

Protecting, Maintaining and Improving the Health of Minnesotans

Office of Health Facility Complaints Investigative Report PUBLIC

Facility: Inver Grove Heights WP LLC 9056 Buchanan Trail Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota 55077 Dakota County		-	Report#: HL26132011 Date: January 11, 2016			
Date of Visit: November 3, 2015 Time of Visit: 10:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m.		By: Lisa Jacob	By: Lisa Jacobsen, RN, Special Investigator			
Type of Facility:	☐ Nursing Home☐ SLF☐ Hospital	□ HHA □ ICF/IID □ Other:	⊠ Home Care Provider			
☑ Facility Self R	eport Complaint					
t	he client's blood sugar level a	and did not adminis	staff, alleged perpetrator (AP) failed to test ster the client's insulin over the course of two g, had rapid respirations and was hospitalized.			
An unannounced	l visit was made at this facil	ity and an investi	gation was conducted under:			
Federal Regula Federal Regula Federal Regula Federal Regula Federal Regula Federal Regula	ations for Hospital Conditions ations for Long Term Care Factions for ICF/IID (42 CFR Pations for HHA (Home Health ations for CAH (Critical Acceptions for EMTALA (42 CFR g Rules for Boarding Care Ho	acilities (42 CFR Pa art 483, subpart I) a Agencies) (42 CF ess Hospital) (42 Cl a Part 489)	rt 483, subpart B) R, Part 484) FR, Part 485)			

نن	State Licensing Rules for Nursing Homes (MN Rules Chapter 4658)
	State Licensing Rules for Supervised Living Facilities (MN Rules Chapter 4665)
	State Statutes for Home Care Providers (MN Statutes, section 144A.43 - 144A.483)
	State Statutes for Maltreatment of Minors (MN Statutes, section 626.556)
Ÿ.	State Statutes for Vulnerable Adults Act (MN Statutes, section 626.557)
Ķ	State Statutes Chapters 144 and 144A

Conclusion:

Minnesota Vulne	rable Adults Act (MN	626.557)	
Under the Minne	sota Vulnerable Adults	Act (MN. 626.557	7):
Abuse	✓ Neglect	🗇 Financial Expl	loitation was:
© Substantiated	O Not Substantiated	O Inconclusive	hased on the following information:

Based on a preponderance of evidence, neglect of health occurred when staff didn't check the client's blood sugar and administer the client's insulin as prescribed over two days. The client required medical treatment at the hospital.

The client had a diagnosis of diabetes and dementia and required assistance with medication administration including insulin administration. The client received regularly scheduled insulin at bedtime. In addition, the client required blood sugar checks four times a day with administration of insulin based on the result of the blood sugar reading.

Two days after admission to the facility, the client received seven units of Novolog insulin at 4:30 p.m., when the client should have received six units based on the result of the client's blood sugar reading. In addition, the client did not receive her/his Lantus insulin at bedtime, nor was the client's blood sugar checked at bedtime and Novolog insulin administered based on the result of the blood sugar reading. The following evening, the client did not have her/his blood sugar checked at 4:30 p.m. and 8:00 p.m. Novolog insulin was not administered based on the result of the blood sugar check, nor did the client receive her/his regularly scheduled Lantus insulin at bedtime.

The following morning, the client was found on the floor confused, disoriented, sweating and had vomited clear fluid. The client's blood sugar reading registered "High" on the glucometer machine and the client's blood pressure was 80/50 with a heart rate of 120 beats per minute. Emergency personnel were contacted and the client was transferred to the hospital for evaluation.

Hospital records indicated the client was admitted with a diagnoses of diabetic ketoacidosis (a serious complication of diabetes that occurs when your body produces high levels of blood acids called ketones. The condition develops when your body can't produce enough insulin.) The client's blood sugar in the emergency room read, "High" which was over 600 (a normal blood sugar reading is 70-100). The client was initially started on an insulin drip and was treated in the intensive care unit. Later the client was switched to subcutaneous insulin injections. Hospital documentation indicated the client's ketoacidosis was caused by the

client not receiving her/his insulin, as there was no other source or focal infection found. The client was discharged back to the facility six days after being admitted to the hospital.

The AP, a medication aide, who worked for a supplemental staffing agency stated she had worked at the facility passing medications on a different floor only four shifts prior to the incident happening with the client. The AP stated she was assigned to pass medications to clients on the floor the client resided and an additional floor the two days she omitted checking the client's blood sugar and administering the client's insulin. The AP stated s/he tried to keep track of all the medications/blood sugars and insulin to give, but stated s/he was overwhelmed and somehow missed administering the client's blood sugar checks and insulin.

Mitigating Factors:

