 - Substantiated

"Substantiated" means a preponderance of the evidence shows that an act that meets the definition of maltreatment occurred.

Minnesota Statutes, section 626.5572, subdivision 9 - Financial exploitation

"Financial exploitation" means:

- (b) In the absence of legal authority a person:
  - (1) Willfully uses, withholds, or disposes of funds or property of a vulnerable adult

## The Investigation included the following:

<u>Document Review</u> : The following records were reviewed during the investigation:			
☑ Medical Records	☑ Care Guide		
☑ Medication Administration Records	☐ Treatment Sheets		
☐ Facility Incident Reports	☐ Physician Progress Notes		
☐ ADL (Activities of Daily Living) Flow Sheets	☐ Laboratory and X-ray Reports		
☐ Physician Orders	☐ Social Service Notes		
☑ Nurses Notes	☐ Meal Intake Records		
☐ Activities Reports	☐ Weight Records		
☐ Therapy and/or Ancillary Services Records	☑ Assessments		
☐ Skin Assessments	☑ Care Plan Records		
Other pertinent medical records:			
☐ Hospital Records ☐ Ambulance/Paramedics	☐ Medical Examiner Records ☐ Death Certificate		

☑ Police Report

Additional facility records:				
☐ Resident/Family Council Minutes	☑ Personnel Records/Background Check, etc.			
☑ Staff Time Sheets, Schedules, etc.	☑ Facility In-service Records			
☑ Facility Internal Investigation Reports	☑ Facility Policies and Procedures			
□ Call Light Audits	☑ Other, specify: client service agreements			
Number of additional resident(s) reviewed: 1				
Were residents selected based on the allegation(s)?  Yes	s CNo CN/A Specify:			
Were resident(s) identified in the allegation(s) present in the	e facility at the time of the investigation?			
Yes No N/A Specify:				
Interviews: The following interviews were conducted during the investigation:				
Interview with complainant(s): Yes No N/A	Specify: facility self-reported incident			
If unable to contact complainant, attempts were made on:  Date/time: Date/time: Date/time:				
Interview with family:  Yes  No N/A Specify:				
Did you interview the resident(s) identified in allegation:	€ Yes ← No ← N/A Specify:			
Did you interview additional residents:				
Total number of resident interviews: 4				
Interview with staff: Yes No No N/A Specify: _				
Tennessen Warning given as required: Yes No				
Total number of staff interviews: 3				

Park Place Senior Living	HL21085001	Page 6 of 6				
Physician interviewed: Yes No						
Nurse Practitioner interviewed:	CYes CNo					
Interview with Alleged Perpetrator(s): Yes No N/A Specify: The AP was offered an opportunity to be interviewed and the AP took no further action.						
Attempts to contact: Date/time: _	Date/time: Date/tim	ne:				
If unable to contact was subpoena issued: Yes , date subpoena was issued • No						
Were contacts made with any of the following:  □ Emergency personnel ☑ Police Officers □ Medical Examiner □ Other: Specify						
Observations were conducted re	lated to:					
☐ Wound Care	☐ Medication Pass	☐ Meals				
☐ Personal Care	☑ Dignity/Privacy Issues	☐ Restorative Care				
☐ Nursing Services	☑ Safety Issues	☑ Facility Tour				
☐ Infection Control	☐ Cleanliness	□ Injury				
☐ Use of Equipment	☐ Transfers	☐ Incontinence				
□ Call Light	□ Other:					
Was any involved equipment inspected: Yes No N/A						

Page 6 of 6

Was equipment being operated in safe manner: Yes No N/A

Were photographs taken: Yes No Specify:

Division of Compliance Monitoring - Licensing & Certification xc: Steele County Medical Examiners Owatonna City Police Department Steele County Attorney Owatonna City Attorney

FORM APPROVED Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED AND PLAN OF CORRECTION **IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:** A. BUILDING: C B. WING H21085 11/29/2012 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 125 EAST PARK STREET **PARK PLACE SENIOR LIVING** OWATONNA, MN 55060 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID (X5) COMPLETE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PRÉFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE **PREFIX** REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG DATE DEFICIENCY) 0 000 Initial comments 0 000 A complaint investigation was initiated on November 29, 2012 to investigate complaint #HL21085001. No licensing orders are issued.

TITLE

(X6) DATE

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

Minnesota Department of Health