Abuse Neglect Financial Exploitation. This determination was based on the following: The facility is responsible for the neglect due to inadequacy of training of supplemental staff, inadequacy of staffing levels and inadequacy of caregiver supervision. The AP worked through a supplemental staffing agency and did not receive any orientation/training from the facility prior to the AP beginning her/his first shift at the facility. The AP was handed the medication cart keys and was expected to know what to do and where things were. The AP had worked at the facility passing medications only four shifts prior to the incident occurring with the client and passed medications on a different floor. The two days that the client did not receive her/his blood sugar checks and insulin was the first time the AP was assigned to pass medications on that floor and an additional floor. The adequacy of facility staffing levels were lacking. On the two days the client did not receive her/his blood sugar checks and insulin, the other staff person passing medications was a trained medication aide and also worked for a supplemental staffing agency. Of the five staff who worked the evening shift the two days the omissions occurred, three of the five staff were from a supplemental staffing agency. The adequacy of caregiver supervision was lacking. There was no nurse present in the facility and the AP was not	The "mitigating factors" in Minnesota Statutes, section 626.557, subdivision 9c (c) were considered and it was
The facility is responsible for the neglect due to inadequacy of training of supplemental staff, inadequacy of staffing levels and inadequacy of caregiver supervision. The AP worked through a supplemental staffing agency and did not receive any orientation/training from the facility prior to the AP beginning her/his first shift at the facility. The AP was handed the medication cart keys and was expected to know what to do and where things were. The AP had worked at the facility passing medications only four shifts prior to the incident occurring with the client and passed medications on a different floor. The two days that the client did not receive her/his blood sugar checks and insulin was the first time the AP was assigned to pass medications on that floor and an additional floor. The adequacy of facility staffing levels were lacking. On the two days the client did not receive her/his blood sugar checks and insulin, the other staff person passing medications was a trained medication aide and also worked for a supplemental staffing agency. Of the five staff who worked the evening shift the two days the omissions occurred, three of the five staff were from a supplemental staffing agency. The adequacy of caregiver supervision was lacking. There was no nurse present in the facility and the AP was not	determined that the □ individual(s) and/or ⊠ facility is responsible for the
staffing levels and inadequacy of caregiver supervision. The AP worked through a supplemental staffing agency and did not receive any orientation/training from the facility prior to the AP beginning her/his first shift at the facility. The AP was handed the medication cart keys and was expected to know what to do and where things were. The AP had worked at the facility passing medications only four shifts prior to the incident occurring with the client and passed medications on a different floor. The two days that the client did not receive her/his blood sugar checks and insulin was the first time the AP was assigned to pass medications on that floor and an additional floor. The adequacy of facility staffing levels were lacking. On the two days the client did not receive her/his blood sugar checks and insulin, the other staff person passing medications was a trained medication aide and also worked for a supplemental staffing agency. Of the five staff who worked the evening shift the two days the omissions occurred, three of the five staff were from a supplemental staffing agency. The adequacy of caregiver supervision was lacking. There was no nurse present in the facility and the AP was not	☐ Abuse ☒ Neglect ☐ Financial Exploitation. This determination was based on the following:
·	The facility is responsible for the neglect due to inadequacy of training of supplemental staff, inadequacy of staffing levels and inadequacy of caregiver supervision. The AP worked through a supplemental staffing agency and did not receive any orientation/training from the facility prior to the AP beginning her/his first shift at the facility. The AP was handed the medication cart keys and was expected to know what to do and where things were. The AP had worked at the facility passing medications only four shifts prior to the incident occurring with the client and passed medications on a different floor. The two days that the client did not receive her/his blood sugar checks and insulin was the first time the AP was assigned to pass medications on that floor and an additional floor. The adequacy of facility staffing levels were lacking. On the two days the client did not receive her/his blood sugar checks and insulin, the other staff person passing medications was a trained medication aide and also worked for a supplemental staffing agency. Of the five staff who worked the evening shift the two days the omissions occurred, three of the five staff were from a supplemental staffing agency. The adequacy of caregiver supervision was lacking. There was no nurse present in the facility and the AP was not given a phone number of a nurse to contact for questions/concerns the staff may have when administering medications.

The responsible party will be notified of their right to appeal the maltreatment finding. If the maltreatment is substantiated against an identified employee, this report will be submitted to the nurse aide registry for possible inclusion of the finding on the abuse registry and/or to the Minnesota Department of Human Services for possible disqualification in accordance with the provisions of the background study requirements under Minnesota 245C.

Compliance:

The facility was found to be in compliance with S	State Statutes for	· Vulnerable A	Adults Act (MN	Statutes, section
626.557). No state licensing orders were issued.				

State Statutes Chapters 144 & 144A – Compliance Not Met The requirements under State Statues for Chapters 144 &144A were not met.
State licensing orders were issued:
(State licensing orders will be available on the MDH website.)
Facility Corrective Action: The facility took the following corrective action(s):
Definitions:

Minnesota Statutes, section 626.5572, subdivision 19 - Substantiated

"Substantiated" means a preponderance of the evidence shows that an act that meets the definition of maltreatment occurred.

Minnesota Statutes, section 626.5572, subdivision 17 - Neglect "Neglect" means:

- (a) The failure or omission by a caregiver to supply a vulnerable adult with care or services, including but not limited to, food, clothing, shelter, health care, or supervision which is:
- (1) reasonable and necessary to obtain or maintain the vulnerable adult's physical or mental health or safety, considering the physical and mental capacity or dysfunction of the vulnerable adult; and
 - (2) which is not the result of an accident or therapeutic conduct.
- (b) The absence or likelihood of absence of care or services, including but not limited to, food, clothing, shelter, health care, or supervision necessary to maintain the physical and mental health of the vulnerable adult which a reasonable person would deem essential to obtain or maintain the vulnerable adult's health, safety, or comfort considering the physical or mental capacity or dysfunction of the vulnerable adult.

The Investigation included the following:

<u>Document Review</u>: The following records were reviewed during the investigation:

☑ Medical Records	☐ Care Guide
☑ Medication Administration Records	☑ Treatment Sheets
☐ Facility Incident Reports	☑ Physician Progress Notes
☑ ADL (Activities of Daily Living) Flow Sheets	☐ Laboratory and X-ray Reports
☑ Physician Orders	☐ Social Service Notes
☑ Nurses Notes	☐ Meal Intake Records
☐ Activities Reports	☐ Weight Records
☐ Therapy and/or Ancillary Services Records	⊠ Assessments
☐ Skin Assessments	☑ Care Plan Records
⊠ Service Plan	☐ Other, specify:
Other pertinent medical records:	
	Medical Examiner Records Death Certificate
☐ Police Report ☐ Other, specify:	
Additional facility records:	
☐ Resident/Family Council Minutes	☑ Personnel Records/Background Check, etc.
☑ Staff Time Sheets, Schedules, etc.	☐ Facility In-service Records
☑ Facility Internal Investigation Reports	□ Facility Policies and Procedures
☐ Call Light Audits	☐ Other, specify:

Number of additional resident(s) reviewed: 3
Were residents selected based on the allegation(s)? Yes O No O N/A Specify:
Were resident(s) identified in the allegation(s) present in the facility at the time of the investigation?
• Yes O No O N/A Specify:
Interviews: The following interviews were conducted during the investigation:
Interview with complainant(s): C Yes O No N/A Specify: Facility Self-Report
If unable to contact complainant, attempts were made on: Date/time: Date/time:
Interview with family: Yes O No O N/A Specify:
Did you interview the resident(s) identified in allegation: C Yes No O N/A Specify: The client was visited, but unable to be interviewed due to cognitive deficits.
Did you interview additional residents:
Total number of resident interviews: 2
Interview with staff: Yes O No O N/A Specify:
Tennessen Warning given as required:
Total number of staff interviews: 6
Physician interviewed: O Yes O No
Nurse Practitioner interviewed: O Yes O No
Physician Assistant interviewed: O Yes O No
Interview with Alleged Perpetrator(s): Yes O No O N/A Specify:
Attempts to contact: Date/time: Date/time: Date/time:
If unable to contact was subpoena issued: O Yes , date subpoena was issuedO No
Were contacts made with any of the following:

☐ Emergency personnel ☐ Pol	ice Officers 🔲 Medical Examiner 🗆	Other: Specify				
Observations were conducted re	elated to:					
☐ Wound Care		☐ Meals				
☐ Personal Care	☐ Dignity/Privacy Issues	☐ Restorative Care				
☐ Nursing Services	☐ Safety Issues	☐ Facility Tour				
☐ Infection Control	☐ Cleanliness	□ Injury				
☐ Use of Equipment	☐ Transfers	☐ Incontinence				
☐ Call Light	☑ Other: Observed staff conducting b	blood sugar checks				
Was any involved equipment inspected: C Yes C No Specify:						
Was equipment being operated in safe manner: C Yes C No € N/A Specify:						
Were photographs taken: C Yes O No Specify:						
xc: Health Regulation Division - Home Care & Assisted Living Program Inver Grove Heights City Police Department Dakota County Attorney Inver Grove Heights City Attorney						

Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING; C H26132 B. WING 01/22/2016 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 9056 BUCHANAN TRAIL INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE **INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077** SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X4) ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE PRÉFIX (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG DATE TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY) 0 000 Initial Comments 0.000 *****ATTENTION****** Minnesota Department of Health is documenting the State Licensing HOME CARE PROVIDER LICENSING Correction Orders using federal software. CORRECTION ORDER Tag numbers have been assigned to Minnesota State Statutes/Rules for Home In accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section Care Providers. The assigned tag number 144A.43 to 144A.482, these correction order(s) appears in the far left column entitled "ID are issued pursuant to a survey. Prefix Tag." The state Statute/Rule number and the corresponding text of the Determination of whether a violation has been state Statute/Rule out of compliance is corrected requires compliance with all listed in the "Summary Statement of requirements provided at the Statute number Deficiencies" column. This column also indicated below. When Minnesota Statute includes the findings which are in violation contains several items, failure to comply with any of the state requirement after the of the items will be considered lack of statement, "This Minnesota requirement is compliance. not met as evidenced by." Following the surveyors ' findings is the Time Period for **INITIAL COMMENTS:** Correction. On November 3, 2015, a complaint investigation PLEASE DISREGARD THE HEADING OF was initiated to investigate #HL26132011. At the THE FOURTH COLUMN WHICH time of the survey, there were 48 clients that were STATES, "PROVIDER 'S PLAN OF receiving services under the comprehensive CORRECTION." THIS APPLIES TO license. The following correction orders are FEDERAL DEFICIENCIES ONLY, THIS issued. WILL APPEAR ON EACH PAGE. THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT A PLAN OF CORRECTION FOR VIOLATIONS OF MINNESOTA STATE STATUTES/RULES. 0 265 144A.44, Subd. 1(2) Up-To-Date Plan/Accepted 0 265 Standards Practice Subdivision 1. Statement of rights. A person who receives home care services has these rights: (2) the right to receive care and services according to a suitable and up-to-date plan, and subject to Minnesota Department of Health

Minnesota Department of Health

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

FORM APPROVED Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION **IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:** COMPLETED A. BUILDING: C B. WING H26132 01/22/2016 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 9056 BUCHANAN TRAIL **INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077** (X4) ID SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PRÉFIX PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE COMPLETE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE TAG DATE DEFICIENCY) 0 265 Continued From page 1 0 265 accepted health care, medical or nursing standards, to take an active part in developing. modifying, and evaluating the plan and services; This MN Requirement is not met as evidenced by: Based on observation, interview and policy review, the licensee failed to ensure that services were provided according to nursing standards for two of two clients (C2 and C3) observed receiving blood glucose checks and insulin administration. This practice resulted in a level 3 violation (a violation that that harmed a client's health or safety, not including serious injury, impairment, or death, or a violation that has the potential to lead to serious injury, impairment, or death), and is issued at an isolated scope (1 or a limited number of clients are affected). The findings included: According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Best Practices for Assisted Blood Glucose Monitoring and Insulin Administration included the following: "Whenever possible. blood glucose meters should be assigned to an individual person and not be shared. If blood glucose meters must be shared, the device should be cleaned and disinfected after every use, per manufacturer's instructions to prevent carry-over of blood and infectious agents. If the manufacturer does not specify how the device should be cleaned and disinfected then it should not be shared." Observations of a medication administration pass

Minnesota Department of Health

on November 3, 2015 at 12:15 p.m. revealed the following: An unlicensed person (ULP)-B was observed to check C2's blood glucose and

PRINTED: 02/03/2016 FORM APPROVED Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING: С B. WING H26132 01/22/2016 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 9056 BUCHANAN TRAIL **INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077** (X4) ID SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION **PREFIX** (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE PREFIX COMPLETE TAG REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE TAG DATE DEFICIENCY) 0 265 Continued From page 2 0 265 administer the client's insulin. ULP-B was observed to use a multi-client or "house" glucometer machine to check the client's blood glucose, because the client was out of his own test strips for his personal glucometer machine. ULP-B was observed to prick C2's finger with a lancet without cleaning the client's finger with an antiseptic swab prior to puncturing the client's skin. After receiving the result of the client's blood glucose, ULP-B was observed to dial the dose of insulin on C2's insulin pen and inject the insulin into the client's abdomen, again without cleaning the area on the client's abdomen with an antiseptic swab prior to the injection. After checking C2's blood glucose with the "house" glucometer, ULP-B was observed to check C3's blood glucose on November 3, 2015 at 12:30 p.m. ULP-B stated C3 was out of test strips also so she used the "house" glucometer machine to test C3's blood glucose. ULP-B did not clean/disinfect the glucometer machine before using it on C3, nor did she clean/disinfect the machine after she was done checking C3's blood glucose and put the machine back into the medication cart. ULP-B was observed to prick C3's finger to get a sample of blood to test the client's blood sugar and was observed to administer C3's insulin into her abdomen without using an antiseptic wipe to clean C3's finger or the area on the client's abdomen prior to the injection. The facility's policy/procedure dated August 22, 2014 titled, Blood Glucose Testing indicated staff

Minnesota Department of Health

were to clean the site to be punctured with an antiseptic swap and allow to dry completely.

The facility's policy/procedure which was undated. indicated that after choosing the injection site.

Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION

(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:

(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING: _____

(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED

H26132

B. WING

С 01/22/2016

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER

STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE 9056 BUCHANAN TRAIL INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077					
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)	(X5) COMPLET DATE	
0 265	Continued From page 3 staff were to clean the site with an alcohol wipe and allow to dry before pushing the needle into the skin.	0 265			
	When interviewed December 21, 2015 at 9:05 a.m., registered nurse (RN)-A stated staff were trained to swab the area with an antiseptic wipe prior to puncturing the client's skin to test the client's blood sugar and prior to injecting a needle to administer a client's insulin. In addition, RN-A stated s/he was unsure whether the licensee had a policy regarding cleaning/disinfecting multi-person glucometer machines.				
	TIME PERIOD FOR CORRECTION: Twenty-one (21) days				
0 325	144A.44, Subd. 1(14) Free From Maltreatment	0 325			
	Subdivision 1. Statement of rights. A person who receives home care services has these rights: (14) the right to be free from physical and verbal abuse, neglect, financial exploitation, and all forms of maltreatment covered under the Vulnerable Adults Act and the Maltreatment of Minors Act;		· ,		
	This MN Requirement is not met as evidenced by: Based on interview and documentation, the licensee failed to ensure that a client receiving home care services was free from neglect when one of three clients (C1) did not get her blood sugar checked and insulin administered as ordered, requiring hospitalization. This practice resulted in a level 3 violation (a violation that harmed a client's health or safety, not including serious injury, impairment, or death), and is issued at an isolated scope (1 or a limited				

	NT OF DEFICIENCIES OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPL A. BUILDING:	E CONSTRUCTION		E SURVEY PLETED
		H26132	B. WING	NA - NA		C 22/2016
	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	TE PINE 9056 BU	CHANAN TRA	TATE, ZIP CODE NL TS, MN 55077	,	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CO (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE DEFICIENCY)	SHOULD BE	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
0 325	number of clients ar included: C1's record was reviservices from the lid and had diagnoses dementia. C1's "Inc Agreement" dated Nother client received a administration, blood insulin injections. Codated October 14, 2 checked four times a lunch, dinner and at of Novolog Insulin to the blood sugar read prescriber's orders of Lantus insulin 15 unc C1's medication admoctober 2015 revea C1's blood sugar was unlicensed person (In Scale of insulin at 4:3 Novolog insulin was sugar reading of 80-October 2015 MAR was administered, for bedtime on October blank in the staff initial blood sugar and admosed on the client's October 17, 2015 and October 18, 2015. C1's progress notes	re affected). The findings riewed. C1 began receiving bensee on October 15, 2015 of Type 1 diabetes and fividual Service Plan lovember 3, 2015 indicated assistance with medication d glucose monitoring and 1 had prescriber's orders 015 for blood sugars to be a day, prior to breakfast, bedtime and a sliding scale to be administered based on dings. In addition, C1 had dated October 14, 2015 for	0 325			
		d, sweating and had vomited				

Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING: C H26132 B. WING 01/22/2016 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 9056 BUCHANAN TRAIL **INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077** SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X4) ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PRÉFIX PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG DATE TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY) 0 325 Continued From page 5 0 325 some clear fluid. In addition C1's blood sugar reading registered "High,"on the glucometer and the client's blood pressure was 80/50 and her heart rate was 120. Documentation indicated emergency personnel were contacted and the client was transferred to the hospital for evaluation. Hospital records indicated C1 was admitted to the hospital on October 19, 2015 with a diagnoses of diabetic ketoacidosis (a serious complication of diabetes that occurs when your body produces high levels of blood acids called ketones. The condition develops when your body can't produce enough insulin). C1's blood sugar in the emergency room read "High" which was over 600 (normal blood sugar is 70-100). C1's discharge summary dated October 24, 2015 indicated the C1 was initially started on an insulin drip and was treated in the intensive care unit. Later the client was switched to subcutaneous insulin injections. The record indicated the likely cause for C1's ketoacidosis was the client not receiving her insulin at the facility as no other source or focal infection found. The client was discharged back to the facility on October 24, 2015. When interviewed December 21, 2015 at 10:00 a.m., ULP-G stated she was trying to keep track of all the medications/blood sugars and insulin to give, but stated she was overwhelmed and somehow missed C1's blood sugar checks and insulin. When interviewed December 21, 2015 at 9:05 a.m., registered nurse (RN)-A acknowledged that ULP-G had given an inaccurate dose of Novolog insulin to C1 per the client's sliding scale on October 17, 2015 at 4:30 p.m., and did not check

Minnesota Department of Health

C1's blood sugar and administer insulin per the

Minnesota Department of Health

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER(CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) MULTIPLE (X3) MULT (X3

AND PLAN OF CORRECTION . IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING:			(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
H26132 B. WING _		B. WING	1		C 2 2/2016	
			DEFECT OFFI	OTATE TIP ASSE	1 01/2	22/2010
		ODER DUC	HANAN TR	STATE, ZIP CODE		
INVER G	ROVE HEIGHTS WHI	I E PINE		HTS, MN 55077		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTI (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOUL CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPRO DEFICIENCY)	D BE	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
0 325	Continued From pa	ge 6	0 325			
,	bedtime and Octobe bedtime. In addition administer C1's reg insulin at bedtime o	on October 17, 2015 at er 18, 2015 at 4:30 p.m. and n, RN-A stated ULP-G did not ularly scheduled Lantus n October 17 and 18, 2015. R CORRECTION: Twenty-one				
0 815	144A.479, Subd. 7 I	Employee Records	0 815			3
	provider must maint paid employee, regularly providing home care individual contractor services. The record information: (1) evidence of curre registration, or certification is requistration, or certification is requiles; (2) records of orienta and infection control evaluations; (3) current job description qualifications, responstaff providing supervision (4) documentation or	uired by this statute or other ation, required annual training training, and competency iption, including nsibilities, and identification of				
dinnocat- D-	nortment of H = - III					
linnoenta Da	partment of Health					

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		1	LE CONSTRUCTION :		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED			
	H26132		R WING			С		
			p. ###G	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		22/2016		
NAME OF	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER			STATE, ZIP CODE				
INVER G	ROVE HEIGHTS WHI	I E PINE	HANAN TR					
240.15	OURS AND OTA			ITS, MN 55077		,		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	ITEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE				
0 815	815 Continued From page 7		0 815					
	verification that req	roviding home care services, uired health screenings under have taken place and the enings; and						
	(6) documentation of required under sect	of the background study as ion 144.057.		•				
	least three years afficare volunteer, or contraby or under contract	ord must be retained for at ter a paid employee, home ctor ceases to be employed t with the home care provider.						
,		ceases operation, employee aintained for three years.						
	by: Based on interview licensee failed to ma individual contractor services, that includ for four of four unlic ULP-D, ULP-G and practice resulted in that did not harm a chad the potential to or safety), and is isset than a limited numb situation has occurre to be pervasive.). T	and document review, the aintain a record of each reviding home care led the required information ensed persons, (ULP-B, ULP-I) reviewed. This a level 2 violation (a violation client's health or safety but have harmed a client's health sued at a pattern scope (more er of staff are involved, or the ed repeatedly but is not found the findings included:						
	investigator request ULP-B, ULP-D, ULF employed by a supp were contracted to v	15 at 10:00 a.m., the ed personnel records for P-G and ULP-I, who were elemental staffing agency and work for the licensee and ons/insulin and check client's						

PRINTED: 02/03/2016 FORM APPROVED Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION **IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:** COMPLETED A. BUILDING: _ H26132 B. WING 01/22/2016 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 9056 BUCHANAN TRAIL **INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077** SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X4) ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE PREFIX (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE DEFICIENCY) 0 815 Continued From page 8 0 815 blood sugar. The licensee did not maintain any personnel records for the four contracted employees. The licensee requested the information from the supplemental staffing agency after the investigator requested the documents. A review of the documents received for the four ULP's, revealed there were no current job descriptions or competency evaluations for administering insulin, and checking client's blood sugars. When interviewed November 3, 2015, registered nurse (RN)-A was unaware the licensee needed to maintain information for contracted employees. TIME PERIOD FOR CORRECTION: Twenty-one (21) days 0 930 144A.4792, Subd. 7 Delegation of Medication 0.930 Administration Subd. 7. Delegation of medication administration. When administration of medications is

Minnesota Department of Health

and the

delegated to unlicensed personnel, the

that the registered nurse has:

the client's records; and

comprehensive home care provider must ensure

(1) instructed the unlicensed personnel in the proper methods to administer the medications.

unlicensed personnel has demonstrated the ability to competently follow the procedures:

(2) specified, in writing, specific instructions for each client and documented those instructions in

PRINTED: 02/03/2016 **FORM APPROVED** Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING: C **B. WING** H26132 01/22/2016 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 9056 BUCHANAN TRAIL **INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077** SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X4) ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE **PREFIX** (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE TAG REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE TAG DEFICIENCY) 0.930 Continued From page 9 0 930 (3) communicated with the unlicensed personnel about the individual needs of the client. This MN Requirement is not met as evidenced bv: Based on interview an document review, the licensee failed to ensure that when administration of insulin was delegated to unlicensed person (ULP), the registered nurse (RN) instructed the ULP in the proper methods to administer the medications, and the ULP has demonstrated the ability to competently follow the procedure, for four of four ULPs (ULP-B, ULP-D, ULP-G and ULP-I) contracted staff reviewed. This practice resulted in a level 2 violation (a violation that did not harm a client's health or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety) and is issued at a pattern scope (more than a limited number of staff are involved). The findings included: According to staffing records and medication administration records, contracted employees ULP-B, ULP-D, ULP-G and ULP-I administered insulin to clients while working for the licensee in October of 2015. There was no evidence that ULP-B. ULP-. ULP-G and ULP-I were instructed in the proper methods to administer insulin nor was there

administration.

evidence that they had demonstrated their ability to competently follow the procedure for insulin

When interviewed December 22, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. RN-A stated she was not aware that the supplemental staffing agency did not conduct competency testing for their employees on insulin administration. RN-A stated she believed that the

C

01/22/2016

Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING: B. WING H26132

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER

STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

		OVE HEIGH	ITS, MN 55077	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)	(X5) COMPLET DATE
0 930	Continued From page 10	0 930		
	supplemental staff were trained when they came to work for the licensee.			
	The contract between the supplemental agency and the licensee dated July 24, 2015 was reviewed and did not specify which entity was responsible for the unlicensed staff training and competency.		-	
	TIME PERIOD FOR CORRECTION: Twenty-one (21) days			
0 935	144A.4792, Subd. 8 Documentation of Administration of Medication	0 935		
	Subd. 8. Documentation of administration of medications. Each medication administered by comprehensive home care provider staff must be documented in the client's record. The documentation must include the signature and title of the person who administered the medication. The documentation must include the medication name, dosage, date and time administered, and method and route of administration. The staff must document the reason why medication administration was not completed as prescribed and document any follow-up procedures that were provided to meet the client's needs when medication was not administered as prescribed and in compliance with the client's medication management plan.			
	This MN Requirement is not met as evidenced		•	

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION H26132 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, 2IP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, 2IP CODE NOTE: 100 PRESIDENCY MIST BE PRECEDED BY FILL FREGULATORY OR ISC IDENTIFYNS INFORMATION) PREETX TAG COMMANY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MIST BE PRECEDED BY FILL TAG DEFICIENCY) DEFICIENCY O 935 Continued From page 11 by: Based on interview and document review the licornsee failed to ensure that medications were administered as prescribed for three of four clients (C1, C2 and C4) reviewed. This practice resulted in a level 2 violation for two of four clients (C2 and C4) reviewed (a violation that harmed a client's health or safety) but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety. The including serious injury, impairment, or death or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety. The including serious injury, impairment, or death or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety. The including serious injury, impairment, or death or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety hour had because of the potential or safety had because of the blood sugar sto be checked four times a day, prior to breaktast, funch, dinner and at bedtime and a sliding scale of Novolog insulin to be administered based on the blood sugar readings. In addition, C1 had prescriber's orders dated October 14, 2015 for Lantus insulin 15 units at bedtime. C1's medication administration record (MAR) for October 2015 revealed that on October 17, 2015, C1's blood sugar reading of 80 -100 of 180 of	Minneso	ta Department of He	ealth				
MAKE OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 9056 BUCHANAN TRAIL INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATIONY OR LISC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) 0 935 Continued From page 11 by: Based on interview and document review the licensee failed to ensure that medications were administered as prescribed for three of four clients (C1) reviewed (a violation that harmed a client's health or safety, not including serious injury, impairment, or death) and this practice resulted in a level 2 violation for two of four clients (C2 and C4) reviewed (a violation that harmed a client's health or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety). This is issued at a pattern scope (more than a limited number of clients are affected). The findings included: C1's record was reviewed. C1 had prescriber's orders dated October 14, 2015 for blood sugars to be checked four times a day, prior to breakfast, lunch, dinner and at bedtime and a sliding scale of Novolog Insulin to be administered based on the blood sugar reactings. In addition, C1 had prescriber's orders dated October 14, 2015 for Lantus insulin 15 units at bedtime. C1's medication administration record (MAR) for October 2015 revealed that on October 17, 2015, C1's blood sugar was 80 at 4:30 p.m. The unilicensed person (ULP)-G administered for a blood Novolog insulin was to administered for lobod Novolog insulin was to administered for a blood		AND DIAM OF CORDECTION INTERPRETATION NUMBER.		1 ' '		[` '	
INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIDIENCIES FACTOR SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIDIENCIES FACTOR FACTO			H26132	B. WING			
INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE 056 BUCHANAN TRAIL INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077 (XA) ID SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES CACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY PILL PREFIX TAG PREGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) DEFICIENCY PREFIX TAG PROVIDERS PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION BY OME DEFICIENCY) DEFICIENCY	NAME OF I	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STREET AD	DRESS CITY S	STATE ZIP CODE		
INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077 IXA) ID SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY PULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) PROFITE TAG (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY PULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) PROFITE TAG (EACH DEFICIENCY)			9056 RUG		·		
PRÉFIX TAG REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) 0 935 Continued From page 11 by: Based on interview and document review the licensee failed to ensure that medications were administered as prescribed for three of four clients (C1, C2 and C4) reviewed (a violation that marmed a client's health or safety, not including serious injury, impairment, or death) and this practice resulted in a level 2 violation for two of four clients (C2 and C4) reviewed (a violation that armed a client's health or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety. This is issued at a pattern scope (more than a limited number of clients are affected). The findings included: C1's record was reviewed. C1 had prescriber's orders dated October 14, 2015 for blood sugars to be checked four times a day, prior to breakfast, lunch, dinner and at bedtime and a sliding scale of Novolog Insulin to be administered based on the blood sugar readings. In addition, C1 had prescriber's orders dated October 14, 2015 for Lantus insulin 15 units at bedtime. C1's medication administration record (MAR) for October 2015 revealed that on October 17, 2015, C1's blood sugar was 80 at 4:30 p.m. The unilicensed person (ULP)-G administered 7 units of Novolog insulin in error. The client's sliding scale of insulin at 4:30 p.m. indicated 6 units of Novolog insulin was to administered for a blood	INVER G	ROVE HEIGHTS WHI	TE PINE				
by: Based on interview and document review the licensee failed to ensure that medications were administered as prescribed for three of four clients (C1, C2 and C4) reviewed. This practice resulted in a level 3 violation for one of four clients (C1) reviewed (a violation for one of four clients (C1) reviewed (a violation that harmed a client's health or safety, not including serious injury, impairment, or death) and this practice resulted in a level 2 violation for two of four clients (C2 and C4) reviewed (a violation that did not harm a client's health or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety). This is issued at a pattern scope (more than a limited number of clients are affected). The findings included: C1's record was reviewed. C1 had prescriber's orders dated October 14, 2015 for blood sugars to be checked four times a day, prior to breakfast, lunch, dinner and at bedtime and a sliding scale of Novolog Insulin to be administered based on the blood sugar readings. In addition, C1 had prescriber's orders dated October 14, 2015 for Lantus insulin 15 units at bedtime. C1's medication administration record (MAR) for October 2015 revealed that on October 17, 2015, C1's blood sugar was 80 at 4:30 p.m. The unlicensed person (ULP)-G administered 7 units of Novolog insulin in error. The client's sliding scale of insulin at 4:30 p.m. indicated 6 units of Novolog insulin in error. The client's sliding scale of insulin at 4:30 p.m. indicated 6 units of Novolog insulin in error. The client's sliding scale of insulin at 4:30 p.m. indicated 6 units of Novolog insulin in error administered for a blood	PRÉFIX	(EACH DEFICIENCY	MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL	PREFIX	PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE		
Based on interview and document review the licensee failed to ensure that medications were administered as prescribed for three of four clients (C1, C2 and C4) reviewed. This practice resulted in a level 3 violation for one of four clients (C1) reviewed (a violation for one of four clients (C1) reviewed (a violation that harmed a client's health or safety, not including serious injury, impairment, or death) and this practice resulted in a level 2 violation for two of four clients (C2 and C4) reviewed (a violation that did not harm a client's health or safety but had the potential to have harmed a client's health or safety). This is issued at a pattern scope (more than a limited number of clients are affected). The findings included: C1's record was reviewed. C1 had prescriber's orders dated October 14, 2015 for blood sugars to be checked four times a day, prior to breakfast, lunch, dinner and at bedtime and a sliding scale of Novolog Insulin to be administered based on the blood sugar readings. In addition, C1 had prescriber's orders dated October 14, 2015 for Lantus insulin 15 units at bedtime. C1's medication administration record (MAR) for October 2015 revealed that on October 17, 2015, C1's blood sugar was 80 at 4:30 p.m. The unlicensed person (ULP)-G administered 7 units of Novolog insulin in error. The client's sliding scale of insulin at 4:30 p.m. indicated 6 units of Novolog insulin in error. The client's sliding scale of insulin at 4:30 p.m. indicated 6 units of Novolog insulin in error. The client's sliding scale of insulin at 4:30 p.m. indicated 6 units of Novolog insulin in error administered for a blood	0 935	Continued From pa	ge 11	0 935			
October 2015 MAR was blank in the staff initial area that indicated whether or not a medication was administered, for C1's Lantus insulin at bedtime on October 17 and 18, 2015 and was blank in the staff initial area for checking C1's		by: Based on interview licensee failed to er administered as preclients (C1, C2 and resulted in a level 3 clients (C1) reviewed clients (C1) reviewed client's health or satinjury, impairment, or resulted in a level 2 (C2 and C4) review harm a client's health potential to have has afety). This is issuithan a limited numb. The findings includes C1's record was reworders dated Octobeto be checked four flunch, dinner and at of Novolog Insulin to the blood sugar read prescriber's orders of Lantus insulin 15 ur. C1's medication admoctober 2015 revea C1's blood sugar was unlicensed person (of Novolog insulin in scale of insulin at 4: Novolog insulin was sugar reading of 80. October 2015 MAR area that indicated was administered, for bedtime on October for the sugar reading of 80.	and document review the asure that medications were escribed for three of four C4) reviewed. This practice violation for one of four ed (a violation that harmed a fety, not including serious or death) and this practice violation for two of four clients ed (a violation that did not the or safety but had the rmed a client's health or led at a pattern scope (more l				

01/22/2016

Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING: С

H26132

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER

STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

B. WING _

NVER G	ROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE	HANAN TRA	AIL ITS, MN 55077	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)	(X5) COMPLET DATE
0 935	Continued From page 12	0 935		
·	based on the client's sliding scale at bedtime on October 17, 2015 and 4:30 p.m. and bedtime on October 18, 2015.			
	When interviewed December 21, 2015 at 10:00 a.m., ULP-G stated she was trying to keep track of all the medications/blood sugars and insulin to give, but stated she was overwhelmed and somehow missed C1's blood sugar checks and insulin.			
	C2's record was reviewed. C2 had prescriber's orders dated August 31, 2015 that indicated he was to have his blood sugar checked four times a day, before meals and at bedtime and administer Novolog insulin per a sliding scale based on the client's blood sugar reading. The client's sliding scale for his bedtime insulin was as follows: For a blood sugar of 90 or below, staff were to call the nurse; For a blood sugar of 91-180 = 0 units of insulin; For a blood sugar of 181-220 = 2 units of insulin; For a blood sugar of 221-260 = 3 units of insulin; For a blood sugar of 301-340 = 5 units of insulin; Greater than 341 = 6 units of insulin.			
	C2's October MAR was reviewed. C2's blood sugar on October 12, 2015 at bedtime was 300. Staff documented that they administered 5 units of Novolog, insulin which was an error. C2 should have received 4 units of Novolog insulin per the sliding scale. On October 28, 2015 at bedtime, C2's blood sugar was 259. Staff documented that they administered 4 units of Novolog insulin. which was an error. C2 should have received 3 units of Novolog insulin per the sliding scale.			
	C4's record was reviewed. C4 had a prescriber's order for Pravastatin (a medication used to lower			

	ND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:			E CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		H26132	B. WING			C 22/2016
NAME OF	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STREET AD	DRESS, CITY,	STATE, ZIP CODE		,
INVER G	ROVE HEIGHTS WHI	re pine	HANAN TRA ROVE HEIGH	AIL ITS, MN 55077		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID . PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOUL CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIED TO THE APPROPRIED TO THE APPROPRESS OF THE APPROPRIED TO THE	(X5) COMPLETE DATE	
0 935	Continued From pa	ge 13	0 935			
	client's October 201 initials would be of t administered the C4	grams every bedtime. The 5 MAR had a blank where the he staff person who I's Pravastatin. The only medication C4 received				
	p.m., C4 stated a fe her pill she gets at t gets one pill at bedt questioned staff abo	out it, they stated she had ner pills. C4 stated she knew				
	TIME PERIOD FOR CORRECTION: Twenty-one (21) days					
01040	144A.4793, Subd. 4 Treatments/Therapy		01040			
	therapy. Ordered or therapies must be a physician, or other li- authorized to perfor- may be delegated o personnel by the lice according to the app for delegation or ass administration of a t delegated or assign the home care provi	tion of treatments and prescribed treatments or dministered by a nurse, icensed health professional m the treatment or therapy, or r assigned to unlicensed ensed health professional propriate practice standards signment. When reatment or therapy is ed to unlicensed personnel, der must ensure that the authorized licensed health				
	proper methods with	licensed personnel in the nespect to each client and connel has demonstrated the				

•	STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:			LE CONSTRUCTION		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED		
						С		
		H26132	B. WING		01/2	22/2016		
NAME OF I	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STREET AD	DRESS, CITY,	STATE, ZIP CODE				
INVER G	ROVE HEIGHTS WHI	I F PINE	HANAN TR					
		INVER GE	ROVE HEIGH	HTS, MN 55077				
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC)	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE				
01040	Continued From pa	ge 14	01040					
	ability to competent	ly follow the procedures;						
		ing, specific instructions for sumented those instructions in and						
;	(3) communicated values about the individual	with the unlicensed personnel needs of the client.						
	by: Based on interview	ent is not met as evidenced and document review, the						
	licensee failed to er blood sugar checks personnel (ULP), th instructed the ULP respect to each clie	nsure when the treatment of was delegated to unlicensed at the registered nurse (RN) in the proper method with nt and the ULP demonstrated						
	four of four contract ULP-G and ULP-I) resulted in a level 2 not harm a client's repotential to have hat safety), and is issued	tently follow the procedure for ted ULP (ULP-B, ULP-D, reviewed. This practice violation (a violation that did nealth or safety but had the rmed a client's health or ed at a pattern scope (more						
	findings included:	er of staff are involved). The						
	administration recoil ULP-B, ULP-D, ULF	g records and medication rds, contracted employees P-G and ULP-I) checked while working for the licensee				-		
	ULP-G and ULP-I w methods to conduct there evidence that	nce that ULP-B, ULP-D, vere instructed in the proper a blood sugar check, nor was they had demonstrated their by follow the procedure for ks.						

FORM APPROVED Minnesota Department of Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING: ___ C B. WING H26132 01/22/2016 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 9056 BUCHANAN TRAIL **INVER GROVE HEIGHTS WHITE PINE INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MN 55077** (X4) ID PREFIX SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) 01040 Continued From page 15 01040 When interviewed December 22, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. RN-A stated she was not aware that the supplemental staffing agency did not conduct competency testing for their employees on blood sugar checks.. RN-A stated she thought the supplemental staff were trained when they came to work for the licensee. The contract between the supplemental agency and the licensee dated July 24, 2015 was reviewed and did not specify which entity was responsible for the unlicensed staff training and competency. TIME PERIOD FOR CORRECTION: Twenty-one (21) days

			STAT	E FORM: RE	VISIT	REPORT				
PROVIDE IDENTIFI H26132	ER / SUPPLIER / CLIA / CATION NUMBER	MULTIPLE CO A. Building B. Wing	NSTRUCTIO	N	-			Y2	DATE 3/10/2	OF REVISIT
NAME O	F FACILITY BROVE HEIGHTS WH			9056 B	ET ADDRESS, (UCHANAN TR. GROVE HEIG	AIL	, ZIP CODE		93	
correctiv	ort is completed by a see action was accomplation prefix code previorm).	ished. Each de	ficiency she	ould be fully iden	revious	ly reported the	at have bee regulation	n corrected and or LSC provision	numb	er and the
ITE Y4		DATE Y5	ITEM Y4			DATE Y5	ITEM Y4			DATE Y5
ID Prefix	00265	Correction	ID Prefix	00325	- " -	Correction	ID Prefix	00815		Correction
Reg. #	144A.44, Subd. 1(2)	Completed	Reg. #	144A.44, Subd. 1	(14)	Completed	Reg.#	144A.479, Subd.	7	Completed
LSC		03/10/2016	LSC			03/10/2016	LSC			03/10/2016
D Prefix	00930	Correction	ID Prefix	00935		Correction	ID Prefix	01040		Correction
Reg.#	144A.4792, Subd. 7	Completed	Reg. #	144A.4792, Subd.	. 8	Completed	Reg. #	144A.4793, Subd	. 4	Completed
_SC		03/10/2016	LSC			03/10/2016	LSC			03/10/2016
D Prefix		Correction	ID Prefix			Correction	ID Prefix			Correction
Reg. #		Completed	Reg. #			Completed	Reg. #			Completed
-SC			LSC				LSC			
D Prefix		Correction	ID Prefix			Correction	ID Prefix			Correction
Reg. #		Completed	Reg. #			Completed	Reg. #			Completed
.sc ———			LSC				LSC	<u> </u>		
D Prefix		Correction	ID Prefix			Correction	ID Prefix			Correction
Reg. #		Completed	Reg. #			Completed	Reg. #			Completed
sc		_	LSC				LSC			
REVIEWED BY REVIEWED BY (INITIALS)		DATE	SIGNATUI	RE OF S	SURVEYOR			DATE		
REVIEWE CMS RO	D BY REVIEW	WED BY LS)	DATE	TITLE	TITLE				DATE	
FOLLOWUP TO SURVEY COMPLETED ON 1/22/2016			CHECK FOR ANY UNCORRECTED DEFICIENCIES. WAS A SUMMARY OF UNCORRECTED DEFICIENCIES (CMS-2567) SENT TO THE FACILITY? YES NO							

Page 1 of 1

EVENT ID:

JFP612